

The Joint Federal/Provincial Commission into the April 2020 Nova Scotia Mass Casualty MassCasualtyCommission.ca

Commission fédérale-provinciale sur les événements d'avril 2020 en Nouvelle-Écosse CommissionDesPertesMassives.ca

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioners / Commissaires

The Honourable / L'honorable J. Michael MacDonald, Chair / Président Leanne J. Fitch (Ret. Police Chief, M.O.M) Dr. Kim Stanton

VOLUME 46

Held at : Tenue à:

Halifax Marriott Harbourfront Hotel 1919 Upper Water Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3J5

Wednesday, July 13, 2022

Hotel Marriot Harbourfront d'Halifax 1919, rue Upper Water Halifax, Nouvelle-Écosse B3J 3J5

Mercredi, le 13 juillet 2022

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

www.irri.net
(800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Ms. Emily Hill Commission Counsel /

Conseillère de la commission

Ms. Gillian Hnatiw Commission Counsel /

Conseillère de la commission

Ms. Anastacia Merrigan Counsel / Conseillère

Ms. Erin Breen Counsel / Conseillère

III Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
DR. JANEMAREE MAHER, Affirmed	2
DR. JUDE McCULLOCH, Affirmed	2
Examination on qualifications by Ms. Gillian Hnatiw	3
Examination in-Chief by Ms. Gillian Hnatiw	5
Cross-Examination by Ms. Anastacia Merrigan	30
Cross-Examination by Ms. Erin Breen	39
INTRODUCTION OF FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENTS – THE PERPETRATOR'S VIOLENCE TOWARDS HIS COMMON-LAW SPOUSE	50
Presentation by Ms. Gillian Hnatiw	50

IV Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3434	(COMM0059731) Curriculum vitae of Dr. Jude McCulloch	2
3435	(COMM0059732) Curriculum vitae of Dr. JaneMaree Maher	2
3436	Report by Dr. Jude McCulloch and Dr. Jane Maree Maher titled, "Understanding the Links Between Gender-Based Violence and Mass Casualty Attacks: 'Private' Violence and Misogyny as Public Risk"	3
3437	Foundational Documents – The Perpetrator's Violence Towards His Common-Law Spouse	51
3438	(COMM0059723) Transcript of Cheryl Tshupruck's interview held on July 4 th , 2022	78

1	Halifax, Nova Scotia
2	Upon commencing on Wednesday, July 13, 2022 at 8:34 a.m.
3	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Good morning.
4	The proceedings of the Mass Casualty Commission are now in
5	session with Commissioner Michael MacDonald, Commissioner Leanne Fitch, and
6	Commissioner Kim Stanton presiding.
7	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Bonjour, bienvenue. Hello and
8	welcome. We join you from Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the
9	Mi'kmaq.
10	Please join us in remembering those whose lives were taken, those
11	were harmed, their families, and all those affected by the April 2020 mass casualty in
12	Nova Scotia.
13	(SHORT PAUSE)
14	COMMISSIONER FITCH: This morning we'll hear from the expert
15	witnesses, Professors Jude McCulloch and JaneMaree Maher, via Zoom from Australia.
16	They will discuss their commissioned report prepared, titled, "Understanding
17	the Links Between Gender-Based Violence and Mass Casualty Attacks: 'Private'
18	Violence and Misogyny as Public Risk."
19	Following that, Commission Counsel will share a Foundational
20	Document looking at the perpetrator's violence towards his common-law spouse Lisa
21	Banfield. We will hear from Ms. Banfield in person on Friday.
22	Once again, today's discussions and presentations will be dealing
23	with the different forms of violence, including violence that is gender based or inflicted
24	by intimate partners. Gender-based and intimate-partner violence are two of the related
25	issues we are required to examine as part of our mandate. This work helps us to
26	understand the connections between them and the circumstances leading up to the
27	mass casualty.
28	If you find this information distressing, please remember we have a

1	dedicated mental health team here at proceedings to help you and those around you,
2	and everyone is welcome to reach out to the support services listed on our website,
3	including those listening by audio or watching the webcast online. There are supports
4	available 24/7 for all ages.
5	I'll now ask Commission Counsel, Gillian Hnatiw, to introduce
6	today's witness.
7	Thank you.
8	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you, Commissioners. Good
9	morning.
10	As Commissioner Fitch indicated, we're going to start today by
11	hearing from Professors McCulloch and Maher, who are joining us by Zoom from
12	Melbourne, Australia, where it is currently just after 10:30 p.m. in the evening.
13	So I will start by saying welcome, good evening, and thank you for
14	having an extra coffee to be with us today.
15	Madam Registrar, could you affirm Professors Maher and
16	McCulloch?
17	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER, Affirmed:
18	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH, Affirmed:
19	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Thank you.
20	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Copies of Professor McCulloch and
21	Professor Maher's curriculum vitae have been produced to participants, and I would ask
22	that we mark both those documents as exhibits, Madam Registrar. That's COMMs
23	59731 and 59732.
24	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: And those will be
25	marked Exhibit 3434 and 3435.
26	EXHIBIT NO. 3434:
27	(COMM0059731) Curriculum vitae of Dr. Jude McCulloch

--- EXHIBIT NO. 3435:

1	(COMM0059732) Curriculum vitae of Dr. JaneMaree Maher
2	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And I would also ask that the report
3	authored by Drs. McCulloch and Maher titled, "Understanding the Links Between
4	Gender-Based Violence and Mass Casualty Attacks: 'Private' Violence and Misogyny as
5	Public Risk" be marked as an exhibit.
6	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: And that's 3436.
7	EXHIBIT NO. 3436:
8	Report by Dr. Jude McCulloch and Dr. Jane Maree Maher
9	titled, "Understanding the Links Between Gender-Based
10	Violence and Mass Casualty Attacks: 'Private' Violence and
11	Misogyny as Public Risk"
12	EXAMINATION ON QUALIFICATIONS BY MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:
13	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Before we start to unpack your report,
14	Professors, or I should say Doctors, I just wanted to briefly outline and discuss with
15	each of you your qualifications.
16	So starting with you, Professor McCulloch.
17	Madam Register, if we could bring her curriculum vitae up on the
18	on the screen?
19	Professor McCulloch, I understand that you are Emeritus Professor
20	at Monash University, where you were the inaugural Director of Monash Gender and
21	Family Violence Prevention Centre; is that correct?
22	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's correct.
23	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And that in association with that work, you
24	taught, researched, wrote, published in the areas of crime, risk, family violence, and
25	policing; is that correct?
26	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That is correct.
27	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And before your career in academia, you
28	actually worked as a in a refuge for women escaping family violence, and as a

1	community lawyer specializing in gendered crimes and policing; is that correct?
2	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That is correct.
3	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And you have a long list of publications,
4	but I wanted to quickly draw attention to two of them in particular, that would be on the
5	second page.
6	Thank you, Madam Registrar.
7	In 2019, you co-published with Dr. Maher, among others, a paper
8	titled, "Lone wolf terrorism through a gendered lens: men turning violent or violent men
9	behaving violently?"; is that correct?
10	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's correct. That is correct.
11	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And then a second paper of interest was
12	also published in 2019 titled, "Criminology, gender and security in the Australian
13	context: Making women's lives matter."
14	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's correct.
15	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
16	And turning now to you, Dr. Maher, you are currently a Professor at
17	the Centre for Women Studies and Gender Research at Monash University?
18	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That's correct.
19	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay. And you're also the Associate
20	Dean of Graduate Research for the Faculty of Arts.
21	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
22	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay. And as we you are a nationally
23	and internationally recognized expert on motherhood scholarship, and you've done a lot
24	of work focusing on intimate partner violence and have co-published the two papers that
25	I just drew Dr. McCulloch's attention to. Is that correct?
26	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That is correct.
27	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And I also skipped over the fact that you
28	both earned PhDs earlier in your careers.

1	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
2	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
3	So on the basis of their qualifications, Commissioners, we're asking
4	that they be qualified as experts to discuss the links between mass casualty events and
5	gendered violence, to critique gaps in methodological flaws in the collection of data
6	about mass casualties, and to explain how understanding the continuum between public
7	and private violence can improve our ability to understand, prevent, and respond to
8	mass casualty events.
9	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you, Ms. Hnatiw.
10	And thank you so much for joining us, Drs. McCulloch and Maher,
11	and you are so qualified.
12	Thank you.
13	EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So, Doctors, the concept of public
15	violence versus private violence is at the centre of the report that you were
16	commissioned to prepare for our for the Commission's work. Would you could you
17	start by explaining those two concepts?
18	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: So private violence generally is
19	defined both locationally and relationally. It's understood to occur typically between
20	people known to each other, often behind closed doors, and it's termed private violence
21	very often because it is unseen in the public realm.
22	There are a number of different forms of public of private
23	violence, intimate partner violence is often most commonly associated with this type of
24	violence; it's also the most common form of violence that occurs. The UN has
25	estimated that globally, one in three women experience some form of intimate partner
26	violence during their life. Very few of them seek help for that violence.
27	We also think of family violence as a form of private violence. It
28	occurs in the family home or in the family context. There are a number of terms used

1	to describe that type of violence too; domestic violence, domestic abuse. They all
2	signal something slightly different but the central theme is that they are between people
3	known to each other and people already in relationship with each other.
4	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And am I correct in my understanding that
5	private violence of the multiple types you describe, is overwhelmingly committed by
6	men?
7	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That's correct, yes. So that's
8	established by policing reports in most developed countries where data is collected. So
9	even though we're aware that there's a lot of private violence that we don't know about
LO	and we don't see, the best way to estimate its prevalence and its primary perpetrators is
l1	through that private violence which does end up on the record, and systematically, over
L2	many decades, it's been clear that that violence is overwhelmingly perpetrated by men
L3	in those private contexts.
L4	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you. And by contrast, could you
L5	explain what is commonly recognized forms of public violence?
L6	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: So public violence similarly takes a
L7	number of forms. Mass casualty attacks are obviously a form of public violence, gang
L8	wars or terrorist acts, all of those are understood as forms of public violence, and again,
L9	the defining feature is location and visibility, that they are seen, and they are acts of
20	violence that, by nature and intent, are meant to be seen, meant to be visible.
21	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And do we know anything about whether
22	private violence tends to be gendered? Is there also data about I understand there is
23	also data
24	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
25	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: that it is
26	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
27	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: overwhelmingly committed by men.

DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Public violence is overwhelmingly

1	committed by men, too. In fact, more than 90 percent in most jurisdictions, I think we
2	would confidently say, is committed by men.
3	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And given these two different concepts of
4	violence, private violence and public violence, are they traditionally understood to be
5	linked and studied in that way, or are they approached as separate phenomenon?
6	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Jude, I
7	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Well,
8	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: might hand over to you.
9	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah. There's definitely a long-
10	standing, well-established division between the way public and private violence are
11	understood and responded to. While gender-based violence is today widely considered
12	a public problem, it's still seen as separate and qualitatively different than violence that
13	happens in the public space and between people who don't know each other.
14	So there is quite a public/private dichotomy when it comes to
15	violence, and even though this dichotomy is, in many ways, based on outmoded ideas
16	about the harms of violence and the type of entitlement men have over women's bodies
17	and as women as property; women and children as property, there's still a very strong
18	cultural resonance around a division between public and private violence.
19	So these although private violence is now, and increasingly,
20	recognized as a public problem, the dichotomy continues to exist and there continues to
21	be a feeling that public violence is more harmful in some ways than in many ways,
22	than private violence. And I think it's Dake, a sociologist, has argued that shared
23	world views provide powerful cultural lenses, magnifying one danger, obscuring another
24	threat, and selecting others for minimal attention or even disregard. And the
25	public/private dichotomy is a powerful lens that trains our eye, more often, to public
26	violence than private violence.
27	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And I understand that in your research,
28	obviously you focused on, as opposed to the dichotomy, but the links. And I wonder if

1	you've been able to draw any high-level conclusions about those links from that
2	research?
3	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes, very much so. So the high-level
4	conclusions that we've drawn is certainly that there is a strong connection between
5	public and private violence, and the public violence that we focus on, of course, in our
6	report, is mass casualty attacks.
7	So there's a very strong link between mass casualty attacks and
8	gender-based violence that we find in our work and set out in our report.
9	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I understand one of your key conclusions
10	is that men who commit gender-based violence are often the same men who commit
11	mass casualty attacks, and that specific women, often partners, are often the first
12	victims of such attacks.
13	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Exactly. So our findings very much
14	were that although the dichotomy between public and private violence, the way the
15	media reports, the criminal justice system responds, the way society approaches these
16	things, see public and private violence as separate. Really, what we see is that men
17	who commit gender-based violence, particularly family violence, are often the same
18	men who commit mass casualty attacks.
19	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And so does the way this dichotomy
20	operates, both in the public and the media as well as academia, does it influence the
21	way that mass casualty attacks are researched and reported?
22	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Absolutely. We found that a
23	dichotomous approach is the foundation a dichotomous approach between public and
24	private violence is the foundation for much of the research around mass casualty
25	attacks.
26	So for example, familicides, which is the killing of a family,
27	generally, no matter how many people are killed in a familicide, these aren't classified
28	as mass casualty attacks, simply because they take place in a private space, usually,

1	and involve people who are known to each other. We also found that misogyny as a
2	motivator for mass casualty attacks isn't seen as terrorism, usually, because it's not
3	seen as a political motivation, which means the data collected around terrorism often
4	doesn't include or study those mass casualty attacks that are motivated by misogyny.
5	So and we found even though we say that there's a connection
6	between public and private violence, and particularly in terms of mass casualty attacks,
7	we very much found that the research does not seek out these connections, and even
8	when the data tends to suggest even quite strongly that they're there, they're
9	overlooked or ignored.
10	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: That seems like a good segue to talking
11	about the middle section of your report, where you dive into the research that does exist
12	on the connections between gender-based violence, misogyny, and mass casualty
13	attacks.
14	And Dr. McCulloch, I think you just touched on this briefly, but my
15	understanding is that this research has been this is not a well researched area and
16	that the research that does exist has emerged fairly recently. Is that a fair
17	characterization?
18	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That is a very fair characterization.
19	So we found it surprising, given how strong the connection seemed
20	to be, that there has been actually very little research that focuses specifically on the
21	connection between gender-based violence and mass casualty attacks, but there is an
22	emerging body of research, although still small, quite robust, and compelling that points
23	to those connections.
24	And we also found that the research on mass casualty attacks
25	generally, although it doesn't pay particular attention or specific attention to the
26	connections with gender-based violence, it was still helpful because when you're alive
27	to those connections, it was actually quite obvious in the data.

So and it also often pointed to some problematic frames of

1	research based on the dichotomy that we could critique, which was useful.
2	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Could you comment on those challenges?
3	I understand that the absence of a coherent definition of what constitutes a mass
4	casualty and the different ways in which the data are sort of collated and categorized
5	can present a challenge for scholars and researchers who are now attempting to
6	understand the links between public and private violence. Do I have that correct?
7	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes, definitely. So studying mass
8	casualty attacks generally, there's issues around inconsistent definition of mass
9	casualty attacks, there's issues around shallowing of the data. So most data is divided
10	between mass shootings, for example, and terrorism. So there are gaps in the data and
11	the data is also separated, so most of it doesn't look at mass casualty attacks generally.
12	So they are the problems that exist for people who are researching
13	mass casualty attacks, but there are specific and additional significant issues in relation
14	to the studying of the links between gender-based violence and mass casualty attacks.
15	The first is that much of the data that has been collected historically hasn't paid
16	attention to the gendered aspects.
17	So in order to make the connections, you need to be able to, for
18	example, know the relationships between the attacker and the victims, and often the
19	data is not collected in relation to those things.
20	You also would want to know about the attacker's biography and
21	any history of gender-based violence. But the issue there is, again, that data has not
22	been sought out or collected in the original data collection.
23	But also, importantly, as JaneMaree earlier pointed out, much
24	information much gender-based violence is not reported at all, or most of it. So you're
25	going to have incomplete data. So they are the additional issues.
26	And as I said previously, the frames of research tend often to
27	ignore or minimize gender-based violence. So the existing research is sometimes not
28	only based on inadequate data, but the frameworks we would also often find unhelpful

in looking for that gendered connection.

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And so despite these challenges and 2 limitations in the data, I understand from your paper that there are some sub categories 3 that have emerged in the work that you and others have done in trying to forge new 4 understandings between the links that are emerging in our understanding, and those 5 subcategories, to my reading, would be mass casualties in which -- that target a specific 6 7 woman, who is often the first victim of the mass casualty. Secondly, mass casualties 8 where the perpetrator has a history of gender-based or family violence in their past. 9 And third, mass casualty events where there's an explicitly misogynistic motive for the 10 mass casualty. So have I summarized that correctly? And could you perhaps 11 comment on each of those three categories? I think the distinctions are settled, but 12 important in our -- to have a wholistic understanding of what we're talking about here. 13 **DR. JUDE McCULLOCH:** I'll talk -- you've got that exactly right, 14 and I'll talk about the first two categories and hand over to JaneMaree for the second 15 16 two. In relation to the specifically targeted women, so despite the only 17 emerging database and research that focuses on the connections between gender-18 based violence and mass casualty attacks, we can say fairly confidently that specifically 19 targeted women, that is women known to the attacker, often an intimate partner or ex-20 partner, but sometimes another female relative, like a mother, is so common that it's 21 22 reasonable to say that the typical mass casualty attack is an attack -- includes an attack 23 on a specifically targeted woman, and often begins with an attack on that woman. 24 According to U.S. research that unusually examined mass casualty attacks of all types and helpfully did so, familicide, that is, the killing of a family, is the 25 most common type of mass casualty attack, with the attackers being mainly husbands 26 27 and fathers, and the victims being mainly intimate partners, children, and other relatives.

There is ---

Τ	wis. Gillian finative. Sony, ruont want to interrupt you, but i
2	just want to stop you there to make sure I've understood that correctly. So I hear you're
3	telling us that in fact the most common form of mass casualty is a familicide? Is that
4	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Absolutely.
5	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
6	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: If you define a mass casualty in terms of
7	the number of people killed.
8	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
9	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Some mass casualty researchers say
10	have an implicit mass public casualty attack. But if you define mass casualties in terms
11	of just the number of peoples killed, familicide is the most common form of mass
12	casualty attack.
13	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: If you take the word "mass" at its most
14	plain meaning, to be multiple?
15	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's right. And you don't put an
16	implicit public in there, that you just take it as a mass casualty attack.
17	But so and it's not only one research study that points to this.
18	There's an emerging body of research. For example, around mass shootings,
19	Everytown for Gun Safety, the non-government organization in the U.S., they've got a
20	report on 12 years of mass shootings in the U.S., and they conclude that these mass
21	shootings are typically intermingled with acts of family or domestic violence.
22	Based on 262 incidents over the 12 years that they studied, they
23	found that most shootings, most mass shootings, the attacker shot a current or former
24	intimate partner or family member during the attack and that domestic violence mass
25	shootings accounted for almost half of the deaths. So most of the mass shootings were
26	intermingled with family violence, and nearly half the deaths.
27	There's another paper by Silver that's particularly relevant. Would
28	you like me to go and talk about that?

1	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Sure. That would be very interesting.
2	Thank you.
3	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah. So there's a really helpful and
4	interesting study that was published last year by Silver and colleagues on mass
5	shootings in the U.S., and that looked at over 50 years of data, starting in 1966 and
6	finishing in 2018. And what they specifically looked at was what they called gender-
7	based mass shootings. And they defined gender-based mass shootings as mass
8	shootings that were motivated by a grievance against women or a woman.
9	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
10	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And they looked specifically and
11	exclusively at mass public shootings. So they excluded, you know, mass shootings that
12	were familicides only, or only took place in private homes.
13	And what they found
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So they sorry, again.
15	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah.
16	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I apologize to interrupt, but I just want to
17	make sure I understand correctly. So they used a more narrow version of what a mass
18	casualty or what a mass shooting would be in this study? Is that correct?
19	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Exactly.
20	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
21	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: So why this study is so useful, one, it
22	specifically focuses on gender-based violence, even though, as you pointed out, it uses
23	a narrow definition.
24	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
25	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: But because it uses that narrow
26	definition, it really illuminates the connections between mass casualty attacks and
27	gender-based violence. Mass public casualty attacks and gender-based violence. So it
28	really looks at private and public violence and how they are connected.

Т	And one of the ways that the research tells us they are connected
2	and quantifies and that's why this study is so important, it's a large study, as I said,
3	more than 50 years of data, or 50 years of data, hundreds of cases included, but they
4	also quantify the connections, which is fairly rare in the research that we've come
5	across.
6	And it found that 34 percent of mass public shootings are motivated
7	or they're motivated by a grievance against women. And it found that the targeting of
8	a specific woman against whom the attacker had a grievance, such as a girlfriend, wife,
9	or a woman who objected their advances, to use their terms, was the most common
10	category of gender-based mass shootings.
11	Specific women-targeted attacked consistently made up the
12	majority of gender-based mass shootings, making up about 50 percent of those
13	shootings.
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And that's not withstanding the fact that
15	they used a narrower definition, as you spoke to earlier, and excluded familicides that
16	occurred exclusively in private locations? Is that correct?
17	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's right. So the percentage would
18	have been bigger if it was just mass shootings.
19	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Right. And in your paper oh. Sorry,
20	continue please.
21	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I was just going to say, it might be
22	useful to give just one example, or a kind of paradigmatic or an exemplar of the specific
23	woman-targeted shootings,
24	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
25	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: because there's a particular shooting
26	in the U.S., mass shooting 1966, which is often referred to as providing the template for
27	future mass shootings, and that's the 1966 Texas University mass shooting, where the
28	attacker killed his mother and his wife in their respective homes before he went to the

1	university with firearms, climbed a tower there, and shot and killed 14 other people,
2	other students,
3	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
4	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: that had no particular connection to
5	him.
6	And that shooting is that example is said to have set the template
7	for what has become a reasonably standard sort of set of facts around shootings, mass
8	shootings, in the U.S.
9	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: A pattern we see again and again, that
10	the mass casualty starts with an attack on either a specific woman or women, and then
11	pours out into more public spaces. So
12	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's right.
13	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Yeah. I wanted to ask you specifically, in
14	your paper, you critique the idea of a specific woman as a trigger to a mass casualty
15	event. Can you speak to why, in your view, that's a problematic description?
16	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah. We think or argue that it's
17	problematic for three main reasons.
18	The first is that the term "trigger" suggests that the specific woman
19	targeted is the cause, or at least part of the cause of the attack, they caused the attack,
20	they triggered the attack. And we think that that's incorrect.
21	And linked to that idea, closely linked, we think it's problematic
22	because it obscures the victim status of the woman. It's harder to see the specific
23	woman targeted as a victim, or fully a victim, even if she's killed or injured, when she's
24	also seen as the cause, or suggested to be the cause of the attack.
25	And finally, or the third reason, and it's really an important reason in
26	this context, is that where you say the woman is a trigger to the attack, you're placing
27	you're placing her, or the situation in which she's attacked,
28	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm,

1	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: outside of the context of the mass
2	casualty attack. You might see the mass casualty attack as connected to her, but
3	you're not seeing the attack on her as part of the mass casualty attack.
4	But when you look at the narratives around the case studies, you
5	find that there isn't the attack on the woman and then a separate attack that we call a
6	mass casualty attack on the public, that they're really intimately connected in time and
7	in place and they should be seen as part of the same series of events. And not seeing
8	them as a continuous event, that really does undermine our ability to better understand
9	the mass casualty attacks.
10	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you. I want to move on now to the
11	second subcategory that's explored in your paper, in which the perpetrator has more of
12	a history of gender-based violence or intimate partner violence, and ask you to address
13	how you say this differs, or what you've learned about how these can sometimes differ
14	from specific-woman-motivated attacks, and also perhaps speak to how reliable the
15	data in this area is at the moment?
16	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah. I would say that to answer the
17	first the second question first, I'd say the data definitely has some gaps in it, and
18	those gaps would, in my view, grossly underestimate the number of mass casualty
19	attackers that have a history of gender-based violence, one because the violence isn't
20	regularly, or routinely, or mainly reported. It's gender-based violence is
21	underreported. So the history of violence in attacker's biographies could be unknown
22	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
23	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: or overlooked because the data
24	hasn't looked for it.
25	But another very specific thing is, and this gets back to the
26	connection between a specific woman targeted, they're different things. When we say
27	specific woman targeted, it means they're targeted in the mass casualty attack. When
28	we're talking about gender-based violence in the history of the attacker, we're looking at

1	a history, not the targeting of the woman in the attack, but a history of such violence,
2	gender-based violence. And these aren't two mutually exclusive categories of specific
3	woman attacked and the history of gender-based violence. They very much overlap.
4	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
5	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And Silver and colleagues' 2021 study
6	makes that pretty clear, that when there's a gender-based mass casualty attack
7	involving the targeting of a specific woman, very often there's a history of gender-based
8	violence in the biography of the man.
9	But one particular problem with the data too is if the attacks kills a
10	specifically targeted woman,
11	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
12	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: it's quite possible that she's the only
13	one that has a good understanding or knowledge of the violence in his history and she's
14	been killed. So
15	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So I hear you telling us that the data on
16	this category is flawed for at least two reasons. One is that it's just sometimes not a
17	question asked, or a category of information considered, and so for some of the mass
18	shootings where there's no identified history of gender-based violence in the
19	perpetrator's background is not necessarily because it was excluded, sometimes it
20	might be because it wasn't explored? Is that correct?
21	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Exactly. So
22	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And then the second category would be
23	that the source of that information, or potentially the best source of that information may
24	not be around to provide that data to researchers looking at it after the fact.
25	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah.
26	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
27	Thank you. I wanted to move on now to the third category, which I
28	think Dr. McCulloch indicated she would be turning over to you, Dr. Maher, and that's

1	sort of gender-based mass casualties that are more explicitly motivated by a type of
2	misogyny. So could you start just by introducing us to that concept of that subcategory?
3	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Thank you. So misogyny is
4	obviously most commonly understood and described as a hatred of women. And it is
5	important in facilitating both private violence and public violence. It's a sense that
6	women are less than or not deserving of respect or security. Misogyny also, of course,
7	we understand is part of our structure. So as Jude has described, the failure to collect
8	data about gender-based violence or histories of intimate partner violence can be in part
9	understood by a sense that that violence is less than or not worthy of attention, and that
10	reflects that underpinning sense that women are not deserving of respect or safety. So
11	that's the kind of context of misogyny.
12	Mass casualty attacks that are motivated explicitly by a general
13	hatred of women are not common. The gender-based mass shootings analysis done in
14	Silva et al found that 20 percent of the mass casualty events in the period from 1966 to
15	2018 were motivated by grievances against women in general, so that's not a it's not
16	a large category where there's an explicit articulation of misogyny. There are, of course,
17	a number of events that are widely recognized as misogynistic events
18	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I think we have quite a notorious one in
19	Canada, based on your definition.
20	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That's
21	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: The mass shooting at the École
22	Polytechnique.
23	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That's right. The occurring in 1989
24	and where a male engineering student shot and killed 14 female engineering students
25	explicitly saying that he hated women, and particularly that they were all feminists, and
26	that was part of the driver. So
27	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
28	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: one of the things that is

1	characteristic in a text that are explicitly identified as motivated by misogyny is a sense
2	of disruption to a traditional or appropriate social order that somehow causes a
3	disposition, so these men who then subsequently consider that they need to find a way
4	to secure their social place by using extreme forms of violence.
5	More recently, there has been a new category of mass casualty
6	events that have been committed by incels, a shortened version of for involuntarily
7	celibate, and a number of these events have occurred, mostly in western countries
8	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
9	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: where men who feel that they are
10	not socially achieving their goals as men, as heterosexual men have then taken action
11	against women
12	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And I
13	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: also lethal
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Sorry, Dr. Maher, to interrupt you, but I
15	realize that I misspoke earlier when I mentioned that there were three categories
16	because I think you're moving on to a fourth category which is, of course, articulated in
17	your paper, which is connected to explicitly misogynistic motives but sort of more
18	looking at the intersection of misogyny with other forms of violent extremism. And is
19	that
20	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
21	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: part of what is emerging in your
22	research and the research of others on incels?
23	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: It certainly is. And in fact, I think even
24	prior to incels, that connection has been emerging. It's apparent from research into far
25	right groups, into antisemitic groups, a range of other racially of racial hate groups
26	that there's a strong connection between the type of rhetoric and explicitly misogynistic
27	attitudes that occurs. And so in those locations, which many of which are now, of
28	course, occurring online, there's a very there's a strong set of discourses that bring

- together forms of extremism, anti-authoritarianism, racism, and a range of other things
- with misogynistic attitudes. And significantly, that is emerging really in a whole range of
- locations across the globe. In fact, the UN has recently made a very strong argument
- 4 that we need to take misogyny as a gateway to forms of extreme action much more
- seriously, or otherwise, we're missing out on a very significant platform to understand
- 6 where these sorts of mass attacks are coming from.
- 7 One that I think perhaps usefully illuminates this, I'm sure most
- people will remember that in 2017, there was an explosion at an Ariana Grande concert
- 9 in Manchester in the UK, and ---
- MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: --- she's a pop singer.
- 12 MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Beloved of the young and ---
- MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I have teenage daughters.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: And their ---
- 16 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Yeah.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: --- mothers as well, and so it was an
- audience where significantly -- it was a significantly female audience. It was an
- intergenerational female audience. And there were 22 people and many, many injured
- in that attack. But one of the things that is not often commonly noted was that the 22-
- 21 year-old male perpetrator that attacked had, in the years before at his secondary
- school, been violent and aggressive towards women for the type of clothing they wore
- or telling them they needed to get out of school, and so that there was this sense of
- women being out of place, or that was a motivator for that bold attack. So I think the
- 25 more that people look and search, do the background search and do the analysis, it is
- much clearer that there are connections between forms of misogyny and, finally, mass
- 27 casualty events ---

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So ---

1	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: or attacks.
2	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Yeah. And so I think that highlights one
3	thing I wanted to draw out here, which is that this research is backward looking, which is
4	that after a mass casualty, researchers look into the background of the perpetrator and
5	identify these links; is that correct?
6	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
7	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Yeah. And so I wonder if there's been
8	anything that's emerging from the research that might help us predict, you know, which
9	- let me back up and say that what I heard you say earlier is that sort of gender-based
10	violence, family violence is a very widespread almost
11	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
12	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: depressingly common
13	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Ubiquitous.
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
15	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
16	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And that you talk in your paper about how
17	mass casualty events, by contrast, are quite rare. And so when you look at the ubiquity
18	in some ways, of family violence and gender-based violence in our society, how can we
19	predict which individuals from that large pool will go on to perpetrate, you know, larger
20	mass casualty attacks? Do we know anything about that?
21	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think it would be a very courageous
22	person who said we have a good sense of what that pathway might be. As you've
23	pointed out, gender-based violence, private violence, intimate partner violence is very,
24	very common, and by contrast, mass casualty events are not. And so it's clear that the
25	presence of gender-based violence doesn't explain or can't give us a clear pathway
26	because most gender-based violence remains hidden and remains targeted at people
27	with whom the perpetrator is specifically in relationship.
28	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So if that is the case, then how could a

1	better understanding of the connections between gender-based violence and mass
2	casualties, how can that help us prevent future attacks?
3	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think the purpose of commissions
4	like this one, of a range of others that occur, of the public and the state's willingness to
5	look so closely and carefully at such difficult events is around a search for information
6	and context. It's to resource us, as communities, as societies to be better able to
7	understand what happened and to look for then what we might take away from that to
8	protect us going forward, to prevent in the future.
9	From our perspective, I think, from the work that we've done and
10	from a long period of time involved in thinking about this type of work, what is helpful
11	here is that if we begin as a society to take gender-based violence seriously and
12	respond to it effectively with the mechanisms that we have at hand, we have then a
13	chance of bringing into view people whose patterns of behaviour in that context are
14	escalating
15	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
16	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: moving towards threatening other
17	types of violence that then move on to affect others.
18	I think one of the things we would want to say about the continuum
19	of private and public violence is that even when the private violence doesn't come into
20	the public sphere, it has public impacts. It impacts those around
21	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
22	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: both the victim and the perpetrator.
23	It impacts children. It impacts family members. It impacts health services. It impacts
24	workplaces. So there is always a sense in which private violence is always already
25	having public effects that we are increasingly aware of. It seems that given the difficulty

of predicting those pathways, or catching them, or recognizing them, that one of the

private violence as a critically important public problem ---

mechanisms that we have to hand is a clearer and stronger and more solid attention to

26

27

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.

2	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: that needs our attention, and that
3	we need to seek to make women, children and those affected by private violence safe
4	at home, because that does assist us in identifying perpetrators who may, who are likely
5	because of the patterns that we've seen, to be those who go on to commit mass public
6	casualty events, even if we can't work out exactly which ones.
7	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm. So what I hear you saying is that
8	by looking for earlier opportunities to intervene, we may be disrupting all kinds of
9	violence, including mass casualties, and that perhaps we'll never know which ones were
10	interrupted or prevented, but that there would be sort of broader public impacts to
11	focussing on these forms of what are traditionally referred to as private violence. Is that
12	a fair summary?
13	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: That is a fair summary, yes.
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay. And so that sounds easy. Do you
15	have specific recommendations for how that can be accomplished?
16	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I might answer this one, JaneMaree.
17	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
18	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Well, just to add about first of all, to
19	add about the predicting, exactly right, very hard to predict. You can see the pathway in
20	hindsight but not look forward and say the prediction. But one thing that needs to
21	happen is when security agencies, police agencies identify someone they believe is at
22	risk of committing public violence like a mass casualty attack, if there is gender-based
23	violence in their background, that should be seen as a red flag. And because we had a
24	case in Australia where someone did come to the attention of the security service for
25	risk assessment on the basis that they might be a public risk, but the security services,
26	in assessing the risk, decided that the gender-based violence, which was extensive and
27	known, was not relevant, and that really had repercussions. And you can never know
28	for sure, but the individual did go on to engage in what could have been a mass

1	casualty attack. He did, in fact, take hostages, 18 of them, and 1 was executed by him
2	and another was killed when the police came in. But it's very clear when they looked at
3	his security assessment in hindsight that the security agencies had said, well, there's
4	personal violence, but that's not relevant to the public risk that we're looking at, and that
5	was a mistake.
6	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And that is
7	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: In terms of
8	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Sorry, just to confirm that that's the attack
9	at the Lindt Café, I believe, that you talk about in your paper?
10	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes.
11	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay.
12	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Which happened in 2014.
13	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Okay. Please continue.
14	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah, Yeah, so JaneMaree talked
15	about, and you summarized well about intervening earlier to stop the escalation of
16	gender-based violence because you can't know out of this huge pool of gender-based
17	violence what's going to spill over and become a public risk. So we need to prevent
18	
	be better at preventing gender-based violence, and that prevention needs to be primary
19	be better at preventing gender-based violence, and that prevention needs to be primary prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based
19 20	
	prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based
20	prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based violence, which is, you know, very well researched and supported by the research and
20 21	prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based violence, which is, you know, very well researched and supported by the research and evidence is that you really need to look at gender inequality.
20 21 22	prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based violence, which is, you know, very well researched and supported by the research and evidence is that you really need to look at gender inequality. MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
20212223	prevention as well as which means in the primary prevention for gender-based violence, which is, you know, very well researched and supported by the research and evidence is that you really need to look at gender inequality. MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm. DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: That's the way of really intervening

summarized, to intervene in ways to stop gender-based violence escalating when

27

28

there's knowledge about it.

1	But if we're looking for solutions to gender-based violence, which is
2	a very simplistic term for a hugely complex problem, as you said, it's
3	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Yeah.
4	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: that's not easy to do
5	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I just thought
6	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I think the
7	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: I wanted to be clear for the record that I
8	was perhaps reaching for sarcasm when I suggested that the answers would be simple,
9	so it doesn't
10	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes, I
11	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: always translate in a sarcasm
12	doesn't always come through in a transcript, but I appreciate these issues are complex.
13	So we'd be interested to hear your perspective.
14	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes. So apart from some looking at
15	preventing gender-based violence, we also need to, I think, really seriously address the
16	unhelpful dichotomy between public and private violence.
17	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
18	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: So for example, the media needs to
19	perhaps have some parameters around the way they report mass casualty attacks or
20	familicides because when the media reports a familicide where multiple people are
21	killed in what is really a mass casualty attack, it's not usually called a mass casualty
22	attack, but more than that, the perpetrator's often referred to as a good bloke. And
23	those kind of framings actually encourage the violence because they excuse it. They
24	suggested there's some reason for it.
25	And we had a case in Queensland, which is a state in Australia,
26	where a woman, Hannah Clarke and her three young children, were doused in petrol
27	and set on fire by her three by her estranged partner.
28	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.

1	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And so he killed, in this very brutal and
2	barbaric way, his children, three young children and his wife. And the police officer
3	investigating said in a media interview that there'll be people who'll be wondering what
4	side to come down on this, whether it's the woman suffering from family violence or
5	whether it's a man who's suffered and been pushed too far into committing these acts.
6	So there needs to
7	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: So there could be some rational
8	explanation for
9	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah.
10	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: for the act?
11	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And the thing is, if there was a mass
12	casualty attack involving strangers, it would never be the suggestion that really, there's
13	two sides to this mass casualty attack.
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Right.
15	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And who do we you know, whose side
16	do we take?
17	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: M'hm.
18	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: So those sort of framings are actually
19	dangerous because they encourage the grievance against women, so we need to look
20	at placing responses. We need to look at the media. We need to look at gun control,
21	but I understand that other people with expertise will be looking at that around gender
22	and family violence.
23	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And so you mentioned looking at the
24	police. Would this, in your opinion, involve increased funding for the police?
25	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: We thought carefully about that, and the
26	research suggests that the issue is very rarely lack of funding. It's not always poor
27	policy. The issue tends to be not prioritizing gender or private violence in the way that
28	public violence is prioritized. It's saying it's continuing to say private violence is

- something that the police don't need to be as specifically involved in as public violence.
- We see also there's a cost benefit in -- giving more funding to the police because there's
- other programs, services that need to be invested in to keep women safe; we need to
- 4 invest in long-term primary prevention, which is gender equality.
- So we really see the issue being around consistent police
- 6 response, the reprioritization, and police accountability, too; a duty of care to victim
- survivors, and ensuring that the policies that are in place that in many Western
- 8 countries are progressive and have progressed really well over recent decades, mainly
- 9 because of the work of feminists in every part of the system, that those policies are
- 10 consistently put into place, implemented.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: One of the things that does, very
- consistently, emerge in instances of intimate partner homicide is that very often women
- have made multiple reports to police requesting support and assistance, and despite
- policies being in place that require response and say we will take this seriously; despite
- an increasing recognition that for most police forces in the developed world, over 50
- 16 percent of their work is actually focused on domestic and family violence incidences,
- that's actually most of their job in a large -- in large part, that there is an unwillingness to
- take women's complaints or concerns seriously in this context. And that does go back
- to that sense that, oh, this is just a domestic; this is a less-than form of violence, and so
- if someone phoned up and said, "You know, every time I walk into my shop, there's a
- 21 person there who's spitting at me and swearing at me outside the door," that that would
- be taken very seriously as a question of kind of criminal behaviour in a public space, but
- when a similar complaint is made in a familial sense there's just, I think, an ingrained or
- learned sense that that is a less than and we don't need to respond as seriously as we
- 25 would otherwise.

- So we're on a journey towards talking about that in a slightly
- 27 different way but we have yet to realize that form of response.
 - **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Thank you, Dr. Maher.

1	I want to thank you both for the paper you prepared. And those
2	are my questions for you, other than to say that maybe to just give you both a final
3	opportunity to speak to any other recommendations or suggestions you may have for
4	the Commissioners that you haven't had an opportunity to address yet today.
5	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah, I just had just a couple.
6	I think we need to ensure gender expertise amongst those
7	organizations charged with assessing risk of public violence. For example, looking for
8	security services, looking at terrorism and fixated I don't know if you have fixated
9	persons units, but people who are assessing public risk need to have a really there
10	needs to be included gender expertise in that because we've seen in Australia how that
11	can be very problematic if they don't.
12	We need to consider where women's safety fits into the national
13	security context because there's more lives lost to family violence, for example, than
14	there is to terrorism or public mass casualty attacks. And we need to be aware that the
15	family man is not mutually exclusive to the mass killer, not the antithesis of the mass
16	killer but often the same person, and this is fundamental to understanding better
17	understanding mass casualty attacks and public risk.
18	But also like to thank the Commission very much for having us,
19	giving us the opportunity to write the report and speak here tonight. Thank you very
20	much.
21	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you.
22	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I'd like to echo that thanks.
23	I think as Jude said, to think when we think about violence, we
24	need to think about all forms as violence as connected and we need to therefore
25	respond with the same degree of seriousness and intent to all forms of violence. And
26	that will give us, I think, the best opportunity of moving forward.
27	But I would also like to thank you and the Commission and the
28	community for offering us the opportunity to be a part of this really important process.

1	Thank you.
2	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you.
3	Commissioners, those are my questions for Drs. Maher and
4	McCulloch.
5	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
6	And thank you again, Dr. McCulloch and Dr. Maher. The process
7	we've been following is that we would break after you've given your evidence proper to
8	see if some of our many participants might have some follow-up questions for you. And
9	that's what we'll do now. I realize it's approaching midnight where you are, I think, so
10	we greatly appreciate that. But we'll break for 15 minutes and that'll give the counsel fo
11	the Commission and the counsel for the Participants a chance to meet and make sure
12	that the questions are coordinated for you. So if you can just bear with us for 15
13	minutes or so, we'll be back.
14	Thank you so much.
15	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Thank you.
16	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Thank you.
17	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: The proceedings are
18	now on break and will resume in 15 minutes.
19	Upon breaking at 9:39 a.m.
20	Upon resuming at 9:59 a.m.
21	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Welcome back. The
22	proceedings are again in session.
23	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
24	Ms. Hnatiw.
25	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you, Commissioners.
26	Counsel for a number of the Participants have questions for Dr.
27	Maher and Dr. McCulloch, so I will turn things over to them, with your permission.
28	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Yes, thank you so much. And if

1	they would be kind enough, as always, to indicate to the witnesses the details of their
2	retainer.
3	Thank you.
4	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER, Resumed:
5	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH, Resumed:
6	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you again, Dr. McCulloch
7	and Dr. Maher. There will be some questions follow-up questions for you and the
8	counsel will let you know who they represent.
9	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Thank you.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN:
11	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Good morning. I appreciate our
12	time today; I note it is now midnight, and so I really appreciate you staying up to answer
13	some of these questions.
14	My name is Anastacia Merrigan; I represent the Transition House
15	Association of Nova Scotia, and we're in a coalition with two other organizations, Be the
16	Peace Institute, and Women's Shelters Canada.
17	I have a number of questions for you, as I'm sure you can
18	understand, from my clients' perspective. So a lot of them are just clarifying things that
19	you had said in your report or that you have said today.
20	The first is a question about the effect of siloing or keeping
21	separate gender-based violence when examining mass casualty events. Specifically
22	how important the integration of examining gender-based violence in any investigation
23	or inquiry into a mass casualty event, how important is that?
24	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: So I think we would say it's absolutely
25	critical, that it's really impossible to understand the dynamics of many mass casualty
26	attacks without understanding the connections between gender-based violence and that
27	attack. And in fact, many as our report points out, many mass casualty attacks are

actually gender-based violence, pure and simple, involving mass casualties. Others are

1	a combination where domestic, family violence is intermingled with more public
2	violence. But absolutely critical that the research does that, and also that this public
3	inquiry is very I think it's very forward-looking, thinking, and positions itself well to
4	consider this question as part of its brief.
5	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: One of the things that is becoming
6	increasingly apparent is that hostile misogynistic attitudes, willingness to perpetrate
7	gender-based violence in a private context is very clearly associated with other forms of
8	public violence, and there's research that's recently been published looking at a number
9	of different countries that suggests that actually those who hold those misogynistic
10	attitudes who then engage in other sorts of extremism are more likely, in fact, to act on
11	those kind of violent agendas for change. So that there is something about the gender-
12	based violence and the hostile misogynistic attitudes that acts as a sort of a pathway or
13	a driver towards those other forms of violence.
14	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you both for those
15	responses.
16	Connected to that, I'd like your opinion on the timing of such an
17	investigation. Specifically, does leaving the addressing of issues of gender-based
18	violence to the end of an investigation into a mass casualty event have any effect on the
19	resulting recommendations?
20	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: When you talk about investigation, are
21	you talking something like a commission, or are you talking about a police investigation,
22	or?
23	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: We'd be interested in your opinion
24	on both, both in terms of a police investigation but also in terms of a more public inquiry
25	such as this one.
26	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Well, I guess my opinion is that you

have to be, as an investigator of any type, very -- you should be alive to the potential

connections and be investigating it from the beginning. You should be open to that,

27

1	knowing that there's a pattern that frequently occurs. So it should be front of mind to
2	keep it in mind.
3	JaneMaree, do you have an opinion?
4	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think in a way the question that
5	you've asked and the concern that you're expressing around timing reflects that siloing
6	of public and private violence, in and of itself; that if we had a framework where
7	violence, histories of violence were understood in a more unified way, there wouldn't be
8	a question about whether you looked at gender-based violence at the beginning or the
9	end because that would be part of the violence, it would be central to your consideration
10	of what was going on.
11	One of the ongoing patterns in mass casualty events, and most
12	recently in Uvalde, Texas shooting, is that the person who was shot first in that instance
13	was the shooter's grandmother, and yet in newspaper reports and discussions that
14	disappears, in a sense quite quickly, even though it's really central.
15	So as Jude said earlier in her testimony that we need to make sure
16	that we include all of the people involved in a mass casualty event and understand the
17	event as a whole in order to respond to it, and then work from there.
18	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you. And that brings me to
19	a related question about whether framing a mass casualty event as starting with the
20	attack on strangers as opposed to family members or domestic partners, does that help
21	to mask the connections between gender-based violence and mass casualty events,
22	and does it have any effects on the longstanding stereotypes about public versus

DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: It certainly, I think, does. It serves to mask exactly what violence has occurred and what it is that is going on. And it -- given what our report shows and what the research is suggesting about the intimate connection between the commission of gender-based violence and these mass casualty attacks, that the people who do them are the same and that a strong commitment to

private violence?

23

24

25

26

27

gender-based violence and misogyny and hostility is evident in the biographies of these

2 larger events that come to public attention, that it is really important to hold that in view

for -- so we understand what's going on and, yeah, hopefully through understanding will

come safety, more safety.

DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: And I think by not -- by reporting first, for example, the public aspects, I mean, it's clear that the public aspects of mass casualty attacks and public mass casualty attacks get much more attention than the private path or private mass -- what might call private mass casualty attacks, and this creates a false impression about what mass casualty attacks are and who the victims are. And by playing down, minimizing, obscuring the connection, you know, it undermines our understanding. But also, it's not just a separation, it's a hierarchy, so we see the private violence as less serious, and if we're going to better prevent mass casualty attacks, we have to take private violence or gender-based violence seriously. So it undermines our ability in all sorts of ways.

MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you.

I'd like to turn now -- you talked about -- in great detail about the different categories of links between gender-based violence and mass casualty events, in four different categories. Could you just clarify about if a mass casualty event could fall into more than one of those categories? For example, it could be motivated by both misogyny but also part of a continuum of domestic violence or intimate partner violence or any of the other categories that you've identified?

DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think we would both unequivocally say absolutely, that one of the foundational premises of the work that we do in bringing a gendered lens to understanding the commission of violence, wherever it occurs, is that recognition that the sense of hierarchy, a patriarchal society, a sense of entitlement, a sense that men have particular roles and women have other, lesser roles and should stay within them and behave appropriately within them, that that of course is part of gender-based violence; it's of course part of being aggrieved; it's of course a form of

1 misogyny, too. So there are strong threads that connect all of those categories and typologies. 2 **DR. JUDE McCULLOCH:** And I must say I am -- and I think 3 JaneMaree is too -- kind of aware that there's an irony or a contradiction there. We're 4 talking about a continuity of violence, but in order to kind of exemplify, we've used some 5 typographies and categories. But, you know, there's spillovers everywhere. Like, we've 6 7 talked a bit about the spillover, or the interlocking nature of the specific woman targeted 8 and a history of family violence in the background. And although we only looked in 9 detail at misogyny as a motivation for mass casualty attacks, I mean, misogyny is also obviously present in many, most cases of specific women targeted and where a 10 perpetrator has gender-based violence in their background. So the categories are kind 11 of helpful for illuminating certain things, but they're not strict by any means. 12 MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you for that clarification. 13 You also spoke a lot about the -- moving away from the idea that the attacks on a 14 15 specifically targeted woman are triggering events, rather than part of the mass casualty 16 event itself. Can you comment on any effect, the effect, if any, of this approach on recommendations that would be made coming out of an inquiry like this, using the 17 approach of viewing that first instance of violence against a specifically targeted woman 18 as a triggering event? Would it have an effect on the recommendations that come out? 19 **DR. JANEMAREE MAHER:** I think in the sense that it skips over a 20 foundational and critical part of the actual events, it has a potential to diminish the 21 22 effectiveness of that event. So Jude, for example, spoke about the Lindt siege, Café, 23 and the occurrence there of a really poor risk assessment that occurred and the outcomes that emerge from that. and I think that principle goes beyond that specific 24 example that if you don't include all of the events and understand them as integrated, 25 you risk missing that. 26 27 There's also a significant issue with a notion of triggering or a failure to -- triggering has long been associated in domestic violence, any domestic 28

- violence homicides, a notion of provocation, and many jurisdictions have moved to
- 2 remove the effect of provocation because there's a readiness in the societies in which
- we live to say, "Well, I was provoked to do it," or, "She was having an affair," or, you
- 4 know, "She went out with someone," or, "She did something," or whatever, and
- 5 somehow that acts as a kind of justification because of our assumptions about what
- 6 women should and shouldn't do.
- So the use of that language, although it's common place, you know,
- 8 it does suggest that that initial act is not significant because it's kind of a precursor, but
- 9 it also reinforces a range of other stereotypes that are actually linked to the events that
- are under investigation or consideration.
- DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I guess, you know, one of the things
- that we would consider important is that people in influential positions, in leadership
- positions, researchers, but in the public sphere, people who in leadership positions take
- a leadership role around this and don't use language or don't make statements that
- suggest that victims of -- women victims usually, are responsible or partly responsible
- for the violence that's inflicted upon them by the perpetrator, therefore reducing the
- culpability of the perpetrator, and in the public eyes then, excusing, to some extent, the
- violence that has occurred, because that encourages it.
- 19 MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you. And this issue of the
- stereotype that we've spoken about and how harmful it is, your paper doesn't address
- 21 this, however I'm interested to see if you know of any research, or if you've done
- research yourself, about how that stereotype might contribute to mostly women
- remaining in a relationship in which they're experiencing gender-based or domestic
- violence, for the explicit purpose of keeping others safe. Do you have any research on
- 25 that particular area?
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: There's certainly a significant amount
- of research that suggests that mothers with children involved will assess the risk of
- staying or leaving around the safety of the children and what is the best or most

1 protective mechan	ism to ensure that, an	d very often, that	those risks are	dynamic and
---------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------

- they shift and move. So, you know, women will stay in order to secure the safety of
- their children or other members of their family or pets and because they feel that they're
- 4 leaving the relationship will create threat.
- 5 And certainly in the Australian context, and I'm sure in the
- 6 Canadian context too, there's a lot of evidence that suggests that actually the point of
- 7 leaving the relationship is the most -- of leaving a violent and abusive relationship is the
- 8 most dangerous point where a woman is likely to be killed by an abusive partner,
- 9 because of that sense of moving out of control.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

There's also been increasing attention to the notion of coercive control, which is the work that perpetrators quite explicitly do to diminish confidence and capacity to act independently, and their access to money. You know, a pattern that's attracted a lot of attention globally is the use of the trackers on phones, where a partner will have a tracker on a phone and make sure that they're able to see where their partner is at all times, what they're doing, what money they're spending, who they're talking to, using those mechanisms.

And one of the things that is -- coercive control is very important because what we know is that 50 percent of intimate partner homicides, there's been no interaction with services before the fatality occurs.

So those mechanisms of control and dislocation within the relationship are really important to take into account.

So I think there is -- women often don't act to leave the relationship because of safety concerns for themselves and for others, but also our broader social settings, where we don't always believe what women say, or we minimize it, are also a barrier for women leaving abusive relationships and seeking safety. So there's a significant connection there.

MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you.

Dr. McCulloch, I'm not sure if you wanted to -- if you had anything

1	to add?
2	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: No, I'm not aware of research in that
3	area or nothing. JaneMaree's answered the question.
4	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: You also note in your report the
5	need for understanding the relationships between a perpetrator of mass casualty events
6	and his victims.
7	In your opinion, how important is this context, beyond identifying
8	the specific a specific woman that has been targeted, how important is it to identify
9	those relationships that may exist between other victims of the perpetrator?
10	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yeah, I think it's fundamental to getting
11	a more nuanced and better understanding of mass casualty attacks, and specifically
12	their gender-based connections. Got to understand, to the extent that it's possible, the
13	relationships with all the victims. Were they really strangers? The extent to which they
14	were known, and/or perhaps intimate with, or victims of the violence of the perpetrator in
15	the past. I think they're all important things to know, whether they're relatives.
16	You think that, you know, you would have a database including that
17	information, but you definitely we definitely don't, you know.
18	So even basic information would be helpful. You know, was this a
19	partner? Was this a girlfriend? Was this someone that the attacker had sexually
20	harassed in the past, for example? Just all the range of relationships. Because I think
21	we would find that the public mass shootings aren't always all that public in the sense
22	that the people were strangers. There's probably likely to be, in some cases, a more
23	mixture.
24	MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you. Just checking to make
25	sure I've asked all the questions.
26	The final question is your report, and I think you made clear that
27	public statements by police that suggest, and by the media, that suggest that a victim is

somehow responsible for the gender-based violence, or that private violence is

somehow less harmful than public violence, are both inappropriate and dangerous. And you've further explained today that it in fact encourages more violence.

Would you agree that the same is true in the context of other statements, in the context of inquiries, into Mass Casualty Commissions into mass casualty events? There have been many. Would you agree that statements made by those in the context of those inquires about -- or sorry, that implicitly suggest that victims are somehow responsible, or that private violence is somehow less harmful than public violence, are those equally as inappropriate and dangerous as statements made by police or the media?

that, that have a public circulation, are not to be encouraged, are to be discouraged, and it tends to play into that dichotomy, which is between public and private violence, which is hierarchal dichotomy, saying one sort of violence, private violence, is less violent, and it's not based in reality.

DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think one of the tools we have is primary prevention, and we already recognize that if we work hard with young children in school to challenge gendered statements, or dismissive statements, or hierarchal statements, that children respond well and then begin to work towards a more kind of equitable framework, which then has the flow-on effect of greater security for all of us.

So I think there is a sense in which everyone who speaks, whether it's a public context or a private context, really to take seriously the deep understanding that these forms of violence all are unacceptable and any opening that we provide around them, any justification or framework, is too readily taken up and turned into poor outcomes, and whether that's a poor outcome just in a very private context behind closed doors, or a much bigger one, you know, we would argue that those are connected and this is kind of a fundamental core to start to understand and speak about these types of violence in quite different ways.

MS. ANASTACIA MERRIGAN: Thank you. Those are all my

1	questions.
2	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you so much.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ERIN BREEN:
4	MS. ERIN BREEN: Good morning, Commissioners.
5	Good morning, professors. Good night as well. My name is Erin
6	Breen and I am counsel for the Participant Coalition of Avalon Sexual Assault Centre,
7	LEAF, and Wellness Within. And I am quite cognizant of the time, so I will be as
8	efficient as possible.
9	And first, I want to thank you on behalf of our client for the very
10	important work that you do. We really greatly appreciate your presence here and your
11	information.
12	Professors, the first issue I would like to talk about with you is a
13	comment I believe, Professor Maher, you made, regarding the issue of private violence
14	that we don't know about. And this is something that is addressed in your report at
15	page 5 of the report. At that reference, you note both the Barrett and the Saxton
16	studies, which are Canadian specific.
17	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.
18	MS. ERIN BREEN: And I just have a couple of questions about
19	those reports. In particular, we understand from the Barrett report that most intimate
20	violence incidents where police become involved are due to self-reporting, rather than
21	police action or investigation. Is that correct?
22	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes, that's correct. And that's
23	commonplace in most jurisdictions, that someone reaches out to the police for help, or -
24	- and that's how police are involved in the situation.
25	MS. ERIN BREEN: And I guess on the flip side of that, from the
26	Saxton paper, we understand that in Canada in particular, and I believe this is a 2018
27	study, but that was updated in 2021, that many women who experience intimate partner
28	violence do not call the police, and that number, I believe, according to Saxton, is as

23

24

25

26

27

28

- **DR. JANEMAREE MAHER:** Again, that's the accurate statistic, 2 and it's commonplace. We know that it's likely that a very small percentage of gender-3 based violence that's committed in the intimate or private context is reported. That's 4 sexual assaults between acquaintances, that's family violence that people don't report 5 to the police, and very often they don't call the police because they see how difficult it is 6 7 once you do call the police, and that there's not necessarily the support that comes. 8 There's often experiences, and this has certainly been a feature of a number of inquiries 9 that are currently occurring in our context, where it depends on the police person you get. So that sometimes that person will get someone who helps them feel safe, and 10 because domestic and family violence events are usually multiple and cumulative, if you 11 get a poor response the first time, you don't call again. 12 **MS. ERIN BREEN:** Yes, that's noted in Saxton as well. 13 Sometimes the women who did call received dismissive responses when they did, 14 which had almost a deterrent effect in the future. 15 16 DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes. Yes. MS. ERIN BREEN: Also in Barrett, the study -- the findings 17 suggest that there is a significant racial, economic, and social variation in women's 18 motivation for self-reporting to police. This is something that you're familiar with as well 19 in the Australian context? 20 21 **DR. JANEMAREE MAHER:** Totally. 22 **DR. JUDE McCULLOCH:** Yeah, certain cohorts of women victims
 - would be very reluctant, based on history and their own experience, to ever involve the police. First Nations women are very high on that list. That's -- yeah, that's -- Australia has an ongoing colonial history, perhaps similar to Canada in some ways, and First Nations women have typically got a very poor police response, or they're out of place to perpetrators and underservice the victims.

But yes, of course there's others factors of marginalization as well.

1	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Refugee and immigrant women,
2	women on temporary visas, women on partner visas, may not feel confident in
3	contacting the police because they're uncertain of their status, they may not be aware
4	that there are notionally protections in place that would support them, and one of the
5	things that is commonly identified is that how a victim presents is an important aspect of
6	gaining credibility. So obviously economic security supports one's ability to present and
7	seek help in a way from police that is more likely to get a positive response than
8	otherwise.
9	Women with disability often struggle to appropriately communicate
10	and get appropriate responses from police because of assumptions around their self-
11	efficacy, or their disability, or their presentation that means there is a misidentification of
12	the victims of violence that are occurring in the relationship, and all those things act as
13	barriers to reporting to police.
14	MS. ERIN BREEN: Yes, thank you. And like Canada, I assume in
15	Australia, the default position in terms of reaction to intimate partner violence has been
16	a carceral or a criminal justice response over the years; is that correct?
17	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I think it's a bit of a mixed bag and it's
18	also very contentious. So there are those that would argue for criminalization, for
19	example, of coercive control, which is only a gender at the moment, and there would be
20	those who argue strongly against it on the basis of criminalization, of making anything
21	an offence will further disadvantage already marginalized groups, particularly First
22	Nations women, but not only First Nations women. And on the basis that policing and
23	the criminal justice system does not deliver good results often for many women, but
24	particularly women who are marginalized.
25	MS. ERIN BREEN: Yes, and I've noted that you also reference the
26	work of Leigh Goodmark, who was an American
27	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes.
28	MS. ERIN BREEN: scholar in this area and has advocated

widely for non-carceral approaches in this regard. And obviously, that's something I'm sure we're going to be exploring throughout the Commission.

My next series of questions, Professors, relate -- because I note that both of you have been personally involved in overseeing the recommendations implemented as a result of the 2016 inquiry in Victoria in your country, where over 200 recommendations were -- I guess, are in the stages of implementation; is that correct?

DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes.

MS. ERIN BREEN: To reduce family violence including violence against women and children. And I just wanted to ask you, I know it's probably still early days in terms of measuring results. Where you are members of overseeing the implementation of the recommendations, have you been able to measure any -- how effective or whether there has been any change in Australia as a result of those recommendations?

Victoria, I think it's a complicated landscape. There's certainly been a lot of investment, there's been a lot of goodwill and enthusiasm. There's been, I would say, good political leadership. It's very hard to measure change though, and what are your indicators of change. I think there's some suggestion that attitudes are changing, but, of course, once you increase recognition of family violence, you also increase reporting. And I think, you know, some of -- there are arguments that, you know, women's expectations have been raised but men's behaviour hasn't changed. There's certainly been a lot of investment in policing, and on the surface, things look pretty good, but what's going on beneath is often more complicated.

So, for example, Victoria police came out, and I think it might have been in about 2016, and said they would treat family violence as seriously as terrorism, which is a good -- we felt that was a good thing to say because, you know, in terms of lives lost and harm done in Australia, it's certainly more significant, family violence than terrorism. But I think it's a long conversation to kind of answer that question. We

- 1 looked at specific recommendations. Things like information sharing seemed to have
- worked well. But on the other ---
- 3 **MS. ERIN BREEN:** Yes.
- 4 **DR. JUDE McCULLOCH:** --- hand, First Nations women are so
- 5 suspicious ---
- 6 **MS. ERIN BREEN:** Yeah.
- 7 **DR. JUDE McCULLOCH:** --- and with good reason, of the idea
- that their information would be shared because they fear reporting family violence will
- 9 lead to the removal of their children, and that fear is not unfounded. I think generally
- speaking, it's seen to be a good move, but there is that, you know, not an insignificant
- issue of First Nations women potentially being disadvantaged and certainly feeling very
- fearful, which in itself is a problem.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I think one of the areas where there
- has been I think success and values has been a greater integration of the men's
- services with the other systems of services that are in operation. So typically,
- perpetrator programs and response services sat well outside the other services, and
- that was historical, and political, and a range of different things. But as Jude said in the
- information sharing space particularly with recognition that this doesn't work very well
- for marginalized groups, but I think we certainly have seen men's services actively take
- up the opportunity to share risk, to recognize that privacy and their commitment to their
- clients is always trumped by a sense of risk, and that a focus on women's safety has to
- be the focus of all of that work, that behaviour change is not change in and of itself. It's
- the changes that the woman in that perpetrator's life has to be safer and has to
- 24 experience greater safety. So I think that is one of the places where there has been a
- 25 significant commitment. Victoria police has an assistant commissioner for family
- violence, which sits, therefore, you know, at a really high pillar of visibility and a public
- 27 kind of statement of the commitment. They have worked very hard on the training and
- have specialist groups, but there are lots of gaps, and as Jude said, this is a long road

1	to be walking. There were 227 recommendations, and many of them will take decades
2	to kind of weave their way through.

MS. ERIN BREEN: Thank you. And I know it's covering a broad 3 area, but I know that the recommendations are publicly available, so perhaps we can 4 have a look at them at some point. One recommendation though I did want to ask 5 specifically about is the recommendation to develop a framework and pilot program for 6 restorative justice options in family violence. I'm just wondering, has that been 7 8 implemented, and is there -- is that currently an option in Australia? DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: I don't think I know the answer to that at 9 this stage. I know it's controversial. Do you know the answer to that, JaneMaree? 10 **DR. JANEMAREE MAHER:** I think the place where it has been 11 most activated has been when the perpetrator of the violence is an adolescent in the 12 family context. So that's been a space where there's been much more willingness to 13 take up an opportunity for a restorative kind of conversation. It hasn't, I think, 14 15 progressed as far as some of the other recommendations because it is a deeply 16 contentious issue. And clearly, if, on the one hand we're wanting to reverse a long-term tendency to minimize this violence as not significant, and if we recognize that that 17 violence is bound up in familial hierarchies and relationships, you know, there has been 18 some concern expressed by some of the practitioners working with adolescent males 19 that a primary victim, who's often a mother, will work to resolve the situation, even 20

MS. ERIN BREEN: Okay. Thank you very much for that.

Finally, I just wanted to ask you both, and I don't know if you can speak to this, but there has been commitment to a national plan to reduce violence against women in Australia since 2010. And we're now at 2022, and I understand that you're into your fourth action plan ---

though it's not necessarily a restoration of her safety. So I think those concerns are still

DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

very much in play.

1	MS. ERIN BREEN: With and there's a significant investment
2	and focus on both primary prevention and early intervention integrated into that plan.
3	And I guess it's the same question, has there been any way to measure how things
4	have changed or how things are improving, if they are improving?
5	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Such a good question. You go first,
6	JaneMaree.
7	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Such a difficult question to answer
8	though because I read a really depressing analysis that said 12 years into the action
9	plan and we have seen no change in the rates of intimate partner homicide in Australia,
10	which as a kind of a high-level indicator of what's going on underneath is a significant
11	and troubling outcome of those plans. There has been significant investment, but I think
12	we would note that the investment, for example, in things like homeland security, so-
13	called, and counterterrorism units always outstrips extensively the investment in
14	women's services and economic support for those who are displaced in housing and all
15	of those sorts of things. So I think one of the things that is emerging in this national
16	plan, which will be reviewed, given that we've just had a change of government, is the
17	need to integrate housing and economic equality as critical parts of changing that
18	picture, because economic insecurity causes women to stay. It creates risks for women
19	in the first place, and it reduce options. And the first national plan and action plans
20	didn't address housing. They didn't address the connection to women's economic
21	inequality and insecurity in the way that that contributed to family violence. So
22	MS. ERIN BREEN: Yeah.
23	MS. JANEMAREE MAHER: it's an important national
24	conversation that we're having. But as you saw, we have been having it for 12 years,
25	and there's certainly greater awareness. It's hard to say that less people, less women
26	and children are being affected because I think that's not the case.
27	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: But it is a good question, Erin, and I
28	think you hit the nail on the head. One of the I think the main two criticism of the

1	previous national plan is there hasn't been any way to measure the outcomes. So in the
2	consultations about this most recent national plan, that was really at the forefront of
3	people's minds. We have to do better in terms of measuring.
4	MS. ERIN BREEN: Okay. Thank you very much, both of you, for
5	your insight today. Those are all my questions for you.
6	Thank you, Commissioners.
7	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: And thank you so much.
8	Ms. Hnatiw?
9	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you, Commissioners. I have no
10	further questions for Drs. Maher and McCulloch this morning, but the Commissioners
11	may have questions for you, so if I could ask you just hold on and stay awake for a little
12	bit longer. Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
14	Commissioner Fitch?
15	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Thank you very much, Doctors, for your
16	great contributions. I have all of my questions answered today based on your excellent
17	report and the questions put forward by our Participants who are deeply engaged and
18	committed to this work. So that's great. I would love to continue the conversation
19	because I have a lot of a lot to say about your excellent work and look forward to
20	doing so in our future recommendations. Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Commissioner Stanton?
22	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you. There are a couple of
24	points in your report that I would appreciate a bit of expansion upon, please. One is a
25	mention on page 27 where you mention some research with respect to the relationship
26	between the pandemic and a heightened prevalence of intimate partner homicide, and
27	you say in particular that there's some research on increases of this type of violence in
28	rural and remote areas of Canada. And I wondered if you could speak to that for us,

1	please.
2	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Are you in a position to speak to that,
3	JaneMaree?
4	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: I'll just have to find it. Could you if -
5	- would you be able to name
6	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Yes, it was the
7	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: the
8	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Moffatt 2020 reference in the text
9	on page 27.
10	DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: So there's a systematic pattern that
11	has long been recognized that in rural and remote communities in Canada and other
12	places where there is often access to firearms and a lack of access to services and
13	those things combine together to create particular types of risks. Obviously, in the
14	pandemic, all across the globe and in a range of different context, including in the
15	Moffatt, there has been a recognition that there's been increased intimate partner
16	violence. And coming from that, there's been increased incidents of homicide that's
17	uneven and unevenly measured. And one of the things about some of those rights is
18	that when people's mobility and movement is restricted in other ways, it will change the
19	way the percentages occur.
20	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you. The other point in the
21	report that I had that I just wondered if you could expand upon, please, is mentioned on
22	page 32. And you're quite clear that the answer doesn't appear to be allocation of more
23	resources to policing, and what you do suggest though is, among other things, the
24	consistent implementation of policy and practice should be supported by robust internal
25	and external accountability mechanisms, including attention to workforce training and
26	development. I wondered if you could speak a bit further about accountability
27	mechanisms that you suggest might be of assistance, please.
28	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Yes. Well, my view due to my

- experience working quite a bit in the space of police accountability, both to, you know,
- 2 people that I come into contact with as victims and people that I deal with as
- 3 perpetrators is the need for robust independent placed accountability mechanisms.
- 4 Now, I don't know the details of Canada's or Canadian provinces or states
- 5 accountability mechanisms, but in Australia, which is an advanced democracy, and in
- our state of Victoria, which is very progressive politically, still, 98 percent of complaints
- 7 against police are investigated by police. And the investigations are meant to be
- 8 overseen by an independent body but, you know, it's very problematic. So the UN has
- 9 a whole range of recommendations about, you know, human rights compliance,
- compliant, independent complaint mechanisms or complaint mechanisms for police.
- And in this context, I think it's really important, because what the research does show
- about family violence and policing is that there's often good policies, but they're not
- implemented. And police need to have a duty of care and to be accountable, so there is
- an impunity about that, and that means that those mechanisms need to be robust and
- independent, so there isn't that sense of impunity.
- And there's also the issue, and internal accountability may be part of this, there is also the issue as put of police as perpetrators, and that's been quite an
- issue in Victoria and other states as well, Queensland, where the kind of masculinist
- tendencies of organizations like the police and the military can lead to, you know -- and
- 20 they -- reflective of the community in some ways, there are perpetrators in the police
- 21 force. It's important that this is dealt with as a -- should be as the lower policies said
- they should be and not be protected within the organization, but it's particularly
- 23 problematic for victim survivors when the perpetrator is a police officer.
- I don't know if that answers your question.
- 25 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you.
- Dr. Maher, did you have anything that you wanted to add?
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Only that those questions of policing
- 28 become incredibly intense and difficult in rural and remote locations where there's

1	anonymity in terms of seeking services or support or reporting to police is really
2	unavailable because of the interconnected nature of the community. So, you know, in
3	Australia, in towns that we visited, outback towns, you know, having there's no way
4	that someone could in fact make a report or even seek support from a non-police
5	service, because to do so would be to reveal that to the whole community, to create a
6	range of different things. So there's particular locations where policing becomes really
7	very complicated, and there are less opportunities for it to be effective. But as Jude
8	said, there's a depressing recurrence of incidents where police procedures have just not
9	been followed as they're laid out in the police force commitment or in the policy or
10	procedure that this is the mechanism of response, this is what we do, this is how you
11	take seriously a complaint that occurs. And the outcomes of that are often a police
12	force will say, so this has happened in Australia in the last couple of weeks, a police
13	force will say, "Well, we'll do more training and we'll do more." It's hard to say that that
14	is enough accountability, because training is critically important, but it's also a
15	commitment to follow through on what the training suggests is best practice, and
16	perhaps consequences if that is not the practice that's adopted in that context.
17	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thanks so much to both of you for a
18	helpful report and for joining us so late in the evening. I'll pass it back to Commissioner
19	MacDonald to thank you formally on behalf of the Commission. Thank you.
20	DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Yes. Thank you both so very
22	much, Dr. McCulloch, and Dr. Maher.
23	On behalf of the Commission, I would like to thank you on three
24	fronts, if I could.
25	The first is more generally for the work you do for all of us. Your
26	tremendous courageous scholarship in promoting important changes is a legacy in

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

Secondly, thank you for your thoughtful, comprehensive, and

development, I'm sure, and we greatly appreciate it. it benefits us all.

27

- insightful report. Very helpful to the important work we're doing and aligns, of course,
- 2 specifically with the express terms of our Orders in Council.
- And thirdly, and perhaps most importantly, is thank you for joining
- 4 us today and adding even more value to the tremendous report you've done. You've
- done -- you continue to do a great service to all of us. We on the Commission strive to
- find the very best expertise we can find, regardless of where in the world that may be,
- 7 and in this instance, it means keeping you up well past midnight, for which we are even
- 8 more grateful.
- 9 So thank you for your contribution to all of us, to the Commission,
- and to assisting us in what will be hopefully important and pragmatic recommendations.
- 11 So thank you so very much.
- DR. JUDE McCULLOCH: Thank you. Thank you again for the
- 13 opportunity.
- DR. JANEMAREE MAHER: Yes, thank you. It's been a privilege.
- 15 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Ms. Hnatiw, you of course will be
- having your presentation. Perhaps we should take a 15-minute break at this time.
- 17 Would that make sense? Thank you.
- 18 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** Thank you. The
- proceedings are now on break and will resume in 15 minutes.
- 20 --- Upon breaking at 10:54 a.m.
- 21 --- Upon resuming at 11:11 a.m.
- 22 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** Welcome back. The
- 23 proceedings are again in session.
- 24 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Thank you.
- 25 --- INTRODUCTION OF FOUNDATIONAL DOCUMENTS THE PERPETRATOR'S
- 26 VIOLENCE TOWARDS HIS COMMON-LAW SPOUSE
- 27 --- PRESENTATION BY MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:
- 28 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Thank you, Commissioners.

1	I'm Gillian Hnatiw, member of the Commission Counsel team. And
2	this afternoon, or I guess this morning, I'll be presenting the Foundational Document
3	titled "The Perpetrator's Violence Towards His Common-Law Spouse".
4	I am trying to just a moment. I got the hang of it.
5	Madam Registrar, I wondered if we could start this presentation by
6	marking this Foundational Document as an exhibit?
7	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: And that's Exhibit 3437.
8	EXHIBIT No. 3437:
9	Foundational Documents – The Perpetrator's Violence
10	Towards His Common-Law Spouse
11	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: And I would also ask that all the related
12	source material referenced in the Foundational Document be marked as well.
13	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: So exhibited.
14	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you.
15	Today's presentation summarizes evidence that is currently
16	available to the Mass Casualty Commission about the perpetrator's violence towards his
17	common-law spouse of 19 years, Lisa Banfield.
18	The presentation will cover all forms of violence and coercion within
19	the 19-year relationship, including verbal and emotional abuse, intimidation, and
20	financial control, as well as his controlling and possessive behaviour towards her.
21	Ms. Banfield will also be attending on Friday to provide evidence on
22	other issues relating to the perpetrator. However, Ms. Banfield will not be retelling her
23	experience of violence when she testifies. This means she will not be retelling her
24	experiences as the first victim of the mass casualty on April 18th and 19th 2020.
25	For that reason, this presentation draws heavily on audio and visual
26	materials from Ms. Banfield's past interviews with the RCMP and with the Commission
27	so that we are all able to hear her retell large parts of the story in her own words.
28	I apologize, the screens the slides are advancing on everybody's

1 screens	but mine.	Okay.
-----------	-----------	-------

Today's Foundational Document is the third in a related series that were prepared in connection with the work done by the Commission in connection with its mandate to examine the role of gender-based and intimate partner violence in the context of mass shootings, with a view to making forward-looking recommendations.

The first two Foundational Documents in this series, titled "Violence in the Perpetrator's Family of Origin", and "Perpetrator's Violent Behaviour Towards

Others" are now available on our website.

The fourth and final document in this series, titled "Perpetrator's

Financial Misdealings" will be presented next week.

As with the related Foundational Documents and presentations, there are some key terminology that inform the facts set out in this and the related documents.

These key terms are gender-based violence, which is a term that refers to harmful acts directed at an individual based on their gender. It is rooted in gender inequality, the abuse of power, and harmful norms. It can include sexual, physical, mental, and economic harm inflicted both in public and in private.

The second term is intimate partner violence. Behaviour by an intimate partner or ex-partner that causes physical, sexual, or psychological harm, including physical aggression, sexual coercion, psychological abuse, and controlling behaviours. That definition comes from the World Health Organization.

Finally, the term coercive control is relevant to the facts set out in this document. According to Dr. Katreena Scott, coercive control is defined as an ongoing pattern of abuse and the accumulation of harm that occurs through both time and space, as opposed to incident specific and injury inducing violence that predominates in current definitions and law-enforcement responses towards intimate partner violence.

About Lisa Banfield. Lisa Banfield was born and raised in Lower

- Sackville, Nova Scotia. She was 31 years old when she met the perpetrator. Lisa is the
- 2 second youngest of nine siblings. She has always had a close relationship with her
- family, especially with her sisters, Maureen and Janice, who are immediately elder and
- 4 younger to her in the sib line.
- 5 Ms. Banfield and her sisters are also very close with their niece,
- 6 Stephanie, who they sometimes to refer to as a sister as well. Stephanie grew up close
- 7 to them in age, and they all grew up together.
- 8 Ms. Banfield completed high school in Dartmouth, and then
- 9 completed a year long program at the Academy of Cosmetology.
- Prior to meeting the perpetrator, she worked in a hair salon, as well
- as for the Royal Bank of Canada for a number of years.
- She was previously married and divorced, as was the perpetrator.
- At the time of the mass casualty, they had lived together for
- 14 approximately 19 years.
- Ms. Banfield met the perpetrator at the Thirsty Duck Bar in Halifax
- in May of 2001. On their first date, the perpetrator showed up in a convertible with a
- dozen roses. Ms. Banfield was not impressed by this and thought it was showing.
- However, during the date, she describes how a woman rear-ended
- the perpetrator in his new car and that she was impressed by his calm and
- 20 understanding reaction to the fender bender.
- In her interview with the Commission, she said that he was just so
- calm and she thought sweet, and that was one of the things that really won her over.
- 23 After that, the relationship progressed at somewhat lightening
- speed. At the time of their meeting, Ms. Banfield was living with her sister Maureen, as
- she was approximately one year out from her divorce.
- 26 Within weeks, the perpetrator was encouraging Ms. Banfield to
- 27 move in with him at his house at 193 Portland Street in Dartmouth.
- They moved in together on July 1st, 2001.

1	The couple used the top floor of the property as the residence,
2	while the lower floor functioned as the perpetrator's denturist clinic, where Ms. Banfield
3	began working in approximately 2004.
4	Within the first five years of her moving in, sorry, within the first
5	year of her moving in, the perpetrator presented Ms. Banfield with a document to sign to
6	protect his ownership in the property.
7	[AUDIO PLAYBACK]
8	The perpetrator told Ms. Banfield that his parents had wanted her to
9	sign the document, which as you've heard, she signed without seeking any legal advice
10	The perpetrator subsequently bought the cottage in Portapique at
11	200 Portapique Beach Road in October of 2002 and the couple fixed up the property
12	together over the years.
13	The perpetrator spent more time in Portapique at the cottage than
14	Ms. Banfield did and the pattern of them living together and living apart evolved over the
15	course of their 19-year relationship. As Ms. Banfield told the Commission, sometimes
16	she would go up there every weekend, and then she wouldn't go up there for weeks or
17	months at a time. So it all depended on how she was and if she wanted to go up, in
18	part.
19	We will also hear about how his work week evolved over time and
20	how he would cut back his own days at the denturist clinics from five, to four, to three,
21	over the course of their 19-year relationship.
22	Shortly after Ms. Banfield moved in with the perpetrator, he
23	convinced her to sell her car, as he did not like the fact that she had driven that car
24	during her previous marriage. He arranged for her to get a new car and she only
25	learned later that he had put it in his own name.
26	A few years into the relationship, the perpetrator also convinced
27	Ms. Banfield to quit her job at the Royal Bank and to begin training to work for him at the
28	denture clinic.

1	He convinced her that it would enable them to have flexibility to
2	travel and spend time together without worrying about whether she could get time off
3	work.
4	It also proved helpful to him to have her work on the denture
5	material in the clinic, as it enabled him to deliver dentures to patients on a very short
6	timeline, which was a competitive advantage to him in his professional life.
7	When Ms. Banfield began working at the perpetrator's denture
8	clinic in 2005, she took care of administrative duties and also performed technical lab
9	duties related to polishing and waxing the dentures. She was paid an hourly wage,
10	which began at \$18 an hour and eventually increased to \$25 an hour.
11	However, the denture clinic did not use a regular pay roll service.
12	Instead, she had to get the perpetrator to handwrite her a cheque for her wages. This
13	was her only source of income.
14	Ms. Banfield told the Commission that the perpetrator did not like it
15	when she spent money, so he tried to control her spending, which sometimes resulted
16	in him withholding her pay. And as she told the Commission in her interviews:
17	"So, there would be times that he would take part of my
18	pay and say it's towards retirement. Or if I did extra work
19	or [if] I did more work and brought [in] more business []
20	he would put that money aside for retirement. So, he
21	liked to control the money."
22	Ms. Banfield told the RCMP that the perpetrator took care of the
23	money and that:
24	"He was good with his money, so I just trusted with
25	whatever he did."
26	Although Ms. Banfield paid for certain things, the perpetrator took
27	care of the majority of household and other expenses.
28	In addition to Ms. Banfield's work at the perpetrator's clinic, she

- also took care of all the household related duties, including the cooking, cleaning, and
- 2 laundry. She described to both the RCMP and the Commission that her mother had
- waited on her father in the same way and that it made her feel good to look after her
- 4 partner.

5 [AUDIO PLAYBACK]

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: End of that clip you hear Ms. Banfield describing how she sometimes needed a break. And so she told the Commission how she would sometimes stay in Dartmouth while the perpetrator went to Portapique because she needed a break from constantly needing to build him up and look after him.

The perpetrator did not like the fact that Ms. Banfield was close to her family and would often question her plans to spend time with them. As we heard earlier this week, the perpetrator was not close to his own family of origin.

Sometimes, the perpetrator would object to Ms. Banfield using a car if she wanted to see her family, saying that it would be wear and tear and gas, and that she had only just seen them. Other times, the perpetrator would be okay with Ms. Banfield making plans to visit with her family, sometimes he would agree to let her invite them to come up and spend a weekend with them at the cottage in Portapique, but then he would abruptly change his mind at the last moment and force her to make a last-minute excuse to cancel, even if they were already on their way.

[AUDIO PLAYBACK]

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: According to Ms. Banfield, the perpetrator cheated on her multiple times, and she always took him back. This infidelity often occurred at the cottage when Ms. Banfield was not in Portapique. And we heard about some of that infidelity in the presentation of the perpetrator's violence towards others document yesterday. As part of its investigation, the Commission has certainly learned that the perpetrator had many other sexual partners during the course of his relationship with Ms. Banfield, some of whom were previously unknown to her.

1	Ms. Banfield described to the Commission how she would feel sick
2	to her stomach that the perpetrator would hurt her again and again and remarked that
3	she was clearly wrong in thinking that their relationship had changed for the better every
4	time she forgave him and took him back. She also described feelings of devastation
5	and shame around how she let him treat her. Those are her words.
6	The perpetrator's violence and abuse towards Lisa Banfield. As
7	noted before, the perpetrator did not like Ms. Banfield spending too much time with her
8	family and would use work, money and other excuses to control and limit her activities.
9	As the relationship continued, the perpetrator displayed other controlling and jealous
10	behaviours.
11	[AUDIO PLAYBACK]
12	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Ms. Banfield explained to the Commission
13	that the perpetrator could always make her feel like she couldn't do any wrong, and then
14	in the next moment, he would be tearing her down like she was dirt on the bottom of his
15	feet.
16	[AUDIO PLAYBACK]
17	MS CHILLAN HNATIME The perpetrator comptimes emberraced
	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: The perpetrator sometimes embarrassed
18	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient.
18 19	
	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient.
19	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand
19 20	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand her. Sometimes he would force her to call patients and apologize for her mistakes while
19 20 21	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand her. Sometimes he would force her to call patients and apologize for her mistakes while he looked on. The perpetrator also used sexually explicit and degrading language
19 20 21 22	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand her. Sometimes he would force her to call patients and apologize for her mistakes while he looked on. The perpetrator also used sexually explicit and degrading language towards Ms. Banfield, variously referring to her as a shithead, slut and bitch. Although
19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand her. Sometimes he would force her to call patients and apologize for her mistakes while he looked on. The perpetrator also used sexually explicit and degrading language towards Ms. Banfield, variously referring to her as a shithead, slut and bitch. Although Ms. Banfield sometimes objected to this language and told him to stop using it, the
19 20 21 22 23 24	Ms. Banfield in front of patients and he once fired her in the presence of a patient. When she made mistakes at work, the perpetrator would humiliate her and reprimand her. Sometimes he would force her to call patients and apologize for her mistakes while he looked on. The perpetrator also used sexually explicit and degrading language towards Ms. Banfield, variously referring to her as a shithead, slut and bitch. Although Ms. Banfield sometimes objected to this language and told him to stop using it, the perpetrator often ignored her.

It should be noted that at the time she gave this statement, she was in the hospital

1 being treated for the injuries she sustained during the assault by the perpetrator less than 48 hours earlier. She was also being heavily medicated for pain. 2 A week later, Ms. Banfield told the RCMP that she could not 3 remember other instances of domestic violence because there were so many times. 4 When asked to quantify the number of times the perpetrator had abused her physically, 5 Ms. Banfield responded 10, maybe. She also reported that years would go by without 6 7 any physical abuse and that she would think things were back to normal. 8 In her five interviews with the Commission, Ms. Banfield provided a 9 more comprehensive account of the patterns of violence and coercion in her relationship with the perpetrator and the methods she used to cope. She explained that 10 after looking back at her journals, she realized there had been many more than 10 11 incidents of violence and abuse over the almost 2 decades of their relationship. She 12 was surprised to read how early the perpetrator's mistreatment of her had started and 13 how frequently it had occurred. 14 15 When asked in her interviews with the Commission why she 16 appears to have underreported the violence in the relationship to the RCMP, Ms. Banfield described how she would focus on what was in front of her at the moment, 17 rather than what had happened in the past or what might happen in the future, as a 18 means of coping and getting through. 19 [AUDIO PLAYBACK] 20 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** In her interviews with the Commission, 21 22 Ms. Banfield described experiencing many forms of physical violence including being 23 punched, kicked and hit, usually on parts of her body where bruises would be 24 concealed. She also described being choked and having her hair pulled. [AUDIO PLAYBACK] 25

[AUDIO PLAYBACK]

perpetrator would blame Ms. Banfield for his violence.

26

27

28

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: After physically abusing her, the

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: The perpetrator also frequently threatened to harm Ms. Banfield or her family if she left him.

[AUDIO PLAYBACK]

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: The next portion of this presentation covers some examples of specific incidents that were reported to us by Ms. Banfield as well as by a number of other individuals interviewed by both the Commission and the RCMP.

Numerous witnesses recalled an assault at Sutherland Lake in their statements, again to both the RCMP or the Commission. These witnesses included Renée Karsten, Nancy Hudson, John Hudson, Stephanie Goulding and Maureen Banfield, as well as Lisa Banfield. Although their individual accounts vary somewhat in terms of dates and details, they align on the basic elements of the story. What we do know is that it occurred at a cottage owned or rented by a then employee of the perpetrator named Renée Karsten, at Sutherland Lake, which is about a 30-minute drive north of Portapique. The timeline is unclear, but the Commission believes it was likely post October 2002 when the perpetrator purchased his cottage. Ms. Banfield wanted to leave the party while the perpetrator, who had been drinking, wanted to stay. Ms. Banfield attempted to drive away in their jeep. The perpetrator jumped into the jeep and began to hit Ms. Banfield about the body and the head. Ms. Banfield stopped the car and ran into the woods. The perpetrator pursued Ms. Banfield, caught her, and dragged her back towards the jeep. He dropped her when some people from the party came to investigate her screams and what was going on.

Ms. Karsten, in her statement to the RCMP, recalled being really scared by the perpetrator, that his face and the look in his eyes was just -- it scared the hell of out me. The police were called and reportedly drove the perpetrator home. I should note that, as yet, the Commission has been unable to locate police records in connection with this incident. This may be as a result of the lack of clarity around the dates and also some question as to what police service would have responded, in

addition to the passage of time and records retention policies.

2 After the police came, they reportedly drove the perpetrator home.

This was the only time the police -- this is the only report that the Commission has

4 received about the police being called directly in relation to the perpetrator's violence

against Ms. Banfield. I distinguish that in some minor ways from the report we heard

from Brenda about -- from Brenda Forbes yesterday. It sounds as though they were

7 called while this incident was ongoing.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When Ms. Banfield later returned to Portapique, the perpetrator -- she discovered the perpetrator was taking the wheels off her car and throwing them over the bank into the Portapique River, so that she could not leave.

The next incident that we heard about from a number of witnesses took place at the perpetrator's cottage in Portapique in the presence of his old friend from New Brunswick, Tom Evans. We believe this incident occurred prior to 2009 when Mr. Evans passed away.

Tom Evans and another man were visiting the perpetrator at the cottage. At some point in the day, the perpetrator began assaulting Ms. Banfield, during which he hit and punched her and then held her on a bed, choking her so that she could not breathe. The other men called to the perpetrator from another room, telling him to stop, but reportedly took no further steps to intervene.

Ms. Banfield escaped through a bedroom window and tried to get to her car but the men were outside on the lawn drinking and they saw her. When he realized she was outside, the perpetrator chased Ms. Banfield around her car and dragged her back to the cottage. Again, the visiting men did not intervene.

The perpetrator later insisted that Ms. Banfield make the visitors -get up and make the visitors something to eat. When she first refused to do so, he
screamed at her and pulled her by the hair.

In her interviews with the Commission, Ms. Banfield described a number of incidents in which the perpetrator threatened her with handguns. She said

- that he had put a gun to her head a couple of times. In one incident at the cottage in
- 2 Portapique, she recalled the perpetrator put a handgun to her head following an
- argument and said, "I could just blow your head off right now."
- A similar incident happened later at the Portland Street resident
- 5 [sic] in Dartmouth. Ms. Banfield reported the perpetrator said afterwards, "If I didn't love
- 6 you, I wouldn't do this, because that's how much I care about you." In a subsequent
- 7 interview with the Commission, Ms. Banfield also recalled that the perpetrator once
- 8 discharged a handgun inside the Dartmouth house on the same night of his threats to
- 9 kill his parents.
- Another incident which we refer to as the cottage choking incident -
- 11 sorry, the cottage choking and assault incident also occurred at the perpetrator's
- cottage in Portapique. The date is unknown but seems likely that it occurred before
- 2015 when his uncle Glynn Wortman sold his cottage to Lisa McCully. Ms. Banfield
- reported that the perpetrator choked and punched her while they were in front of the
- yard of the cottage and that the perpetrator's uncle, Glynn, had tried to intervene. She
- recalled that the perpetrator did not like the close relationship she had with his uncle.
- Glynn Wortman, in his statement to the RCMP, recalled visiting the perpetrator's cottage
- one time and seeing the perpetrator straddling Ms. Banfield, choking the shit out of her.
- 19 Mr. Wortman attempted to intervene but recalled being intimidated by the perpetrator.
- 20 We believe that this is likely the same incident that was described by Brenda Forbes in
- 21 her previous interviews with the RCMP and the Commission and which she testified to
- 22 yesterday.
- In her interviews with the Commission, Ms. Banfield also described
- 24 how the perpetrator would intimidate her with dangerous driving.
- Once, when he had been drinking, when she was driving, the
- perpetrator demanded that she overtake an 18-wheel truck, despite it being foggy, dark,
- and rainy. When she did not comply, the perpetrator grabbed the wheel and forced her
- to pull over. He then dragged her from the driver's seat and took over, despite his

	. ,					
1	ını	rov	ica	tı	Λn	
1		$\iota \cup \Lambda$	ıva	u	UI I	٠.

- 2 Another time, on the way to Moncton for their anniversary, Ms.
- 3 Banfield became concerned the perpetrator was driving too fast. When she said this,
- 4 he only sped the car up to approximately 200 kilometres and hour and began taunting
- 5 Ms. Banfield.

6 [VIDEO PLAYBACK]

- 7 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Other people's knowledge of the
- 8 perpetrator's violence and abuse towards Lisa Banfield.
- As we've heard, following the mass casualty, Brenda Forbes

 contacted the RCMP to say that she had reported the perpetrator's illegal weapons and
- his abuse of Lisa Banfield to the RCMP approximately six years earlier.
- 12 Cst. Maxwell, who responded to the 2013 complaint from Ms.
- Forbes, recalled the details differently. In his interview with the Commission he said
- that the report from Ms. Forbes related to the perpetrator driving dangerously around
- 15 Portapique.
- Ms. Banfield has confirmed in multiple interviews that she never
- self-reported the abuse. The Commission is still in the process of looking into whether
- there are any surviving records from the Sutherland Lake incident.
- 19 Knowledge within Ms. Banfield's and the perpetrator's families.
- After the incident at Sutherland Lake to which she called her niece,
- Stephanie, to respond, and both her niece, Stephanie, and her sister, Maureen, were
- involved in the aftermath, they were unsuccessful in convincing Lisa to report the
- assault to the police, and after that, she hid the perpetrator's continuing abuse from her
- 24 family.
- 25 Maureen Banfield knew the perpetrator -- knew about the
- perpetrator's first assault and some other episodes early in the relationship. She also
- described the perpetrator as "Very controlling," and, "Very obsessive". However, she
- said the family was unaware of the continuing physical violence.

1	Other family members expressed similar knowledge and concerns
2	about the nature of their relationship. Ms. Banfield's niece, Stephanie Golding, recalled
3	to the RCMP following the mass casualty that she and other family members had
4	repeatedly tried to get Ms. Banfield to leave the perpetrator over the years. She said
5	that Ms. Banfield eventually stopped speaking to her and the family about her
6	relationships.
7	The perpetrator's uncle, Chris Wortman, told the RCMP after the
8	mass casualty that he wasn't surprised to hear that something had happened, but that
9	he always thought that if anything was going happen, it would probably have happened
10	to Lisa and her family.
11	This slide summarizes just some of what we know, in terms of
12	knowledge within respective friends and family, that are further detailed in the
13	Foundational Documents, and further detailed still in the underlying source material.
14	Medical provider's knowledge.
15	Ms. Banfield told the Commission in the course of her interviews
16	that she never confided in her family doctor about the abuse or sought medical attention
17	in relation to any of her injuries from the perpetrator's physical violence. She said that if
18	she had had any visible injuries, she would have avoided her doctor until they healed.
19	At some point, Ms. Banfield told the Commission that the
20	perpetrator's abuse was so bad that she sought help from a psychologist located in
21	Bedford. He told Ms. Banfield that she was in an abusive relationship and encouraged
22	her to leave the perpetrator.
23	When the perpetrator found out that Ms. Banfield was going for
24	counselling, he made her stop. As Ms. Banfield told the Commission, "I knew if he
25	didn't, he would beat me up. He threatened to confront the doctor. I was trapped."
26	Knowledge among friends and community.
27	Multiple close friends of Ms. Banfield described having
28	conversations with her or becoming aware about the perpetrator's violence and abuse.

- 1 In some instances, they believed the abusive period was over. In other instances, they
- 2 did not speak out as Ms. Banfield was committed to remaining in the relationship.
- Members of the Portapique community or other people connected
- 4 with the denture clinic in Dartmouth also described in interviews to both the RCMP and
- 5 the Commission, witnessing or hearing about the perpetrator's violence toward or
- 6 controlling behaviour over Ms. Banfield. This included the perpetrator being verbally
- abusive, domineering, removing the tires from Ms. Banfield's car, or holding a gun to
- 8 Ms. Banfield's head. Again, the specifics of these incidents and who had knowledge of
- 9 them are detailed in both the Foundational Documents themselves and the underlying
- 10 source materials.
- The perpetrator's behaviour in the weeks preceding the mass
- 12 casualty.
- On March 10, 2020, Ms. Banfield and the perpetrator moved to the
- cottage in Portapique after the denture clinic was required to close as a result of Nova
- Scotia's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Over the five weeks that followed, the
- perpetrator's mood was, according to Ms. Banfield, negativity all the time. He was a
- typically active individual and always had a project on the go when he was at the
- cottage in Portapique, but now he spent days at a time lying in bed, watching or
- 19 listening to COVID-related news.
- Ms. Banfield described that he was agitated and paranoid, that he
- stopped sleeping or eating regularly. She said that his interest in sex also disappeared.
- The couple did not interact with anyone, and Ms. Banfield was not able to visit with her
- 23 family.

- The perpetrator had a number of conversations with his friend,
- Sean Conlogue, in the States during this period, who told him that people could not buy
- guns or ammunition anymore, and they were running out of gas and other grocery
- items. So the perpetrator became even more paranoid.
 - He said that -- he told Ms. Banfield that Sean told him that we are

- going to be on our own to defend what we have. The perpetrator began talking crazy,
- 2 like the world was going to end and people are going to get so desperate that we will
- 3 have to protect ourselves.
- The perpetrator became convinced that the economy was going to
- 5 collapse, and that the world was shutting down. He did not trust the police, the
- 6 government, or anyone to protect them. He began to stockpile supplies, including food,
- 7 gasoline, and ammunition.

8 During this period, the perpetrator told Ms. Banfield that "he wasn't

9 afraid of dying" and that "he knew when he was going to die." Ms. Banfield described

being scared by the things the perpetrator was saying and that she would respond by

trying to change the subject. She told the Commission that he thought the world was

12 going to end.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"As extreme as his behaviour had been in the past, he was doing and saying things that were not normal, even for him. I was afraid I wouldn't be able to calm him down, appease him or talk about the good life we had. His moods were more unpredictable; I didn't know what to say to make him happy; he didn't even want sex or drinks."

In March of 2020, the perpetrator withdrew the entire \$475,000 balance from an account he held with the CIBC in Dartmouth. Because he was withdrawing such as large sum of cash, the bank arranged for the money to be delivered to him by a Brinks truck.

The perpetrator and Ms. Banfield met the truck, and then drove the money down to Portapique where it was combined with money that the perpetrator had amassed and withdrawn previously over the years, and that Ms. Banfield described him as "keeping in various locations around his properties in Dartmouth and Portapique."

The cash was combined and then buried under a hole under the

steps of their porch in Portapique.

went for a drive in the Jeep.

26

27

28

1

[AUDIO PLAYBACK] 2 MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: On April 21st, 2020, Maureen Banfield 3 contacted Sqt. Vardy of the RCMP on Lisa's behalf to alert the RCMP to the existence 4 and location of the buried money. 5 I note that the details in relation to this banking transaction and 6 7 other issues relating to the perpetrator's finances and financial mis-dealings will be further addressed in the Foundational Document titled, "Perpetrator's Financial Mis-8 9 dealings," which will be presented next Tuesday. April 18th, 2020. 10 This section of the presentation in particular uses audio and video 11 clips of statements provided by Ms. Banfield to the Commission and to the RCMP, as 12 we do not anticipate that we will be asking Ms. Banfield to retail the story of April 18th 13 from scratch again on Friday. She has already told this story on three separate 14 interviews with the RCMP and again in her interview with the Commission. In addition, 15 16 she participated in a lengthy re-enactment video created by the RCMP in October of 2020. 17 While there may be follow-up questions posed to Ms. Banfield 18 about the events of April 18th and 19th, the Commission does not believe that it would 19 acquire any new information by asking Ms. Banfield to retell the entire story again from 20 the start. As will be obvious from this presentation, it is a difficult story for her to revisit 21 22 and retell. The morning of April 20th, 2020, Ms. Banfield and the perpetrator 23 24 planned to go for a drive and to spend the day together to celebrate their 19th anniversary. She made them breakfast, then they took a walk, packed some lunch, and 25

1	perpetrator to take back roads, which he always seemed very familiar with.
2	On this day, the perpetrator drove along back roads and headed
3	towards Springhill Penitentiary, where his Uncle Glynn had once served time. When
4	they got there, the perpetrator parked at the gate, looked at the building and said
5	something like, "Yeah, I'd never make it in prison."
6	After that, they drove up around the Debert Hospitality Centre and
7	the Diefenbunker. Ms. Banfield told the Commission that the perpetrator "liked going up
8	to that bomb shelter thing," and that he had visited the excuse me; that they had
9	visited the Debert Hospitality Centre together many times. In fact, he told her that he
10	had once considered purchasing a hangar building just past the bunker.
11	Ms. Banfield does not have a clear recollection of the route they
12	took on the drive that day. She does recall that at some point, they drove past
13	Sutherland Lake, where they had not been since the assault by the perpetrator that
14	occurred early in their relationship.
15	Ms. Banfield says that the perpetrator then "drove Amherst way"
16	and pulled into the driveway of another denturist. Following the mass casualty on April
17	20 th , that denturist, Rick Laurie, contacted the RCMP and provided a statement of his
18	recollection of this encounter. His office is located in Springhill.
19	He described the perpetrator as being "happy go lucky and
20	laughing" when he stopped to say hello that afternoon.
21	On their way back to Portapique on the drive, their final stop was to
22	pick up some frozen pizza and more beer before heading back to the warehouse where
23	they planned to have drinks and continue celebrating their anniversary.
24	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Excuse me, Ms. Hnatiw. I believe
25	you misspoke. You said that this drive occurred on April 20 th , when in fact it was April
26	18 th , I believe. I just wanted to put that into the transcript so that that's corrected now.
27	Thank you. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: No, thank you, Commissioner Stanton. I

apologize for misspeaking. This was April 18 th , 20	1	apologize	for missr	oeakina.	This was	April 18th.	202
---	---	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	-------------	-----

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

28

- And I see that I was intended to click along and put the points that they visited on this map. These are the known locations that she recalls visiting on the drive.
- As I said previously, she doesn't have a clear recollection of exactly which route and roads were taken at any given time. They were driving, for the most part, on back roads, which were much more familiar to the perpetrator. 7

In her interviews with the Commission, Ms. Banfield described how she was in ongoing communication with a number of people these days, including texts and calls back and forth with her sisters in Dartmouth, and texts and calls with their friends in the States.

Angel Patterson, a friend from Maine, told the RCMP after the mass casualty that she spoke with the perpetrator and Ms. Banfield around 3:00 p.m. on April 18th via Facetime. She said that they had been out cutting brush between the cottage and trying to clean the trail out of the cottage. Ms. Patterson told the RCMP that Ms. Banfield had said they would call her back once they cleaned up and got to the warehouse for drinks.

When asked in her interview with the Commission if they had been cutting brush that day, Ms. Banfield said, "No." And I note that this call to Angel Patterson took place before the reported time of their visit to Rick Laurie in Springhill.

So it seems likely that they were on the drive at the time of this call. 21

After the perpetrator drove back to the warehouse in Portapique, he took time to clean the Jeep, which had become muddy from the backroads drive.

Ms. Banfield describes sitting and watching him, and then the couple had some drinks and listened to some music.

Around 5:45 p.m., Ms. Banfield took some photos to commemorate 26 their 19th anniversary and texted them to friends. 27

At approximately 6:20 p.m., Ms. Banfield called another friend,

- 1 Sean Conlogue in Houlton, Maine. When the phone began to ring, the perpetrator said
- that he was not in a mood to talk, so Ms. Banfield hung up. Mr. Conlogue called them
- back a few minutes later at approximately 6:26 p.m. and they spoke for approximately
- 4 20 minutes.
- Right after the call with Mr. Conlogue ended, Ms. Banfield placed
- another Facetime call, again to Angel Patterson, who'd she'd spoken with earlier in the
- 7 day.
- 8 Ms. Patterson was with her niece and her niece's uncle when she
- 9 received the call.
- 10 Records suggest that this call ended at approximately 8:41 p.m.
- During their conversation with Ms. Patterson, the couple mentioned
- that they were planning a commitment ceremony for their 20th anniversary the following
- year. Ms. Banfield overheard Ms. Patterson saying to the perpetrator, "Don't do it," in
- relation to the commitment ceremony. This pissed her off because Ms. Patterson was
- supposed to be her friend.
- I pause here to note that this video is extracted from the re-
- enactment video created with Ms. Banfield's cooperation by the RCMP in October of
- 18 2020. I will be playing a number of clips from this video for the duration of this
- 19 presentation.

20 [VIDEO PLAYBACK]

- I should note that Ms. Banfield is standing on the site of the former
- warehouse at the time that she's recounting this story.
- Upset, Ms. Banfield, as she described, left the warehouse and
- began walking on this path through the woods from their warehouse at 136 Orchard
- Beach Drive to the couple's cottage at 200 Portapique Beach Road.
- Halfway there, she realized that, as she described, she was mostly
- upset at Ms. Patterson, rather than the perpetrator, and so she returned to apologize to
- 28 him. But she discovered that he was already irate.

1	When she returned to the warehouse, he was screaming and
2	yelling and pacing.
3	In her April 28th, 2020 statement to the RCMP, she described that
4	she started to apologize, but:
5	"He just went off, and just was just being rude and nasty
6	and, and he was getting loud, and when he's like that I
7	could tell like he's on the edge kind of thing. So, you
8	know, I got to get away kind of thing, so I just decided I'm
9	done and I went back home." (As read)
10	Upon returning to the cottage, Ms. Banfield described to the RCMP,
11	and at length to the Commission, that she dropped her things in the bedroom and then
12	went back out into the kitchen. What seemed to her like mere moments later, she heard
13	the door rattling, so she ran into her bedroom, got into bed, and pretended to be asleep
14	to avoid a confrontation with the perpetrator.
15	I pause here to note that for essentially the duration of their 19-year
16	relationship, the perpetrator and Ms. Banfield had slept separately and had separate
17	bedrooms, reportedly because the perpetrator snored.
18	When he arrived back at the cottage that night, the perpetrator did
19	not come directly into Ms. Banfield's bedroom. As she laid there listening, Ms. Banfield
20	began to hear a swishing kind of sound that she had never heard before and she
21	wondered what the perpetrator was doing. It was only later that she realized that she
22	had heard the sound of him pouring gas around the cottage.
23	[VIDEO PLAYBACK]
24	After this assault by the perpetrator, Ms. Banfield felt him tie
25	something around one of her wrists that she described as being soft and she may
26	have been the tie from a bathrobe.
27	He then went into his bedroom and through the sun porch, where
28	he had retrieved a gun.

1	ney then crossed the cottage to the front door, where Ms. Banfield
2	put on a jacket and some running shoes and went to grab her purse, but he told her:
3	"You don't need your purse." (As read)
4	The perpetrator then said, as they walked towards the front door,
5	that she should turn around and have a final look. When she did so, she could see the
6	cottage going up in flames.
7	Outside, the perpetrator doused the white Ford Taurus that was
8	parked in the driveway with gasoline, but did not light it on fire, Ms. Banfield, continuing
9	her attempts to deescalate the perpetrator by telling him that it did not have to go any
10	further and that she would take the blame for burning the cottage. But she could tell
11	that there was no change and whatever he had in his head. He told her that:
12	"At the end of the night, I'll be dead, but if you don't run,
13	then you won't be." (As read)
14	They began walking towards the path back towards the warehouse.
15	When they reached the middle of Portapique Beach Road, Ms. Banfield got on the
16	ground and began kicking and screaming. The perpetrator got on top of her and
17	wrenched off her shoes, leaving her barefoot. He then told her:
18	"Now you can't run, you little bitch." (As read)
19	After the mass casualty, while the RCMP searched the Portapique
20	area, they located these two Nike running shoes that fit the description of the shoes that
21	Lisa Banfield was wearing on April 18 th , 2020.
22	The perpetrator told Ms. Banfield that they were going to walk
23	through the path and over to the warehouse in order to burn it, and that they were then
24	going to go to Dartmouth and burn their home there, and that they would, after that, go
25	to Maureen's house, and all Ms. Banfield could think was:
26	"He's going after my whole family." (As read)
27	The perpetrator dragged her by the arm along the path while also
28	holding her by the back of her coat.

1	At some point on the path, Ms. Banfield slipped out of her coat and
2	started running. She quickly tripped in the woods in the dark and ended up on the
3	ground. The perpetrator had a flashlight and found her almost immediately. He picked
4	her up and said:
5	"If you run away from me again, I'll kill you, but if you
6	don't, I won't." (As read)
7	I note that Ms. Banfield's coat was not located by the RCMP in the
8	aftermath of the mass casualty. However, a small gold chain which belonged to her and
9	she had been wearing the night of the mass casualty was located, and that is what's
10	depicted in these pictures.
11	[VIDEO PLAYBACK]
12	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: As you heard Ms. Banfield describe in that
13	videoclip, the perpetrator placed her in the back of the replica police cruiser that was
14	parked in the warehouse. As is standard with police cruisers, the back doors do not
15	open from the inside. As Ms. Banfield looked on, the perpetrator loaded several
16	firearms into the front seat of the replica RCMP cruiser. She told the Commission that
17	the perpetrator regularly moved his guns around between hiding places in the cottage
18	and the warehouse but that she had most recently seen a firearm by the bar. She
19	recalled that the perpetrator went to the bar and then came back and threw guns into
20	the front seat. He did not say anything to her. He went outside briefly before returning
21	and heading upstairs to a loft apartment inside the warehouse space.
22	And here on this slide are two photographs provided by Ms.
23	Banfield to the Commission depicting the inside of the warehouse. One of the replica
24	cruiser parked inside and the other taken from behind the bar and out into the general
25	warehouse space.
26	Trapped in the back seat, Ms. Banfield, who is approximately 5 foot
27	5, tried to kick open the doors and windows without success. She then managed to
28	slide one of the handcuff from her left hand. In her interviews with the Commission, she

- described yanking on the handcuff and pulling it off her wrist to the point that she felt
- 2 like she was going to lose skin but eventually succeeded and left the handcuff in the
- back of the RCMP cruiser. After that, Ms. Banfield managed to slide open the window
- 4 in the silent patrolman that divided the front and back seats. And you can see the silent
- 5 patrolman depicted in these two photographs, which again, were provided to the
- 6 Commission by Ms. Banfield in the course of our interviews with her.
- 7 Ms. Banfield then described diving head first through the opening.
- 8 From there, she opened the driver's door, fled the vehicle, and then fled the warehouse,
- 9 and ran into the woods to hide.

12

28

- In this clip, Ms. Banfield describes coming across a truck shortly
- after she escaped from the replica cruiser and the warehouse.

[VIDEO PLAYBACK]

- MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: In this clip, Ms. Banfield describes hearing
- shots and then hearing what she described as whistling before somebody purports to
- identify themselves as the Colchester police.

16 [VIDEO PLAYBACK]

- 17 MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: In this clip, Ms. Banfield continues her
- description of what she observed from the woods.

19 [VIDEO PLAYBACK]

- 20 MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: In her interviews with both the
- 21 Commission and the RCMP, Ms. Banfield explained that eventually she saw a big tree
- down where the insides had been burrowed out. And she thought, if I try and get myself
- in this tree, then that'll protect me and be one with the tree and he can't see me. Ms.
- Banfield described putting part of her body inside the hole of the fallen tree and lying on
- 25 the ground parallel to the trunk. As you've already heard, from her hiding place in the
- woods, Ms. Banfield continued to hear noises and activity around her. She heard what
- she believed to be gunshots and saw a house go up in flames.
 - Ms. Banfield remained hidden in this trunk overnight as the

- temperature dipped close to zero. She told the RCMP, "I didn't know if my feet were
- 2 froze or burnt because I couldn't even feel them, and I was trying to keep moving them
- and trying to massage them and stuff, so I couldn't really walk much because it hurt."
- 4 Of course, as we've heard already in this presentation, Ms. Banfield was barefoot and
- without a coat. While in the woods, she thought if I can just wait until daylight, so I can
- find my way out of here, because I know I where I will be at that point.

7 [VIDEO PLAYBACK]

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

23

24

26

27

28

MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Apologize. I was attempting to pause it to just say that this is a particularly long clip but that there's a lot of information in it, and so I will try and restart.

[VIDEO PLAYBACK]

The tie that Ms. Banfield is describing in this clip, it was attached to her wrist, was never located.

In this next clip, the story picks up as Ms. Banfield comes out of the woods on the morning of April 19, 2020.

[VIDEO PLAYBACK]

When she emerged from the woods on the morning of April 19, 2020, Ms. Banfield ran to the nearest home, which belonged to one of her neighbours in Portapique, Leon Joudrey.

At 6:28:20, the OCC received a 9-1-1 call from Mr. Joudrey
advising that Ms. Banfield was at his house and that she was "freaking out" and "scared
to death".

At the beginning of the call, when asked by the OCC call taker is

Ms. Banfield was calm enough to be able to answer questions, Mr. Joudrey responded:

25 "No. Just send the cops down." (As read)

In a statement to the RCMP after the mass casualty, Mr. Joudrey explained that he let Ms. Banfield inside and gave her his coat and his sneakers, and then dialed 9-1-1, as Ms. Banfield was unable to attend to herself.

1	[VIDEO PLAYBACK]
2	This is Mr. Joudrey's statement to the RCMP on April 19 th , 2020.
3	"So she opened the door. She had no shoes. She was
4	shaking and shivering. I let her in and she just she's
5	just freaking. So I gave her my coat and my sneakers
6	and she couldn't even dial 9-1-1, so I called 9-1-1 and I
7	told them to come, bring the cops down to come and get
8	her, and the SWAT team showed up. She said he beat
9	her up, he handcuffed her, she got away, she hid in the
10	woods all night, and she was just freaking, like, not
11	making any sense after that." (As read)
12	On April 20 th , 2020, Mr. Joudrey gave a second statement to the
13	RCMP in which his recollection is set out here:
14	"She was wearing black spandex, black top. She's 51/52
15	years old. She's a good-looking woman, sort of, I guess.
16	She didn't look dirty. She had bare feet on. There's no
17	dirt on her feet. She said she had handcuffs on, she was
18	beat up. I didn't see fucking blood marks, dirt, anything
19	like that, but what do you do? I'm freaking out. I slam
20	the door and I call 9-1-1, 'Come get this fucking woman
21	out of my house. This guy don't like me. I know that.'
22	So they came and got her." (As read)
23	Between 6:33 and 6:42 a.m. on April 19, 2020, four ERT members,
24	Csts Brent Kelly, Kyle Josey, Ben MacLeod, and Andrew Ryan arrived at Mr. Joudrey's
25	home in the TAV to retrieve Ms. Banfield. They transported her to the head of
26	Portapique Beach Road.
27	In his statement to SiRT, Cst Ben MacLeod described Ms.
28	Banfield's injuries and emotional state at the time as follows:

1	"Ms. Banfield, who was dressed all in black, did not have
2	any visible serious injuries. She had trouble walking due
3	to lower back pain suffered from the perpetrator's assault
4	on her. She may have had other injuries, but Cst
5	MacLeod did not have a chance to assess her. She was
6	in a state of terror and had a distraught, disheveled
7	appearance." (As read)
8	Cst MacLeod recalled Ms. Banfield as:
9	"Completely distraught, emotional, upset, and extremely
10	tearful. She had a quivering voice, like just, well, the best
11	way to describe it other than distraught, was she scared,
12	fearful for her life that he was coming to get her." (As
13	read)
14	In his testimony before the Commission a few months ago, Cst
15	MacLeod had said that he had never seen a witness as terrified, except for in hostage
16	situations.
17	Ms. Banfield and the ERT team were met at the top of Portapique
18	Beach Road by the Emergency Medical Response Team that transported them to the
19	RCMP Command Post in Great Village.
20	Cpl Ivany, who transported her, recalled that Ms. Banfield had no
21	obvious bleeding, bruises, deformations, no critical injuries. In his professional
22	experience, his observations of Ms. Banfield that morning were consistent with a
23	diagnosis of moderate hypothermia and that, from a medical perspective, he said he
24	was confident that she was hypothermic.
25	Once the initial EMS EHS assessment was complete, Ms.
26	Banfield provided her first statement to Csts Terry Brown and Dave Melanson in the
27	back of an ambulance, where paramedics continued to monitor and assess her.
28	Cst Brown later told the Commission that Ms. Banfield's actions

1	were consistent with someone who had been the victim of domestic violence in the past.
2	Cst Melanson told the Commission that based on his observations
3	of Ms. Banfield's demeanour and presentation at the time, she did appear to be fearful
4	and did appear to be a victim of assault.
5	Around 7:52 a.m., EMS departed Great Village with Ms. Banfield
6	and transported her to the Colchester East Hants Health Centre, where she was
7	assessed in the Emergency Department and then admitted for treatment.
8	On examination, she was noted to have tenderness in her lower
9	right flank, superficial scratches and abrasions on her hands, feet, and legs, and
10	bruising to her upper back. X-rays later revealed that she had fractures to her ribs and
11	lumbar spine.
12	Ms. Banfield remained in hospital for five nights and was then
13	discharged on April 24 th , 2020.
14	I note that Ms. Banfield's injuries were not photographed by either
15	the RCMP or medical professionals at the hospital.
16	Thank you, Commissioners. That ends my presentation.
17	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you, Ms. Hnatiw.
19	Ms. Hill?
20	MS. EMILY HILL: Commissioners, there's just one piece of
21	housekeeping to take care of, if I could?
22	We have shared with Madam Registrar and Participants
23	COMM0059723. This is a transcript of a recorded interview of Cheryl Tschupruck,
24	which was revised on July 4 th , 2022.
25	The original transcript was marked as an exhibit, P-002411 as part
26	of the "Support Services for Survivors, Families, and Communities" Foundational
27	Document. Some mistakes in the transcript have been identified and corrected. And so
28	I ask at this time that the revised version be marked as an exhibit.

1	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: It's Exhibit 3438.
2	EXHIBIT No. 3438:
3	(COMM0059723) Transcript of Cheryl Tshupruck's interview
4	held on July 4th, 2022
5	MS. EMILY HILL: Additionally, 23 documents relating to
6	tomorrow's two roundtables, Prediction and Prevention of Mass Casualty Events and
7	Definitions and Psychology, Sociology of Perpetrators of Mass Casualty Events, have
8	been shared with Participants, as well as Madam Registrar, and I would ask that these
9	be marked as exhibits.
10	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: So exhibited.
11	MS. EMILY HILL: Finally, 25 documents related to the July 18 th
12	roundtable, Mass Casualties, Intimate Partner Violence, Gender-Based Violence, and
13	Family Violence: Exploring the Connections, have been shared with Participants, as
14	well as with Madam Registrar, and I would ask that those documents also be marked as
15	exhibits.
16	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: So exhibited.
17	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you, Ms. Hill.
19	And thanks to Commission Counsel for sharing the Foundational
20	Document. It's helped us build our understanding of the perpetrator's violence towards
21	his common-law spouse.
22	As we heard today, this document's source materials are based on
23	many hours of interviews and written statements from Ms. Banfield, both with the RCMF
24	and with the Commission. As usual, all these materials will be available on our website
25	for the public to read later this week, and we'll hear from Ms. Banfield in person on
26	Friday.
27	Please remember that supports are available, including support for
28	those experiencing violence. If you or someone you know needs help, you can talk to

1	supports on site at the proceedings or reach out to someone, one of the support
2	services listed on our website.
3	Thanks again to Drs. McCulloch and Maher, the expert witnesses
4	we heard from this morning who helped us understand more about the connections
5	between private and public forms of violence.
6	Again, our mandate requires to examine issues including
7	contributing and contextual factors, including the role of gender-based violence and
8	intimate partner violence. These kinds of insights assist us in our work to develop
9	recommendations that can help to make our communities safer.
10	Next week Commission Counsel will present a Foundational
11	Document focusing on the perpetrator's financial misdealings. All the Foundational
12	Documents, supporting materials, Commissioned Reports, and other research shared
13	so far are available to read on the website, where they can help to answer questions
14	you may have about what happened, how, and why.
15	Tomorrow we'll hear from experts in two roundtable discussions
16	focused on ways to understand, predict, and prevent mass casualties, as you've just
17	heard with reference to the exhibits.
18	Thank you and we'll see you back here tomorrow morning at 9:30
19	Atlantic. Thank you.
20	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Thank you. The
21	proceedings are adjourned until July 14th, 2022 at 9:30 a.m.
22	Upon adjourning at 1:02 p.m.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, a certified court reporter, hereby certify the foregoing
5	pages to be an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and
6	ability, and I so swear.
7	
8	Je, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, une sténographe officiel, certifie que les pages ci-hautes
9	sont une transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes
10	capacités, et je le jure.
11	
12	If upon
13	Sandrine Marineau-Lupien
14	
15	
16	
17	