

The Joint Federal/Provincial Commission into the April 2020 Nova Scotia Mass Casualty MassCasualtyCommission.ca

Commission fédérale-provinciale sur les événements d'avril 2020 en Nouvelle-Écosse CommissionDesPertesMassives.ca

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioners / Commissaires

The Honourable / L'honorable J. Michael MacDonald, Chair / Président Leanne J. Fitch (Ret. Police Chief, M.O.M) Dr. Kim Stanton

VOLUME 62

Held at :

Tenue à:

Halifax Convention Centre 1650 Argyle Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 0E6

Monday, August 29, 2022

Centre des congrès d'Halifax 1650, rue Argyle Halifax, Nouvelle-Écosse B3J 0E6

Lundi, le 29 août 2022

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. <u>www.irri.net</u> (800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Ms. Jennifer Cox

Mr. Jamie VanWart

Commission Counsel / Conseillère de la commission

Commission Counsel / Conseiller de la commission

III Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
Commissioner's opening remarks for phase 3	3
Environmental Scan of Past Recommendations	8
Presentation by Ms. Jennifer Cox	8
Participant Consultations: Victim advocacy organizations	49

IV Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4461	(COMM0063226) Environmental Scan of Prior	10
	Recommendations	

Halifax, Nova Scotia 1 2 --- Upon commencing on Monday, August 29, 2022 at 9:37 a.m. **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Bonjour et bienvenue. Hello and 3 welcome. 4 We join you from Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of 5 the Mi'kmaq. 6 7 Please join us in remembering those whose lives were taken, those 8 who were harmed, their families, and all those affected by the April 2020 mass casualty 9 in Nova Scotia 10 One of the best ways we can honour the memories of all those people who were affected is to bring forward recommendations that can help prevent 11 and respond to similar incidents in the future. This is what all our work is leading 12 towards, recommendations that will help to make our communities safer. 13 L'une des meilleures façons d'honorer la mémoire de toutes les 14 15 personnes touchées est de formuler des recommandations qui peuvent prévenir des 16 incidents similaires et améliorer l'intervention, le cas échéant. Voilà l'objectif de nos travaux, émettre des recommandations pour renforcer la sécurité des collectivités. 17 Our goal is to develop recommendations that are built on 18 everything we have learned, are informed by the perspectives of many people with 19 different kinds of expertise and experience, that draw on recommendations from earlier 20 21 inquiries and reports, including an understanding of what has worked and what has 22 prevented progress in the past. Recommendations that are clear, pragmatic and ready 23 to be implemented, so that people across our governments, institutions and 24 communities can take action right away. Today, we begin the third and final phase of our work, which is 25 focused on potential recommendations. 26 27 Through a range of public proceedings and other kinds of consultations, we will continue to hear from Participants, experts, institutions, 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

community groups, and from you, the Canadian public, and those following this work
 from beyond our borders.

We have come a long way together in the course of the Commission's work. Since we began our work in early 2021 and opened public proceedings in February of 2022, the Commission has shared our best understanding of what happened at all 17 crime scenes involved in the mass casualty.

7 We have shared 31 Foundational Documents and over 3,000 8 supporting source materials and additional exhibits that provide extensive information 9 about what happened on and leading up to April 18th and 19th, 2020. We have shared 19 Commissioned Reports prepared by independent experts that focus on the related 10 issues in our mandate. We have shared more than 1,100 documents of research and 11 policy relevant to our mandate, and 20 Investigations Supplementary Reports that 12 include the results of further investigation into specific questions, events and particular 13 topics. 14

Through hundreds of hours of our investigative work and public proceedings, we have conducted over 240 witness interviews, including 79 RCMP members. We have learned from over 90 experts as witnesses in roundtables, gaining from their experience and knowledge. And we have heard from a variety of others with direct experience of the mass casualty including first responders, support services and elected officials.

We have done this while working to meet an ambitious timeline set for us by government, and while dealing with the challenges arising from COVID-19, the sheer scale of information we have needed to gather and organize, and the pace, unpredictability and volume of document disclosure.

These documents, research and public proceedings webcasts are available to you on our website. They are there to help answer your questions about what happened, and how and why it happened, and they are there to help inform your suggestions for recommendations.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

Thanks to the hard work of many people over many months, we 1 know we are up to this momentous task set before us. Thank you again to the 2 Participants and their counsel, to the witnesses, roundtable members, the public, the 3 media, the Commission team and all the teams who make proceedings possible. 4 Together we will continue our momentum heading into the final phase of our work. 5 We are committed to doing our utmost to ensure that the lives 6 7 taken and injuries suffered and all the ensuing pain will not have been in vain. 8 To honour that commitment, we must bring forward 9 recommendations that can help to improve safety for the communities most affected by the mass casualty, and for all communities across Nova Scotia, Canada and beyond. 10 Although the mass casualty took place in rural communities in Nova 11 Scotia, our role as an independent joint federal and provincial inquiry means our focus 12 encompasses all of Canada. We have been looking at relevant expertise, policy and 13 lessons learned from beyond our borders, too. This inquiry is of national importance, 14 and that scope will continue to inform our approach in our final phase. 15 16 I will now ask Commissioner Stanton to talk more about what to expect in Phase 3. Thank you. 17 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you, Commissioner 18 MacDonald, and good morning, everyone. 19 As we shift to focus on developing recommendations, our 20 proceedings will look a little different compared to the earlier phases of our work. Some 21 22 activities will continue to be held in larger public venues, while others will be smaller, 23 intimate conversations with individuals and groups who will share their perspectives in 24 smaller settings. We will continue to hold roundtables where experts and other 25 individuals with helpful knowledge will provide insights on relevant issues through public 26 27 facilitated discussions. Members of the public will be able to attend in person or watch the 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

community safety and well-being, the structure of policing in Nova Scotia, and police 2 oversight, supervision and accountability. 3 During Phase 3 the Commission team will also be hosting two kinds 4 of Participant consultations. We will be meeting with those most affected by the mass 5 casualty to hear their views about key issues and suggestions for change. These 6 7 conversations will involve specific Participants, their counsel, members of the 8 Commission team and us Commissioners. 9 Transcripts will be made available for the public afterwards. We will also be hearing from Participant Organizations through 10 facilitated conversations held during public proceedings, similar to the roundtables. The 11 first of these will take place this afternoon. 12 Towards the end of Phase 3, Participants will be invited to make 13

their final oral and written submissions. As before, oral submissions will take place in 14 15 public proceedings.

Members of the Commission team will be hosting a series of 16 stakeholder conversations, consultations for organizations that are not Participants. 17 This is an opportunity for these groups to share their suggestions for recommendations. 18 Sessions will cover gender-based and intimate partner violence, early childhood and 19 youth education on community safety, support services in rural communities, community 20 safety in rural communities. 21 22 Transcripts of these stakeholder consultations will be shared with

23 the public afterwards.

24

1

We'll also hear from a small number of additional witnesses as we

wrap up our work from earlier phases. 25

We're still on track to complete public proceedings and 26 27 opportunities for input by the end of September 2022 as planned. Additionally, work is

under way to prepare the final report, which will be completed by March 31st, 2023. 28

webcast of coming roundtables, which will cover contemporary community policing,

This additional time will allow us to adequately adapt to a number of 1 factors that have affected our progress, including the pace, unpredictability and volume 2 of document disclosures. It means we'll be able to complete the final report, which will 3 be substantial, with the care it deserves. 4 The schedule of proceedings and activities for Phase 3 is available 5 on our website. As you can see, we do still have much to do before proceedings 6 conclude at the end of September. 7 8 Thanks to our earlier phases, we've built a substantial evidentiary 9 foundation regarding the mass casualty's factual basis and its causes, context and 10 circumstances. Now we have the opportunity to build on those foundations and have conversations about the kinds of recommendations that will make a real difference. 11 We owe it to those whose lives were taken and all those affected by 12 the mass casualty to take what we have learned and use it to help make all our 13 communities safer. We can all support this shared goal of community safety and we 14 15 can all be involved in helping to shape recommendations. 16 Commissioner Fitch will now speak to you about who will take part in Phase 3, as well as some new tools that can assist you. 17 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Thank you, Commissioners Stanton and 18 MacDonald. 19 We have encouraged participation throughout our process and we 20 emphasize now that this is the last phase in which to share your thinking with the 21 22 Commission. As Commissioner Stanton has outlined, we have just a few more weeks 23 of public proceedings and consultations before we focus exclusively on preparing our 24 final report. If you have ideas to share that can help shape our 25 recommendations, please don't wait to share them. Please speak up through one of 26 27 our many forums available to you. We emphasize is that community safety is a shared responsibility, 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

and it's also a shared opportunity. We all have a role to play in helping to make our
communities safer, sharing our recommendations and then taking action to drive
change.

When all the different parts of our communities work together,
including first responders and public institutions, private enterprise, community groups
and individual community members, we can make things safer and better for
everyone.

8 We do not need to wait until the final report is released to live up to 9 your community potential. This is your opportunity and our call to you during this final 10 phase of the Commission's work.

For Participants, this is the time to reflect and share your 11 suggestions for change. You continue to be an integral part of this public inquiry, taking 12 part in everyday work and helping to build our shared understanding of what happened 13 and how and why it happened. We know many of you have already been thinking 14 15 about and sharing potential recommendations during your submissions to date, and we 16 look forward to hearing more in the weeks ahead as you prepare for your final written and oral submissions. In particular, we encourage Participants who have been less 17 vocal up till now to please share their input. It is important we hear all of your voices, 18 including those of you representing organizations with expertise and perspectives that 19 can expand our thinking. 20

As we have said all along, you do not need to be an official 21 22 Participant to take part in the Commission's work. If you are part of an organization or 23 community group with relevant perspectives to share, we want to hear from you, too. 24 And of course, we want to continue to hear from individual members of the public. There are a number of ways you can share your suggestions for 25 potential recommendations. You can submit your ideas online at 26 27 MassCasualtyCommission.ca/PublicSubmissions. You can email them to info@MassCasualtyCommission.ca. 28

6

You can also call our team or mail us a letter. The contact details
 are available on our website.

We are committed to considering all of your submissions and input as we prepare the final report and recommendations. Some of your submissions may be referenced or publicly shared as part of our work, including in the final report. There is an option to submit your ideas anonymously, as well, if you prefer.

There are a number of resources and tools available to help you in
thinking about your suggestions for recommendations. First, please make use of the
extensive information and recordings of our proceedings available on our website,
including the Foundational Documents, Commissioned Reports and recordings of
roundtable discussions.

We have also developed a Discussion Guide summarizing the kinds of issues we are exploring and asking questions to help you think about recommendations. We hope this will help you as you talk about potential changes you would like to see with your colleagues, with family, friends and neighbours. The Discussion Guide is available on our website.

Soon on our website you will find a lengthy document, which we 17 refer to as the Environmental Scan of Prior Recommendations. Today, following our 18 opening remarks, Commission Counsel, Jennifer Cox, will share a presentation 19 introducing the environmental scan, which captures over 2,000 relevant 20 recommendations from earlier public inquiries and other kinds of reviews and 21 22 investigations. Our hope in sharing this scan is that seeing previous recommendations 23 may inspire new thinking, and also spark discussions about what has worked in the past 24 and what may have been -- what may have prevented action from being taken, so we can avoid those barriers this time. 25

To recap, please remember time is running out to share your suggestions for recommendations. Community safety is a responsibility we all share. Regardless of the role you play in your workplace or in your community, ultimately we

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

need you to be a champion. A champion of ideas that can shape our 1 recommendations, and a champion of action by finding ways to take the 2 recommendations from the final report and help implement them in your organization 3 and your community. 4 Later today, we will be hearing from representatives from a number 5 of victim advocacy organizations as part of our Participant consultations. But first, I will 6 7 ask Senior Commission Counsel, Jennifer Cox, to share a presentation introducing the Environmental Scan of Prior Recommendations. 8 9 Ms Cox? --- ENVIRONMENTAL SCAN OF PRIOR RECOMMENDATIONS: 10 --- PRESENTATION BY MS. JENNIFER COX: 11 **MS. JENNIFER COX:** Good morning, Commissioners, members of 12 the public, Participants and Counsel. 13 Before I get into the presentation with respect to the environmental 14 15 scan, I do have a couple of housekeeping items I need to address. Primarily as a result 16 of the late disclosure that we have had, there have been some delays in terms of getting some of this information before the Commission. And the main issue right now is the 17 disclosure of a report from the death of a constable in Spiritwood, Saskatchewan that 18 was received just recently that would have been part of this environmental scan, but is 19 not part of the environmental scan because of the fact that we just received the 20 document. 21 22 And I think it's important for people to understand that the 23 Commission can only do their work if the information is before it. So although we would 24 like to have included it in the environmental scan, it isn't part of it, and there may be other documents as well, given that they were very late disclosure. 25 So one of the things that we are going to be talking about today is 26 27 the Butlin Report, and that is one of the source documents here in the environmental scan. This document was initially received by the Commission with the names of the 28

police officers redacted, and because of the fact that we received these document -- this
document with the police officers' names redacted, it impacted our ability to do the work
as we interviewed witnesses or had them before the Commission. So we did potentially
lose some opportunities to question them at that time.

The names were redacted or removed from the -- or blackened -blackened out, is probably the best way to describe it, from the document on the basis that the RCMP believed that it was irrelevant for us to know the names of the police officers.

9 This has now been corrected. So today, one of the things that I will be doing is asking that we tender -- because the report has already been tendered, but 10 we are now going to replace it with the document, or sorry, tender the document that 11 has now been provided to us without the names redacted. And of course, as always, 12 the Commission will take further steps to rectify or deal with this situation to see if we 13 can't find a way to overcome the fact that we might have missed opportunities to 14 question witnesses when they appeared before the Commission by going back and 15 16 seeing what we can do to address the situation.

So as I indicated, we have previously filed a document, 17 Exhibit 3649, which was the report named Independent Officer Review: Susan Olive 18 Butlin, Ernie "Junior" Duggan Complaints ("H"-Division RCMP). And as it was originally 19 produced, the names of the police officers were redacted. We are going to be 20 correcting that document and providing a new copy of the document with redactions to 21 22 protect the dignity of Ms. Butlin and her family. In addition, we will be tendering the new document with the names of the police officers unredacted. So there will be two copies 23 24 of the same document in the -- and that will be part of the bundle that I'm tendering today, and, like I said, that will be the document without the police officers' names -- with 25 the police officers' names included. 26

27 So those were a couple of my preliminary comments before I get 28 into the presentation. And as is customary, the environmental scan that I'm speaking to,

1	which is COMM0048906, we will be seeking or I am seeking to have exhibited, and as
2	well, there are a number of source documents. All of the reports that are referred to in
3	the environmental scan also are going to be exhibited.
4	So Madam Registrar, if we could have those exhibited.
5	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: The scan will be
6	Exhibit 4461.
7	EXHIBIT NO. 4461:
8	(COMM0063226) Environmental Scan of Prior
9	Recommendations
10	MS. JENNIFER COX: And the accompanying documents as
11	exhibited. Thank you.
12	Commissioners?
13	So one of the things that is important I think before we get into this
14	document is to talk a little bit about what an environmental scan is. It's a tool that is
15	quite familiar to someone like me, who has done some public inquiry work in the past,
16	and it's an opportunity for us to look at the history of policy initiatives in a relevant field.
17	So how did the policies evolve? So one of the things that comes to
18	mind when I read that particular sentence is, you know, when we looked at the history of
19	gun legislation in Canada, we looked at how it evolved over time because it evolved in
20	response to what were perceived to be safety concerns and the public.
21	One of the other things we do is look at earlier approaches and
22	findings or recommendations. So in this particular document, we're looking at the
23	recommendations that are relevant to the Mass Casualty Commission, and those are in
24	the document.
25	We are looking at gaps. So of the recommendations that have
26	already been made, are there things that could have been recommended and have not
27	been recommended? Is there a missing piece to a recommendation that's there? You
28	know, it needs a little bit more fine tuning for example.

The other thing we want to do is gather ideas on how to make improvements in the future. So what are the ideas and recommendations that we are seeking to deal with this Commission. So it's to stimulate the conversation, and also give you a sense of what a recommendation looks like.

5 So sources for recommendations that are in this particular report 6 are reports from commission's of inquiry; reports from government standing committees; 7 law reform commissions; commission evaluation sites, such as parliamentary 8 committees; reports of civilian review and complaints for the RCMP, so that's the body 9 that looks at complaints about RCMP officers; and things like coroner's inquests. So 10 coroner's inquest is a term that's very family to people in Ontario, but not here in Nova 11 Scotia. We have what we call fatality inquiries.

And these are the tools -- these recommendations are the tools that 12 we use in Canada, or one of the tools, to reform laws and policies after the -- particular 13 facts of a matter are fully considered. Public inquiries, such as this Commission, are 14 one of the many types of processes, and as I indicated, in Ontario they have things like 15 coroner's inquest. Some of these processes, too, are automatic, and some of them 16 occur when the government initiates an application for such a thing as an inquiry. So 17 you may very well recall that this Commission came to be as a result of the 18 governments deciding that they were going to call for a Commission of Inquiry. And it's 19 not only a federal inquiry, it's a provincial inquiry. So it's a joint provincial/federal 20 inquiry. 21

The particular environmental scan that's before you is not an exhaustive list of all of the possible reports that exist in Canada, but it is a very comprehensive document. There are 71 past reports, and as indicated by Commissioner Fitch, there were over 2,000 recommendations. And the time span of the report is from 1989 to 2022.

It captures, as I indicated earlier, recommendations that relate to
 the Mass Casualty Commission. So not all of the recommendations of each report are

in there. It's only the ones that are relevant to the mandate of the Mass Casualty
 Commission. It was prepared by the Research and Policy Team. And as I indicated,
 it's not an exhaustive list of all of the reports.

Because of the fact that we've had late disclosure with respect to some of this material, there may be more reports that we would add, potentially, or would have considered, had we had the material at the time of offering this, but we've done the best we can with what we have for now.

8 The purpose of the environmental scan is, of course, and it's being 9 presented to you now as we enter the phase, the third phase of the Commission, is to assist with shaping the recommendations. And because we need to know what has 10 already been asked, you know, we don't want to reinvent the wheel, we also need to 11 know what have been implemented and what have not. So if there have been 12 recommendations made in the past, and there have been some that were made many 13 years ago, that have not been implemented, what is it that's caused them not to be 14 implemented, or vice versa, what has been implemented and why has it been 15 16 implemented? There are certain approaches that have worked with respect to some -the implementation of some recommendations, and some have not. 17

This is a very important phase of the Commission. So this is the culmination of all the hard work we've done to date, and we will be sort of looking at sort of getting these clear actionable recommendations coming out of this Commission in memory of all of those who have lost their lives in this tragedy. And we want to be able to breath life into those recommendations with clear strong recommendations.

And, you know, I'm a personal example of recommendations coming to light. So in 1989, the Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr. prosecution recommended that governments fund a special initiative at Dalhousie Law School to increase the number of Indigenous and Black law students, and I'm one of the Indigenous lawyers who graduated from Dalhousie Law School in 1994 as a result of this initiative. So 27 years later, I stand before you as a result of one of those

1 recommendations.

Who can use the environmental scan? So this document is -- has 2 got a lot of purposes because a public inquiry or commission such as this is a different 3 process that most people are not very used to. So for the Participants, those of you 4 who are Participants, we will be asking you to make final written and oral submissions, 5 and we're hoping that this will assist you to narrow down or focus your 6 7 recommendations. 8 The institutions and groups, so people who are representing public 9 institutions, advocacy groups, and community-based organizations who are not participants but have expertise or perspectives, we also want to encourage you to 10 review and provide input on this. 11 The public. The Commission is also inviting members of the public 12 to make suggestions for recommendations. And the scan is a resource that may help 13 communities, policy makers, and others to understand the history of relevant findings 14 15 and recommendations. 16 And then finally, the Commissioners. So the Commissioners are going to want to know what has been recommended in the past, why it was 17 recommended, the facts that supported that -- those recommendations, and they'll want 18 to take that into consideration. 19 I think it's important for people to really understand that because 20 this is a unique process, and because this is a process that I've now been involved in, --21 22 this is my third public inquiry, I do know that it's a little bit different for people, including 23 lawyers. So an environmental scan or a document like this will give you a real heads up 24 in terms of how to make recommendations and how to make those submissions. So people assume that we, as lawyers, know everything about the law, but the reality is, if 25 you've not done a public inquiry before, you're willing not that familiar, or a coroner's 26 27 inquest, you're really not familiar with what recommendations look like or what past processes have happened, unless you're usually right involved in it. 28

1 So the structure of the environmental scan categorizes the recommendations into five main areas based on the Commission's mandate. And the 2 Commission's mandate, of course, is the Order in Council that sets out what we are to 3 look at as part of the Commission's marching orders. Police oversight and training. So 4 there's five different areas: police oversight and training; preparation and culture; 5 communications among and within law enforcement agencies; communications with 6 7 community; contemptuous response to victims and community engagement and 8 emergency alerts; mass casualty events and gender-based and intimate partner 9 violence.

10 So when you look through the scan, you see a brief summary of the 11 background on the mandate of each one of the reports, the 71 reports that are 12 mentioned, and then it goes into the recommendations that are relevant to the 13 Commission's mandate.

There are some edits in the report with respect to the text of the recommendations just to make it a little bit more concise or clear. And in some cases, there is conversations in the report about whether the recommendations were implemented, and in some instances, and I can remember some of the comments with respect to the Aboriginal Justice Inquiry in Manitoba, a lot of the recommendations made from that Commission were not implemented, much to the dismay of the former Commissioner, the Honourable Murray Sinclair.

One of the things too, and again, as Commissioner Fitch has 21 22 mentioned, we have a discussion guide that is now going to be up on the website, this is 23 to assist you to work through this phase. It summarizes the key issues that we are exploring, what the Commission -- and what the Commission has learned, and it 24 includes some questions to help you get started again because, you know, if you ask 25 yourself from questions in the right areas, you might very well, as you ask the question, 26 27 come up with a recommendation. And the environmental scan, which sets out the prior recommendations, and the discussion guide, should help you come together with some 28

1 questions that would be some sort of form of a recommendation.

As I work through this particular presentation, and bear with me, because it is a long document, it's not realistic for me to go through each report and each set of recommendations on the time frame, and quite frankly, I'll spare you and me the pain of such.

However, there is an -- it's important for you to sort of understand
the flavour of what is here so that you have a sense of what it is you need to look for in
the document.

9 Each of the topics it covers on how the mandate is part of what I will talk about. So those five topics that I just indicated, the kinds of questions these 10 prior recommendations can help us think about. So I will talk a little bit about the types 11 of questions we might want to ask ourselves in those particular areas, themes and 12 patterns that emerge across the recommendations from the different reports and what 13 this might mean for future recommendations, and which recommendations address the 14 culture and structure of law enforcement and public institutions versus those that 15 16 address the day-to-day function of these bodies.

17 So the culture and structure of law enforcement, another word for 18 that you'll often hear is governance.

So sometimes there are buzz words or words we use regularly in 19 these areas, but they might not mean something to the average person. And one of the 20 things I often find people don't know is what governance is. Governance is the -- you 21 22 know, what are the rules and the structure in place to affect the organization? 23 So the first area is police oversight, training, preparation, and 24 culture. And again, the reason that this is part of the environmental scan is because of the Order in Council and the marching orders or the rules that the Commissioners are --25 the issues that the Commissioners are supposed to be considering. So that is the 26 27 responses of police, including the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and municipal police

forces, and police operations, including operational tactics, response decision-making

and supervision, policies with respect to gender-based and intimate partner violence,
active shooter incidents, police vehicles and equipment and reports of prohibited
firearms. These are some of the themes that are addressed in this section and, again,
these are the rules with respect to the Orders in Council, which is the document that
said here's the rules for the Commission.

In the police oversight, training and preparation and culture, there
are a number of reports, and I'm not going to read or discuss all of them. The next
three slides outlines those 22 reports and those that have recommended improvements,
particularly in the area of police oversight, although a lot of these reports overlap in
different areas.

There are a broad range of reports, and I think that's really helpful for people to see that not only are there many reports with respect to Nova Scotia, but there's reports from Canada, the federal government level and then other parts of Canada.

Some of these reports, such as the Royal Commission in the Donald Marshall, Jr. Prosecution from 1989, that's now 33 years old, so we have had reports that talk about police oversight and some of the training needs of the police for some time. We also have different processes, so in this list it shows you that some of these are commissions of inquiry, some of them are coroners' investigations and some of them are just federal commissions that have been put together either at

21 Parliamentary committees or other.

So I think what's interesting, too, when you look at these reports is the types -- different types of processes. And you know, we're now in a Commission of Inquiry. We have had fatality inquiries. But one of the other things that struck me when I reviewed this report was the report from the Missing and -- independent civilian review into Missing Person Investigations, the Toronto Police Services Board.

27 So that was a process where the Toronto Police Services Board 28 hired retired Justice Gloria Epstein to review the deaths of the eight men who were the

victims of Mr. McArthur in the Toronto Gay Village area, and Justice Epstein reviewed 1 all the files and indicated where the missteps perhaps were, among other things. 2 And that just gives you an idea that there are so many different 3 processes that can exist across Canada and there are different ways that these 4 recommendations come to light. But there are also a lot of similarities when you review 5 these documents. 6 7 The environmental scan has done a really nice job of summarizing 8 the reports, so it's actually a fairly easy read in terms of understanding the material. 9 The other thing is, you know, we look at things like from the Braidwood Commission, so much of us are familiar with the death of Robert Dziekanski 10 at the Vancouver International Airport back in 2010. 11 We also look at things like the review of the Yukon's Police Force in 12 2012, and then here in Nova Scotia, we recently had the Nova Scotia Human Rights 13 Commission Streets Checks, so that's where the individuals -- the police behaviour with 14 15 respect to African-Nova Scotian in particular and indigenous or under-represented 16 people were the subject of the Human Rights Commission oversight, which is a different process, but has done a lot of work in terms of trying to breathe life into the event to the 17 issue of individuals being improperly detained or guestioned by the police in the Halifax 18 Regional area. 19 So police oversight is a term that you hear often but it also, again, 20 is probably something that most people wouldn't necessarily understand. I think about it 21 22 as sort of big brother. Who is looking at what the police are doing and making sure that they're doing what they're supposed to be doing? Either they're following their own 23 24 rules or they're actually looking at implementing recommendations. So the definition here in this particular document, which is taken 25 from the Toronto Police Services Board in 2012 Relating to the G20 Summit involves --26 27 police oversight involves:

28

"...governance, which includes responsibility for

1	developing the framework [so the rules] within which
2	policing decisions and actions will take place and
3	which ensures that the police fulfills its function under
4	the law while respecting community norms; and
5	accountability, whereby the conduct of police is
6	reviewed and evaluated against those frameworks
7	and norms."
8	And police culture is the officers officers' values and behaviours.
9	And you'll note in the corner there's a quote from the Toronto Police
10	Service Encounters with People in Crisis:
11	"'[Culture] eats training' and that while formal training
12	of officers is relatively short, the culture of policing
13	surrounds officers at all times."
14	And I think that's a really important quote because it gives you a
15	sense of how difficult it is to simply implement training policies and procedures unless
16	the culture, so all of those folks that are involved in the day-to-day operations of a police
17	force, embrace the changes that are being suggested.
18	Most of the literature shows that values and behaviours exhibited
19	by police in practice do not reflect or even challenge official police organization values
20	or narratives. There's a difference, basically, between the practical reality of what
21	happens on the street and what's on paper.
22	So again, you know, looking at the culture, how can we change the
23	culture if there are difficulties?
24	We have several reports that are in this material that explain police
25	culture or the Commission's reports that have been already tendered and before the
26	roundtables, including Campeau, Goold, Loftus and Souhami, all posted on our website,
27	and we will have more of these, but the police culture, I think, is something that is really
28	important and something that people are going to need to pay attention to.

1 So some of the potential questions we might look at in this 2 particular area if you're considering suggestions for recommendations related to police 3 oversight, training, preparation and culture, is change required to our current structure 4 and approach to policing. If so, what changes could work?

So we remember that here in Nova Scotia, we do have more than 5 one type of policing. We have the RCMP and we do have municipal police forces 6 7 across the province. So are there changes required to that structure? What are the tasks that police services are well equipped to do? Are they well equipped to respond 8 9 to crisis calls or are there other people that are better equipped to do that. Are there some tasks they are presently doing that could be better done by others? What are the 10 steps that should be taken to improve how police services with other agencies, so are 11 they talking with each other, are they speaking to the other agencies, are they, you 12 know, coordinating their activities whether it's with other police forces, whether it's with 13 the hospital? 14

And as you look at some of these reports, particularly I can think of the one that comes to mind immediately is the Hyde report here from Nova Scotia. One of the difficulties that happened during Mr. Hyde's time in custody where he passed away was the communication between the hospitals, the police and the detention or the corrections folks was not adequate and the information was not being passed back and forth. And we'll see that time and time again.

One of the other -- another question would be, how can we consider the needs of vulnerable or marginalized individuals and communities in designing and implementing police reform.

So we do need to be mindful that there are -- is an impact, potentially, on marginalized individuals when we look at police reform because they're not well represented within the force, to start with, and when we look at things like the street checks, they have obviously had a fair bit of policing that's probably been inappropriate for some time. So we have to be mindful of how those policies and

procedures, anything that's recommended has an impact on those as well. 1 With respect to police oversight, training, preparation and culture, 2 the more questions. What resources do the police need? What are the strategies that 3 help keep rural communities safe, welcoming and inclusive places for everyone? 4 So thinking about where we live and what are the things that look 5 like safety to us and what are the things that look unsafe to us. 6 7 I think it's probably clear, you know, to most of us that we live in a 8 province that isn't necessarily as well off as perhaps other parts of Canada, so what are the strategies that we have learned? What's the resourcefulness that we have here in 9 Nova Scotia, and particularly in rural Nova Scotia that help us to keep safe? 10 What do police services need to do or understand better to 11 contribute to community safety in rural areas. So is there something missing from the 12 dialogue with the police services where they are not understanding what the needs of 13 the safety -- the community safety is in the rural area? 14 15 And finally the good news stories. So are there initiatives that you 16 were either involved in or aware of that are designed to improve community safety since the mass casualty? So has there been anything that you've seen or heard that 17 suggests, "Hey, there's a good idea," or, "Here's something that's working"? 18 So community policing is one of the recommendations. That is very 19 consistent with a lot of the recommendations, the Aboriginal Justice Inquiry in Manitoba, 20 as well as the Sharing Common Ground Review of Yukon's Police Force in 2011. 21 22 It's important -- and I mean, this is something that came across loud and clear when I worked on the National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered 23 24 Indigenous Women and Girls, relationship building is very, very important. If we don't know one another, we're not going to be able to work together very well. 25 So if police don't know the community and the community doesn't 26 27 know them, it's very difficult for the police to understand what their priorities should be, and it's also important for the police to know who the informal networks or leaders might 28

be. Who should they be speaking to to find out more information? And the same goes
for the community. If the police are not known to the community members, then it's very
likely that there might be some trust problems or a lack of reaching out that should take
place. So again, that communication that comes from that relationship building or that
community policing strategy.

Police communications within the agency itself. And I think one of 6 7 the things that struck me when I reviewed this Report was the federal Task Force on 8 Governance and Cultural Change in 2007, there were very significant recommendations 9 with respect to the governance of the RCMP and some really specific recommendations with respect to public affairs and communicating. So these things have been in place 10 for some time, and although communication within the agency itself is a problem, 11 there's also problems with companion agencies, so other police forces or other service 12 providers. And if you look through the other reports, so the MacNeil Report from 2014 13 with respect to the Moncton shooting, you know, very common that there were some 14 difficulties communicating. Critical information that would have been helpful to people 15 16 to properly understand what was happening around them or what was before them was not provided or not accessible, and it may very well have been helpful at the time. 17

And again, as I mentioned with Mr. Hyde, one of the things that was noted in Justice Derrick's report was that his information with respect to his past mental health difficulties and some of the medical issues he presented with, there was misunderstandings all along the way and Mr. Hyde passed away in custody as a result, primarily, of the fact that that information wasn't necessarily going back and forth.

And communication with the public is very much a part of the themes in these reports as well.

28

25 So these are things that have already been spoken to and will be 26 very important for us to take into consideration and for you to take into consideration as 27 we look at this phase.

Education and preparedness. So it's pretty clear that police officers

need training, but what is it that they need training in? So police training needs to be
current, so up to date is how we got the best techniques, and are we also following a
legislative requirement? So in Nova Scotia, we have the *Police Act* and in most parts of
Canada, there is legislation with respect to the basic standards of policing. But is there
a mechanism to review? To make sure the training is meeting those obligations? Is
there opportunities to recruit people from different places? Is there training being
provided in the policing environment?

8 So we see the need for training because people don't understand 9 the systems they're working within, for example.

I think it's pretty clear to us that, you know, during this Commission,
 there were some difficulties utilizing some of the tools that perhaps were available
 because there was a lack of understanding of how to work those tools.

So it could be education with respect to how do you respond to a crisis, mental health wise. Could it be, you know, using technology, such as radios? There's a whole bunch of different pieces here, but making sure that people have the training and education that they need to do the work is important.

If we look at the Ipperwash Inquiry from 2007, one of the things that 17 was suggested was that there be third party evaluations of the Framework for Police 18 Preparedness and Aboriginal Critical Incidents and Aboriginal Relations Team. So that 19 talks about how some of the policies and procedures that are in place need to be 20 collaborative. So in other words, you can put policies and procedures in place, but if 21 22 you don't know or have good relationships with those who are in receipt of those policies and procedures, it might not be a good idea or they may not work very well. 23 24 The other thing that is interesting is that police studies and law enforcement related course -- so people often take some sort of education before going 25 into the policing career, whether they take it in university or in community college levels. 26

opportunities for training in those particular -- like, better opportunities to address the

27

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

They often take some sort of training. And the idea would be that there would be more

curriculum at that level. So before they even become police. And again, the Broken
 Dreams Broken Lives report, so the Merlo Davidson Settlement Agreement in 2020
 talks a little bit about training experts being retained from the outside.

Police officers being recruited. So this is a really important piece.
You know, and this also stems to the Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr.
prosecution from 1989 here in Nova Scotia. You know, one of the recommendations of
that report was to include more diverse members of the community.

So in policing, you know, there was sort of traditional places where you would draw people from, but looking at other educational programs, such as social work or others, that might be sort of an underrecruited group, and that having a more collaborative team, if you have people from those groups. And of course, this is in response to the Public Encounters with People in Crisis in 2014. So creating members of the police force that have a different skill set.

The selection criteria. So whether the selection criteria should be standardized. So when you become a lawyer in Nova Scotia, or in other parts of Canada, you have to go through a process of meeting a certain standard of criteria to have a license. So should there be something like that?

And then some of the barriers. So there is a requirement of a level of two years of post-secondary education to apply to the RCMP, but is that a barrier to good applicants? Is there another way to overcome that criteria to meet that requirement? Is two years of post-secondary education the only way to get some previous experience that's relevant to the work of a police officer? Considering how police are recruited, again, as I just mentioned, the applications from diverse groups, including women, LGBTQ2S people, and

racialized communities, it's important that the police officers that are policing the

community reflect the community they serve.

You know, we've seen this as far back as 1989 in the Donald
Marshall Jr. Royal Commission. At the end of the day, if you don't know the community

and you don't have those relationships, you have those biases, then you're not able to
really communicate, it would be my view, how I would communicate that to you.

So in-stream training is the next theme. So what type of training is 3 needed for the police in -- while they're in the policing role? Like, updating the content 4 and the curriculum. And I think most people know, but here in Canada, most people 5 receive police training either at the police college. Well, so here in Nova Scotia, it's at 6 the Holland College in Prince Edward Island for most of the municipal police forces in 7 Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick, or the RCMP Depot. And most 8 9 police officers, at one point anyway, also had a prior post secondary or community college level education before entering. So again, improving the training before and 10 during that process. 11

Reassess of the use of force might be one of the recommendations 12 that we would look at in the areas. And use of force is a term that we're hearing more 13 and more, and I think more people are understanding that, you know, it's something that 14 15 -- how the police respond to a situation, it's becoming more of a routine word, but you 16 know, we understand use of force to be things like the taser, the firearms or the physical restraint tactics that police might use, and how the police respond to a situation. And 17 what policies and procedures that help them to know how to respond can mean the life 18 and death of an individual they are responding to. 19

We do have multiple examples here in Canada where people have died while in custody, and some of the reasons for that was the type of force that was used to detain the individuals. If there was an improvement in the use of force, there would be both community safety and public confidence improvements in the system. Diversity polices, I talked a little about that already, but the policies

also with respect to the data that's being collected during the processes, so race-based
 and other demographic data so that can be some understanding systemically of what is
 going on and some of the policies and processes that are needing amendment to
 address underrepresented individuals.

We think about the death of Victoria Rose Paul, that's a situation 1 where an Indigenous woman died in police custody of a stroke. And the individuals who 2 were involved, either in the cells or the police that were involved, really failed to 3 appreciate that she was in medical distress and just made assumptions based on race 4 that she was intoxicated and just sleeping it off. So there's an example of a situation 5 where there was a recommendation that there be more cultural sensitivity and 6 7 awareness. Had they had a proper relationship with Ms. Paul or members of the Indigenous Mi'kmag community in the Truro area, they probably would have had a 8 9 better appreciation of the fact that she was in medical distress. And for example, the Broken Dreams and Broken Lives Report 10

from the Merlo Division [sic] Settlement, it talks about, again, the external folks being appointed to look at the modern police force and promoting positive training that addresses the issues of harassment and discrimination. And that's from 2020. So this is a very recent example of some of these policies and procedures that are being still recommended in terms of inclusiveness of people of diverse backgrounds.

There's a suggestion with respect to a National Police College to provide preparation and training for police -- modern, professional and bias-free policing. So that -- you know, there is a whole new type of training addressed.

Then, ensuring Indigenous communities have direct input into their 19 police services and structure from the Routley Report, which was in 2022. Again, a very 20 recent report, but I think as you look at the reports or you look at the information, you 21 22 can see that if there isn't good communication there isn't a one slice fits all answer to how to develop a policing strategy for Community X in Nova Scotia and Community Y in 23 24 British Columbia, and even Indigenous communities vary across the country. So it's really important that you have those relationships and you understand how to engage 25 the community, find the helpers, and also identify priorities that make some sense. 26 27 Police oversight, training, preparation and culture, establishing formal mechanisms for accountability, both within the police force and to the public. So 28

are there tools in place to make sure that the police officers do the work they're 1 supposed to do within the force, and also, are there -- you know, so are they doing, are 2 they taking the right reports, are they doing the things they need to do, but are there 3 also things that make them respond or coordinate their work with the public. 4 And the quote on the side here is: 5 "In order for citizens to have confidence in their 6 7 police, they must have confidence in those who ensure [the] accountability of police to the public.' 8 Rebuilding the Trust [of the] Federal Task Force on 9 Governance and Cultural Change in...(2007)" 10 And that's the one that I mentioned earlier. 11 So 2007, they were talking about what it is that needs to happen in 12 terms of the oversight and confidence that is necessary -- the transparency that builds 13 the confidence that is necessary for trust. . So there's a suggestion in the 1991 14 Aboriginal Justice Inquiry of Manitoba, so you know, that's a long time ago, that they 15 implemented -- the RCMP instituted a process similar to the Ontario Office of the Public 16 Complaints Commissioner. So that's an example of a recommendation where there 17 was a process that was identified that seemed to be working well, so the 18 Commissioners recommended that the RCMP also adopt a process that seemed to be 19 working well. 20 The next one is the street checks. Establishing a committee 21 22 consisting of community members, police officers, and government stakeholders to 23 study the strength and integrity of the current police complaints process. 24 So in that particular situation, it was an -- you know, the Nova Scotia Human Rights Commission brought that report forward with respect to street 25 checks, but there could have been complaints being made by the communities if they 26 27 had understood the process and felt that the process was something they could trust. So the idea there is to build a process that people will actually trust and use because 28

1 that's the oversight available.

One other one, the Government of Canada clarify and strengthen 2 the mandate of the independence and efficacy of the Civilian Review and Complaints 3 Commission for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. And again, that's from 2021. 4 That is the main board or place that you go when you have complaints with respect to 5 the RCMP, the Civilian Review and Complaints Commission, and there are lots of 6 7 conversations in this report about that particular review mechanism and how it may 8 need some modifications. 9 And the laws on Civilian and Police Oversight body should be set out in statute and regulations. So what does that mean? Well, if there are rules that are 10 in, like for example, you can't drive a vehicle while you're impaired, that's in a statue so 11 there's something that can be enforced so the police can -- or others can be required to 12 follow up on. But if it's not in a statute or some sort of rule, then it makes it more difficult 13 to enforce. So that's one of the things that we have to think about as we look at this, 14 what can be enforced? 15 16 Some of the things that have been also recommended is the response and accountability mechanisms be put in place at the senior officer level. So 17 officers monitor continuously the progress of any sensitive and large-scale 18 investigations to assure the public, transparency, effectiveness and impartiality. 19 And another one that's been recommended, and this comes from 20 the 2017 Civilian Review and Complaints Commission, is section, and this is a very 21 22 specific example, section 2.2.4 of the National Headquarters Operational Manual, Chapter 2.4: 23 24 "...enhance [community] -- enhance accountability by requiring members who do not obtain victim and 25 witness statements to document the reasons they 26 27 were not obtained." This is an important observation. If there was a complaint made, 28

but there was no statement taken, there's no way of knowing why that happened. If we
have people coming forward regularly and not giving statements or victim impact
statements, then we need to know what the system's doing that's making that not
happen. So if we don't record the reasons we don't know why those things did not
happen.

Establish a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities in policing. I think it's fairly clear from, particularly this Commission, that interoperability and how things work in an active situation, who is responsible for what is really important. And the more complex the police response is, the more clarity, understanding of whose role is what is very important, and that is noted in 2007, "Rebuilding the Trust, Federal Task Force on Governance and Cultural Change in the RCMP."

The suggestion in the 2015 RCMP Security Posture Parliament Hill, October 22, 2014, that there be scenario-based training, so practising, you know, the work of the operations, not just talking about them but actually actively practising. And you probably remember one of the talks -- one of the witnesses for the radio panel that I remember talked about muscle memory. So learning how to automatically do certain things, and you can only do that with practice.

And then finally the note here is the Missing and Missed Independent Civilian Review from 2021, how police communicate with families. There's a clear point of contact and a clear idea for the expectations of that role, making sure -that's a very, very fundamental role, as we see here in this Commission; what information is needed by the individuals who are the family members of the missing or murdered folks, and how can we best get that information to them and the times that we can reasonably expect.

I think because I've had the opportunity of speaking to many
murdered and missing families, I really resonate with the importance of making sure that
that is a role that is well-defined and well-supported.

Addressing concerns related to police disclosure. Unfortunately, a 1 pattern of late disclosure is something that you see in these reports. So if you look at 2 the Braidwood Commission from the death of Robert Dziekanski, three weeks after the 3 public hearings ended in that Commission, and before oral submissions were to start, 4 the Federal Department of Justice disclosed to the Commission an email between 5 senior RCMP members. It did not -- it did not delay the Commission's work, but it was, 6 you know, very inappropriate and it was described as "Appalling"; so that was in 2010. 7 8 Then we look in the course of the Independent Civilian Review 9 matters related to the G20 summit. Access to documents were given by the Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Police Service Board, but the RCMP did not agree to 10 produce documents to the review until nine months after the request. 11 With respect to the Colten Boushie matter, so that was the 12 complaint and public interest investigation into the RCMP investigation of Colten 13 Boushie. The RCMP was slow to provide the requested material, and, more 14 significantly, the RCMP had destroyed recordings and transcripts of telephone calls and 15 radio communications two years after their creation after deciding they had no 16 evidentiary value. And that's correlating a little bit with what we've experienced with this 17 Commission, the material that was provided to us in relation to Ms. Butlin, the police 18 officers' names were removed for being unilaterally decided as irrelevant to the work of 19 the Commission. 20 So this is something that has been a process that has a consistent 21 22 pattern and has an impact on things like this Commission. So it's important, I think, that

it's not lost that this issue get overcome because in a two-year mandate -- so this
Commission has no choice but to provide reports and documents based on the Orders
in Council. If we don't have the material until the last minute or well after the process, it
makes it very difficult for the Commission to really be inquisitorial and really come up
with recommendations that are meaningful.

28

Reconsider how policing is structured and delivered. This goes

back to the Federal Task Force of 2007 and the Broken Dreams, Broken Lives Reportfrom 2020.

The structure and governance of the policing has been an ongoing 3 conversation. So how does the RCMP decide who does what, when, and how is it 4 funded? These conversations have been going on for so long that it's really important 5 that we really think about this particular piece because, at the end of the day, there are 6 different pieces to it and it's quite complex. But as the 2007 report points out, the 7 8 Treasury Board policies and funding are also part of the difficulties with respect to how 9 the RCMP configures itself and some of the applicable policies -- so human resources policies, for example, can really tie up the RCMP's ability to do their work in a timely 10 manner; i.e., hire new staff, for example. 11 So there's a lot of really sort of good things in that material, and 12 again, it's been going on for some time, so it's important that we perhaps have a look at 13 that. 14 Communications among and within law enforcement agencies, and 15 16 communications with community contemporaneous response to victims and community, and emergency alerts. 17 So again, these are all things that come from the Order in Council 18 because the Mass Casualty Commission was to examine communications with the 19 public during and after the event, including the appropriate use of the public alerting 20 system established under the Alert Ready program; information and support provided 21 22 to the families of victims, affected citizens, personal -- police personnel and the community; and whether the Alert Ready program was -- why the Alert Ready program 23 was not used during the events of 18th and 19th. And, again, what I said earlier, the 24 Order in Council is the marching orders or the rules of what the Commission has to 25 follow. 26

A number of the reports that are here listed talk about this particular area. So, again, there's a broad range, and you'll see the first date is 1999, the sexual

assaults of the Toronto Police Service; the Ipperwash Inquiry from 2007; the Kaufman
Commission; the inquest in to the death of Jonathan Yeo from 1992; Bernardo, 1996;
the Maher Arar Inquiry, which is something that most of us are familiar with; the Missing
Women's Commission from British Columbia in 2012. And there are other reports that
speak to the communications among and within law enforcement agencies and some of
the difficulties those pose.

Interoperability is one of the terms that we've talked about a lot, but
it's basically how different police services and other public agencies work together
within the same or adjacent jurisdictions. How do we best work together in a time of
crisis and communicate the information we have, to what information needs to be
shared, how do we share it, and what are the barriers to that information or -- being
shared.

13

So here are the potential questions:

How can we ensure that the community members received the information they need to stay safe during an emergency? What's the beset way to communicate that information? It may be very different, depending on the community that you're policing.

What is the role of public aid education and ensuring emergency 18 public communications produce the desired result of increased safety? 19 How can we consider the needs of vulnerable and marginalized 20 individuals and communities designed -- in designing and implementing these systems? 21 22 Again, if we're looking at vulnerable and marginalized individuals, they may have a 23 different way of communicating important information, and it's important to ask them. Go out and ask them, "What works for you?" 24 What kinds of supports do individuals, families, first and secondary 25 responders, and communities affected by mass casualty need? I think it's pretty clear 26 27 to us that we know there's support needed, but what does that look like? What role should communities and governments play in designing 28

and delivering these supports? What role can individuals play?

Implement continued -- so the recommendation is; implement 2 continued upgraded training based on external reviews. We've talked about this a little 3 bit already but ultimately making sure that police officers are given a wide range of 4 skillsets and that they're -- the people who are training them are also properly trained. 5 That there's mandatory, ongoing experimental -- experiential and interactive training. 6 7 So, again, hands-on. And these things occur in many of the reports repeatedly, so when you read this document, the Environmental Scan, it will be pretty clear, I think, at 8 9 times, what some of these common recommendations are, and how maybe we would think of this Commission and some of the recommendations that would apply. 10 Foster cooperative police investigations, so working with other 11 police officers. The review of the investigation of sexual assaults, Toronto Police 12 Services, the Chief of Police develop a written protocol, so what are the rules detailing 13 the circumstances in which a general warning should be given to the public that a 14 15 suspected serial sexual predator is active. 16 Community consultation should take place in the preparation of this directive. How is it best to get the information out that there is potentially a sexual 17 predator in -- a serial sexual predator out in the community? It's important to figure out 18 what the best way is to do that. But the Chief of Police probably who, you know, is most 19 familiar, or hopefully most familiar with the community, would be the person that 20 perhaps would be able to articulate what that -- what the rules should be. 21 22 One of the other things would be the Director of Police Services consult with the BC Association of Police Chiefs and the RCMP to create a protocol or 23 framework for multi-jurisdictional major case investigations to ensure timely and 24 seamless implementation of multi-agency teams for the provision of an independent 25 panel to resolve disputes regarding when the protocol should be triggered. 26 27 So internally, there can be, as we see, sometimes disputes with respect to how and when to use certain things. 28

1 And then when we look at Bernardo, it says the officers should conduct major searches -- should be selected based on their experience and expertise 2 with an effort to combine officers and other persons selected to assist them with 3 different perspectives. A second team of searchers should be sent in after the first 4 group has exhausted all apparent possibilities. 5 That second set of eyes, we all talk about that, you know. We want 6 to have that sober second thought or that second set of eyes. It's always a great idea to 7 8 have people from different perspectives. 9 How police investigations take place, the Kaufman investigation. Investigating officers should not attain an elevated standing in an investigation through 10 acquiring or pursuing the best suspect or lead. This promotes competition between the 11 investigative teams. 12 Again, something that probably wasn't meant to cause that 13 problem, but it eventually did through time that there was the best suspect or lead, and 14 that means that, you know, potentially people can develop what they call tunnel vision 15 16 or focus on the wrong person and forget or overlook other important evidence. And you know, with Maher Arar, the cooperative operations in a 17 national security investigations, obviously very complex things going on at the national 18 level, especially with international matters, so communication with all of those various 19 agencies. And as we see with this Commission, communicating with people like 20 Canada Border Services Agency and all of the other police forces involved, it's 21 22 important that information is available to everybody at every point in time. 23 The build community relationships. Police forces work with local 24 communities to develop communication strategies. That's the Forsaken: Missing Women Commission of 2012. 25 The ability of police officers to develop and maintain community 26 27 relationships. So depending on who you're policing, it may take more and different skill sets to build those relationships and you must put the time into it in order to be able to 28

communicate with people of different backgrounds and different experiences, whatever
 those might be.

The RCMP should continue to expand upon social context training, which is necessary to be able to conduct efficient investigations while ensuring fairness to individuals and communities. This is the Maher Arar. And commitment to change is required from the police and law enforcement communities in the Ontario government and from the community at large. And that's from the Bernardo Investigation.

8 I think I've made it pretty clear that sometimes communication is 9 done in different ways, but from personal experience and working as a staff lawyer with 10 Nova Scotia Legal Aid, sometimes the fact that I was from the Mi'kmag community really helped us communicate with some of the individuals that were in the community 11 because I would know who to contact. And it might not be the traditional phone call. It 12 might be me calling somebody else and then them Facebook messaging and then 13 somebody else Snapchatting, and eventually I would find that person, but I had the tools 14 and knowhow to know how to build that. I had enough of a relationship with the 15 16 community to be able to find the information I needed, so it's important because if you don't develop that time and put that effort into that relationship, you aren't necessarily 17 able to communicate effectively as much as you think you might be able to. 18

The case management systems is an important piece here, so this is update and maintain the case management systems. This is the -- basically the brains of the police operations in terms of where all of the information is stored and how it's stored.

So it's really important that it only -- it also -- it serves the force that it's been developed for, but that it also has the ability to work with others. If we're going to have different systems in place and they can't talk to each other, they're not very helpful.

And as you look through the reports, there's lots and lots of situations where people in the same force did not know the information or individuals in

1	one force did not speak to another force. So it's really important that there is some real
2	sort of change with respect to the way recordkeeping is done and that there's a failsafe,
3	essentially in the system to make sure that information is being communicated.
4	And Commissioners, I think this would probably be a good time to
5	take a brief break, if that's okay.
6	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Right. Thank you so much.
7	We'll take 15 minutes.
8	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Thank you.
9	The proceedings are now on break and will resume at 11:15.
10	Upon breaking at 10: 57 a.m.
11	Upon resuming at 11:23 a.m.
12	REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND: Welcome back. The
13	proceedings are again in session.
14	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
15	Ms. Cox?
16	MS. JENNIFER COX: So thank you, Commissioners.
17	The next thing that we're going to talk about is the active shooter
18	incidents.
19	Oh, and before I get to that, I have a couple of housekeeping
20	things. Apparently I made some mistakes with respect to numbers and the exhibits, so
21	if you can just humour me for a minute?
22	The report with respect to Ms. Bultin, that was Exhibit 3649. It was
23	COMM number 0048906 for the purposes it's now 8906 for the purposes of the
24	record.
25	And the scan, so the environmental scan, apparently, I gave you
26	the wrong COMM number as well. That is 0063226 and not 48906.
27	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you.
28	MS. JENNIFER COX: So I'll move on to the active shooter

1 incidents.

Again, this is something that comes from the Order in Council. So this is part of what the Commission has been mandated to do by their marching orders, to examine police policies, procedures, and training in respect of active shooter incidents, police policies with respect to police response to reports of possession of prohibited firearms and access to firearms.

7 There are a number of reports that look at this. So the Public Fatality Inquiry into the Deaths of James Wilbert Galloway and Martin Charles 8 9 Ostopovich, the Galloway Inquiry, the Mayerthorpe Inquiry, which was the individual police officers who were shot by an individual who was hunkered down in a barn, the 10 MacNeil Report, the Moncton shooting from 2014, -- and a lot of these are things that 11 most of us would remember, -- the Royal Canadian Mounted Police New Brunswick 12 Provincial Court 2017, the Security Posture Parliament Hill 2014, and the External 13 Engagement and Coordination, again, the After-Action Report, which is a common term, 14 by the way, for police. So after something takes place, there are often what we call 15 16 After-Action Reports. And that was from 2015.

So these things -- these reports have all looked at active shooters.
Some of the potential questions we might look at is how should
access to firearms be regulated in Canada. Do you think that current regulations are
sufficient or should they be revisited? Another thing might be how can laws about
possession, importation, and transfer of firearms be effectively enforced? So here's that
word again, enforced. So we can have rules in place, but how do we actually make
sure that they're followed?

Offer increased and updated training is one of the themes with respect to active shooters. So making sure the training time is there for the ERT team, which is the Galloway Inquiry that I just mentioned, and, you know, they're very specific about training time for ERT team members be increased initially to three days per month and that policy makers be prepared after a review with the teams themselves of

1	the impact of that change to increase the time. So it's a very specific recommendation.
2	With respect to the MacNeil Report or review, front-line supervisors
3	to exercise command and control during critical incidences, how it's training those folks.
4	Again, MacNeil, the IARD training.
5	So these are all folks that we've spoken with and to before this
6	Commission, the IARD team and the ERT team. So there are specific instances and
7	recommendations with respect to active shooter instances.
8	To improve internal communications and task delegation. So when
9	we look at the Mayerthorpe Inquiry, on the right-hand side, there's a quote:
10	"Although it's not clear that having more information
11	would have affected the outcome of this tragedy, more
12	information is better, particularly because threats to
13	police have increased significantly in recent years." (As
14	read)
15	So that is taken from the Mayerthorpe Inquiry.
16	Incident Commanders be required to participate in sufficient training
17	sessions to enable them to work with and become familiar with team members. So
18	again, there's that wonderful relationship theme going on, where, you know, if you don't
19	know who you're working with and know their skill level, it's very difficult to sort of give
20	people directions in time of crisis.
21	The suggestion in the Galloway was that dog handlers be involved
22	in the front line in most instances. So bringing a specific team forward.
23	The 2015 Parliament Hill, the supervisor needs the ability to
24	coordinate and direct resources. So having the specific skills being outlined.
25	Improve internal communications and task delegation.
26	Communications between members of the RCMP was beyond the capacity of one
27	person in the McNeil Review. So the individual that was receiving and delegating
28	information was one person and it was determined that that was just not possible, there

was nobody there to support that. So determining how the delegations of tasks are, and
make sure that they're appropriate.

Provide clear direction for equipment use. Again, the McNeil
Report, and McNeil Review. An ineffective or inefficient operational communications
from 2015 report on Parliament Hill. So -- and the individuals are not able to
communicate properly, they can't obviously give directions or coordinate their team and
their response.

8 Managing and using resources during critical incidents better, the 9 McNeil Review talks about the approval and procurement process. And for most people they might not understand that there's quite a big process involved in how you purchase 10 and obtain material when you're in government, particularly the Federal Government. 11 So the process of getting that material and who is responsible for getting it, the 12 timeframes involved because sometimes it can take a really long time to get the 13 material, whatever it is you're looking for, that's why they would speak to that process 14 15 and why it's important to look at things like that. Because we can recommend that 16 people have certain types of equipment, but if we don't think about how that's going to happen, then it's not really helpful to recommend it without thinking of the big picture. 17 The McNeil Report, the Global Positioning System devices in 18 member vehicles from other jurisdictions couldn't be tracked. Again, that's the 19 interoperability we looked at where, you know, the systems that are installed in various 20 vehicles should obviously be able to talk to each other, and if they're not, what are --21 22 what are the ways we can work around that.

The National Operations Centre having standard operating procedures, but they're not being applied or activated. So that's from the McNeil Review. So again, sometimes we have things that are already in place but they're not being applied.

Post incident supports, we have two instances from the McNeil
 Review and the RCMP security posture from Parliament Hill where it's important to look

at proper support and assistance being provided to individuals and families after the
active shooter incidents. It's very clear that the post incidents reports are very important
for not only the well-being, but also the -- of the individuals, but the force and the unit
itself.

So the last area that's -- is the gender-based and intimate partner 5 violence. So again, that comes from -- this is the last of the five areas. That comes 6 7 from the Order in Council that requires the Commission to look at the contributing and contextual factors, including the role of gender-based and intimate partner violence, and 8 9 police procedures and training in respect of gender-based and intimate partner violence. There are a number of slides with respect to this particular area, 10 and there are a number of reports in the next five slides. I'm not going to read all of 11 them, and of course, like the others, there are a number of reports from across Canada, 12 the timeframe from 1991 through to 2002. These are mainly government reports. So it 13 could be the Government of Canada looking at some sort of a parliamentary committee 14

or it can be a coroner inquest, so after the death of individuals.

There are two reports that are noted on this particular slide that I think are particularly relevant to those of here in Nova Scotia. Both of them are from 1895. So one of them is the second one, the Nova Scotia Family Violence Tracking Project, and it talks about separation being the most dangerous point for women, yet this is the point when the response of the justice system is the weakest, and it also mentions that most women believe the criminal justice system is ineffective and does not treat violence against women seriously. So that's from 1995.

The third entry on this particular slide is From Rhetoric to Reality: Ending Domestic Violence in Nova Scotia from the Law Reform Commission of Nova Scotia in1995. And it looks at -- one of the recommendations is there is Recommendation 18 to reform the *Matrimonial Property Act* to include domestic violence as a factor for consideration when applications for exclusive possession are being considered by the court. So what is an application for exclusive possession?

You're making an application to be the person that's allowed to live in the home without
 your spouse or your domestic partner present, and that common law relationships also
 be observed.

So this is in 1995, we're now in 2022, so 27 years later, and common law relationships, believe it or not, are not recognised in Nova Scotia's matrimonial property legislation, which, as you can probably appreciate, contributes to people's inability to find independence after the breakdown of a common-law relationship because it makes it much more difficult for you to know what the roles are when the relationship ends.

The next slide talks about the *Domestic Violence Intervention Act*, 10 which is a Nova Scotia law that was introduced in 2003. So the Maxwell/George 11 murder-suicide and the 2011 Russell Review, which is listed as one of these reports, 12 talks about the -- it's called DIVA, Domestic Violence Intervention Act, and this is now 13 the law that allows victims of violence to seek an emergency protection order. So it's 14 15 somewhat like the exclusive possession that I just talked about, it gives them temporary 16 sole occupation of the home, so it gives them some respite there, but it doesn't impose any of the -- and it imposes no contact provisions, but it's also time limited, and it's a 17 partial solution to the gap in the matrimonial property legislation. Because ultimately, 18 not only is who is going to live in the home important, or in the residence important, but 19 also what are the rules about when we divide up our property, and that is also an 20 equally important part of this package. 21

A lot of the reports that are here also speak to violence against Indigenous women and girls. And it's important I think for the gender-based violence and racialized members of Canadian society to have a particularly sort of unique spot in this conversation because they're -- the amount of violence that's, you know, subject to these reports anyway is particularly acute and it requires its own place. So for the Indigenous communities, and again, this is from the lived experience for me, that the impacts of colonialism, such as residential schools, have promoted violence. So

Indigenous women are particularly vulnerable, and often not listened to by authorities. 1 And I thought one of the things that I learned in my time in -- with 2 MMIWG was important, and that's that the Nova Scotia Native Women's Association 3 President sought a review of the Victoria Rose Paul death, so that's the individual who 4 passed away in Truro Police custody after the police believed that she was intoxicated, 5 and in fact she had a stroke, so they sought a review of what had happened, and even 6 though the Nova Scotia Native Women's Association President, so the leader of the 7 organisation tried to have that reviewed, it was only when she brought a non-Indigenous 8 9 professor with her that the actual review took place.

And of course, most of these reports also speak to the need for stable funding for programs which support the family, including housing, and cultural education for agencies responding to individual -- Indigenous women.

And I think the other thing, too, that is -- I thought was quite remarkable was the Inuit women in the 2020 report that's noted in this group of five slides, that violence has become normalised in their community. This particular slide, you'll note, a lot of it is in the inquest, so from Ontario, and I thought what was remarkable that these occur after the death of a domestic partner or other members of the family. So some of these are not domestic partners that were killed, it would be their children or others.

But the presence of violence in the relationship was clearly known in a lot of cases, and even reported to authorities. There was poor communication sometimes between the police or with other agencies, so whether it was Child Welfare or other people that had relationships with the family. And in the Gakhal matter, which is one of them that is listed here, I think it's -- that's an example of where the perpetrator had applied for and was approved to purchase firearms, despite being flagged by another RCMP detachment as a perpetrator of violence.

27 So you know, there's a recommendation that policies and 28 procedures relating to domestic violence cases were not being followed and training for

1 these policies and procedures was limited.

So again, those things are in place sometimes, but they're actually
not being applied.

With respect to New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island, one of
the things from one of those reports was the connection between firearms and coercion.
So forcing individuals to do different things, as well as the prevalence of animal abuse
as a risk factor in situations of domestic violence for rural residents.

And I thought was interesting with the Hennessy and Nash Commission, or sorry, the Inquiry, was that high-risk families should have a safety circle. So it was well known in that particular instance, where a mom took her own life and that of her young son after a custody dispute, that the family and mom in particular was struggling. And the recommendation from the inquest was that there be a safety circle in place. So people there to support the family. It sort of reminds you of the saying, "It takes a village to raise a child."

15 The gender-based violence terms and understanding what they 16 are. Gender-based violence is any act of violence that results in or is likely to result in physical, sexual, or psychological harm, or suffering to people on the basis of their sex 17 or gender, including threats of such acts, coercion, forcing people, or arbitrary 18 deprivation of liberty, whether occurring in public or private life. And intimate partner 19 violence is any act or behaviour by an intimate partner or ex-partner that causes 20 physical, sexual, or psychological harm, including physical aggression, sexual coercion, 21 22 physical abuse, or psychological abuse and controlling behaviours.

23 So as we look at gender-based and intimate partner violence, some 24 of the questions we might ask are how can healthy domestic partnerships be cultivated 25 to avoid using violence?

So we often talk about the signs and symptoms, but let's talk about what we can do to prevent violent relationships from forming. What resources and supports do domestic partners and children need to be safe and protected from

violence? What resources, supports, and consequences do abusers need to break their 1 cycle of violence? And what particularly is needed in rural areas? So, you know, is 2 there a distinction of what is needed in the rural areas? 3 One of the themes that we look at is, in the reports that have been 4 cited, is the social and economic factors that contribute to economic partner violence. 5 The report from 1995: 6 7 "The Nova Scotia Government should recognize that 8 there are stages to intervention and intimate partner violence. Those stages are prevention, crisis, and long-9 term support. Strategies must address all three stages." 10 (As read) 11 So if we look at the social and economic factors that contribute to 12 gender-based or intimate partner violence, what are those in relation to the rural 13 communities, for example? 14 So the social and economic barriers, such as the matrimonial 15 property legislation, might be an example. 16 Developing and implementing policies for early detection. So what 17 are the risk factors of domestic violence and intimate partner violence and addressing 18 barriers and creating opportunities and pathways for services for both individuals and 19 the perpetrators, because one of the things that happens when you work in the area of 20 family law here in Nova Scotia is it's difficult to address domestic violence services. 21 22 There's a lot -- not a lot of services with respect to particularly male perpetrators of domestic violence. So if there's no services available, then it's very difficult to address 23 24 the problem. Gender-based and intimate partner violence. Creating and 25 enhancing a sustained service for identifying and addressing domestic violence in the 26 27 early stages, making early identification and referral part of a continuum of services for

both victims and abusers. Again, you know, making sure that we're not just dealing with

28

the victim, but we're also looking at people who are perpetrating the violence. And when you have coordination between service providers, it makes it a lot easier for the individual who is navigating all of these, because it can be quite overwhelming when you're in time of crisis to have to deal with lawyers, and social services, and housing, and landlords, and all these other things. So making sure that people communicate is really helpful. And sometimes people don't know about services and supports that can be out there if there's not good communication amongst the service providers.

8 Improved training for police and responders to equip them to
9 respond appropriately in instances of intimate partner violence.

So ultimately, training can assist the police and other responders to 10 both recognize. So they need to do that it's there in order to document it, signs of 11 gender-based violence. And it also can improve the manner in which they respond. So 12 we've talked a lot about the trauma-informed sort of approach, but making sure that 13 you're actively and appropriately listening, and listening without your biases, so that 14 15 complaints are taken seriously when needed and they're not addressed inappropriately. 16 And one of the things -- one of the reports that's noted in the five pages of reports that are connected to this theme is the Fekete deaths. It's an inquest 17 from British Columbia. A witness to the violence was dismissed by the police. So there 18 was somebody who had spoken to the police about some of the violence that was being 19 witnessed and she was dismissed as unreliable because she had met the victim in a 20 women's shelter and was a survivor of intimate partner violence. So again, there's a 21

22 clear example of how biases can impact policing.

Understanding sort of the links between firearms and family violence and animal abuse, which is one of the things that I talked about already with respect to the police -- or the -- one of the risk factors in Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick study.

Improve how the reports of intimate or gender-based violence are
 recorded and processed. As you read through this report, the environmental scan itself

and the summaries, it looks like the tracking of behaviour is incredibly important in 1 identifying gender-based violence matters. Most of the inquests or inquiries into the 2 deaths of domestic situations reveal a pattern of coercive behaviour that was known to 3 exist, but not recorded or shared with those who may have been able to use this 4 information to protect the victims. 5 So it's not just the particular gender-based violence or intimate 6 7 partner violence singular event. It's the pattern that has predisposed -- pre-existed 8 before that. 9 Address firearms risks in connection to potential instances of gender-based violence and/or intimate partner violence. 10 As you look again through those reports, many of the inquests have 11 been as a result of deaths from firearms. It's also clear in some instances that 12 authorities were actually aware that firearms were present, but steps were not taken to 13 remove them or address their presence as a risk factor. 14 So there were situations where the officers were not aware that 15 16 they could take steps, or they didn't necessarily believe that they needed to take the steps to remove the firearms. 17 Better understand the links between racism and gender-based and 18 intimate partner violence. That is a very specific need of the individuals who are dealing 19 with domestic violence, gender-based and intimate partner violence. There are very 20 specific, unique, cultural considerations and racism has played a part in terms of people 21 22 coming forward and sharing with people and authorities. And also, obviously, the judgement that they're being exposed to. 23 24 The need for adequate community supports to assist women who need to leave abusive relationships. Obviously one of the community supports that is 25 very prominent and needed, for those of us who have dealt with family law here in the 26 27 province, is the housing and the individual's ability to support themselves and their

children, both financially and emotionally. So there are services to deal with their

wellbeing, but we also need to have some infrastructure in place, a place to go andsome money to pay the bills.

There is a consistent theme of not having enough supports and 3 services in many of these reports over the past 30 years, and here in Nova Scotia we've 4 had transition houses that were established somewhere in around the 1980s. However, 5 in my -- to my knowledge, there haven't been many of these houses increased since 6 7 this time and other social services such as things like social assistance have not 8 increased since the mid-1990s. So the amount of money that a family on social 9 assistance might receive has really not remarkably increased since the mid-1990s, so 10 30 years. So as you can see, there's probably some room for some ways that 11 we can better assist people to leave inappropriate relationships. 12 The very end of the presentation, I talk about some of the 13 recommendations that are specific to implementation. These are embedded throughout 14 15 the environmental scan, but there are recommendations that people have made to 16 suggest ways that the recommendations of a report be actually implemented, so implementing committees to -- or independent bodies to be established to oversee the 17 implementation of recommendations. 18 So that happened with the Kaufman Commission, the Aboriginal 19 Justice Inquiry in 1991, the Commission set out guidance for the implementation and 20 the recommendations also recommended the establishment of an implementation 21 22 commission. 23 Ultimately, a lot of those recommendations were never 24 implemented, as Justice Sinclair has indicated. However, that was part of the list of the recommendations made. 25 And with respect to the Human Rights Commission, there was a 26 27 suggestion that a committee consisting of community members, police officers and government officials be formed to monitor progress, so checking on the progress of the 28

1 implementation of the street checks.

Suggesting progress reports be prepared and made public by the responsible body. So some of the other ways that commissions or reports have been generated is that city council -- in this instance, the Toronto Police Service from 1999, the suggestion was that city council forward this report to the Toronto Polices Board and the Chief of Police be required to provide written response within six months, so they put a timeframe there.

Again, with the police investigating police from 2009, recommend that within two years of this report being public the provincial Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor-General publicly and in writing to the Legislative Assembly report on the extent to which the implementation of the recommendations has taken place.

Sharing Common Ground, the Yukon Police Force, there was a
 one-year timeframe. The Minister of Justice tabled a report recommending the Royal
 Canadian Mounted Police report to Parliament annually from 2021.

15 So there's specific ideas as to the timeframes and the type of 16 reports there.

Recommended -- suggested implementation team with the relevant body subject to recommendations being established. So this is within the existing organization. Police encounters with people in crisis review from the Toronto Police Service in 2014 recommend that the Toronto Police Service create an implementation team led by senior officers identified to compose those Toronto Police Services members charged with responsibility to implement the recommendations.

23 So that's an interesting approach as well, that the people be 24 embedded within the organization to actually be tasked with implementing the 25 recommendations.

And then the final one is action planning to include implementation, so a lot of government planning is -- they have what they call work plans and, you know, part of -- or performance management. Some of the things that we already use to track

progress and to make sure projects are being completed, that the recommendations
 also be embedded in those processes.

So in this instance, the systemic racism, the Government of 3 Canada work with indigenous peoples to prioritize action on all recommendations, so 4 there's been a number of reports, including the MMIWG, that, you know, have spoken to 5 either similar things or there's been recommendations that are, you know, requiring 6 7 attention. The idea is that there be more of this included in the actual day-to-day 8 documents that set out the governments to-do list. 9 So things to think about. How will the recommendations from the Commission be different? How will they ensure implementation? 10 One of the strong recommendations that we need to make that are 11 clear, there -- so we can say yes, police officers or frontline workers or hospitals need 12 more training, but what type of training, about what, and how often do they need that, 13 for example. 14 15 And the other thing would how can the community help with the 16 recommendations. What can they do or how can the community help facilitate the change from anything that the work of the Commission might do? 17 So you can find the environmental scan at the 18 masscasualtycommission.ca/documents/commissioned/reports/. The discussion guide 19 is also -- so the discussion guide that I mentioned earlier that sort of helps you formulate 20 some of the questions and some contact information as well, so the 21 22 info@masscasualtycommission.ca or the toll-free numbers as well. 23 And that concludes my presentation with respect to the 24 environmental scan. **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Ms. Cox, thank you. Thank you 25 so much for combing through such an immense amount of material, providing us with 26 27 much food for thought to help us as we set the stage and move forward to continue recommendations, so thank you very much. It's greatly appreciated. 28

1 **MS. JENNIFER COX:** Thank you. **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** And it's 11:56. We will not break 2 for approximately an hour, and our next session involves Participant consultations at 1 3 o'clock. Thank you. 4 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** Thank you. 5 The proceedings are now on break and will resume at 1:00 p.m. 6 7 --- Upon breaking at 11:56 a.m. --- Upon resuming at 1:10 p.m. 8 9 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** Welcome back. The proceedings are again in session. 10 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Good afternoon, everyone, and 11 welcome. 12 Mr. VanWart? 13 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Thank you. 14 I will start off with some housekeeping before we move into this 15 16 afternoon's session. So we have shared with Participants and Madam Registrar an 17 itemized list of some outstanding documents to be exhibited in relation to past 18 roundtables, and so Madam Registrar, I ask that you mark each of the 67 documents 19 included in this list as exhibits. 20 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** So exhibited. 21 22 --- PARTICIPANT CONSULTATIONS: VICTIM ADVOCACY ORGANIZATIONS **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Well, good afternoon, everyone. My 23 24 name is Jamie VanWart. I am Commission Counsel with the Mass Casualty Commission and I'm facilitating this afternoon's session. 25 So welcome to the Participant consultation with victim advocacy 26 27 organizations. Our session today is situated in Phase 3 of the Mass Casualty Commission hearings. In Phase 3, the Commission is continuing to build on what it has 28

learned through Phases 1 and 2, and refine its understanding as the focus shifts to how
 best make a difference in the future.

Phase 3 activities create opportunities for additional input about key 3 issues and potential avenues for reform to assist the Commission to develop effective 4 and meaningful recommendations related to its mandate. These sessions, by definition, 5 are forward looking. 6 7 As an outline of today's activities, we have two presentations, 8 followed by an opportunity for questions from Commissioners and our invited quests. 9 After these presentations, we will take a break and return for discussion. Our discussion will focus on what kind of supports individuals, 10 families, first and secondary responders and communities affected by a mass casualty 11 need and suggestions on how best to provide supports for those affected by a mass 12 casualty and what steps can be taken to incorporate a victim-centred approach. 13 Before I introduce our first presenter, I'd like to ask that we take a 14 15 moment for each of our Participants today to introduce themselves to the 16 Commissioners and to those viewing these proceedings. This is probably as good a time as any for me to say that these 17 proceedings afternoon, they're being translated and transcribed, so if you're able to 18 speak at a pace in which it allows people to do that, that would be very helpful. 19 But why don't we start with those in the room? And I'll start on my 20 left. 21 22 **MS. DANA BOWDEN:** Good afternoon. My name is Dana Bowden, and I am the Director of Provincial Victim Services with Department of Justice 23 24 here in Nova Scotia. **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** And perhaps Ms. Rustad. 25 **MS. JULIA RUSTAD:** Good afternoon. My name is Julia Rustad, 26 27 and I am the Program Manager for RCMP Victim Services in Nova Scotia. **MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN:** Good afternoon. My name is Michelle 28

Seaman. I'm the lead of the Victims of Crime Section for the RCMP at the National
 Headquarters in Ottawa.

3 MR. JAMIE VanWART: And perhaps we could move virtually and
4 we start what's the bottom of the screen, which is a collection of people in a boardroom
5 in Ottawa.

6 **MS. SUE O'SULLIVAN:** I'm Sue O'Sullivan. I am the Chair of the 7 Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police National Working Group supporting victims of 8 terrorism, mass violence and mass casualty.

9 MR. SUSHEEL GUPTA: And I am Susheel Gupta. I'm wearing 10 several hats today, first as a member of the CACP National Working Group to support 11 victims of terrorism, mass casualty events. I'm also Board Chair of the Canadian 12 Resource Centre for Victims of Crime. I'm also here as an advisory member to the EU 13 Centre for Victims of Terrorism. And I am also an employee of the RCMP, and then last 14 and somewhat relevant is I'm a family member, Director of the Air India Victims -- Air 15 India Flight 182 Terrorism and Victim Families Association.

MR. JAMES FOORD: Good morning. My name is James Foord.
 I'm a lawyer, sorry for that, and I'm here as counsel to the Canadian Resource Centre
 for Victims of Crime. I'm here with Aline Vlasceanu.

MS. ALINE VLASCEANU: Hi, everyone. My name is Aline
Vlasceanu. I'm the Executive Director of the Canadian Resource Centre for Victims of
Crime.

MS. MARIA MacDONALD: Good afternoon. I'm Maria
 MacDonald. I'm here representing the CACP National Working Group with Susheel
 Gupta. I also am the Victim Support Strategy Lead for the Ontario Provincial Police.
 Like Susheel, I'm also an advisor on the EU Centre for Victims of
 Terrorism.
 MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you.

And perhaps we could turn to Constable Bottineau.

1	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Good afternoon, folks. My name's
2	Danielle Bottineau. I've been with the Toronto Police Service for 23 years, and I'm
3	currently the Coordinator for Victim Management Response as it relates to an extreme
4	event. And I also sit on, as a representative for the service, on our CACP National
5	Working Group as well as our Design and Development Team within that space when it
6	comes to victim specialists and/or family liaison programming.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. JAMIE VanWART: And finally, the Peel Regional Police
9	representatives?
10	INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD: Good afternoon, everybody. My
11	name is Tom Warfield. I'm the Inspector in Charge here at Peel Regional Police of the
12	Criminal Investigation Bureau. We are also part of the National Working Group.
13	Currently, I Chair the Mass Casualty Response here at Peel
14	Regional Police should an event occur.
15	CST. HELEN BURTON: Good afternoon. I'm Helen Burton, also
16	with Peel Police, also a member of the National Working Group and part of the Mass
17	Casualty Unit Response in Peel Region.
18	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Well, thank you, everyone, for your
19	introductions.
20	At this point, we'll turn to our first presentation by Constable
21	Bottineau, who has been with the Toronto Police Service for 23 years and is currently
22	the Coordinator of the Toronto Police Services Victim Management Response.
23	Constable Bottineau has created and launched the first family
24	liaison program in May of this year. She's also a member of the Canadian Association
25	of Chiefs of Police National Working Group supporting victims of terrorism and mass
26	violence and is one of the designers and developers of the for the CACP NWG for the
27	pilot victim specialist family liaison course.
28	And so I will turn it over to you, Constable Bottineau, and you can

1 proceed with your presentation. **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** Thank you so much. 2 I'll just wait for the PowerPoint to pop up and then I'll guide you 3 folks through a conversation. 4 I will start by saying thank you on behalf of the Toronto Police 5 Service and Chief Ramer for inviting us to this space and having this conversation. 6 7 I will say our entire victim management response is still a work in 8 progress, folks. And it is applicable for Toronto -- the City of Toronto and our service. 9 But like I said, and I will highlight once again, that it is a work in progress and we are 10 learning every day. So let me start by saying, historically where our victim management 11 response and the conversation comes from was back in 2015 when our service actually 12 took a step back and looked at what was taking place around the world. In particular, 13 what was taking place in Paris, France with the Bataclan Concert Hall. And that's when 14 our service just kind of said, "You know what? We need to put some recommendations, 15 16 see what we have in place as a service, and how we can get better." You can move the slide forward, please. 17 So as a result of that -- you can go on to the next slide, thanks. 18 Needless to say, there's been various forms of threats throughout 19 the entire world and these were, unfortunately, things that we have learned from and we 20 kind of build on in regards to our recommendations. 21 22 So in 2017, -- next slide please -- our service had put together our 23 2017 Extreme Event Recommendations. And of the -- next slide, please. And one of 24 the recommendations speaks specifically to the Mandate of the Victim Witness Support Coordinator and the Introduction of the Victim Specialists Role. 25 It states right there that our thought process at the time was to 26 27 embed a member as a part of the Incident Management Team, and also help to -- and this individual would help to coordinate an immediate on the ground response to victims 28

and their families. And that's where our family liaison program comes into play, but let
 me just continue with our number 50 recommendation.

Next slide, please. 3 As I'm sure most of you are aware, an extreme event is an incident 4 that may affect a significant portion of the population, may continue for a long period, 5 and may require an extensive recovery period, i.e. mass casualties, emergency 6 7 services being overwhelmed, and the response is complex and time sensitive. 8 So as a result of that -- next slide please -- we put together this 9 Victim Management Response. And I will say that to the credit of our service, we put a 10 working committee together back in 2017 of various ranks of uniform and civilian members across the service who started the conversation to try to figure out how we 11 were going to implement this into our IMS system, but more importantly, across the 12 service. 13 So I do give credit to that working group, because if it wasn't for 14 15 them to build that foundation, I wouldn't have been able to come into this position close 16 to two years ago and really kind of start the ball rolling a lot quicker if it hadn't been the foundation that they provided. 17 So back then, part of the conversation, -- next slide, please -- they 18 put together what they felt and what we continue to go with, what is a victim 19 management response. The general principles of an effective response to victims can 20 be described as ensuring timely and accurate flow of information and prompt connection 21 22 to services. That was, like, the foundation that they built off of. 23 Next slide, please. 24 And why are we doing this? Because as a service, we recognize that a coordinated approach, in collaboration with our partnering external victim support 25 agencies, will assist the victims and their families to better manage and cope what 26 27 impact that extreme event has on them psychologically and physically. So again, as much as we're putting this response together, I think 28

1 it's important to highlight, folks, we can't do the work that we're doing without our

2 partnerships and relationships that we have with external stakeholders.

- 3 So where does that put us now?
- 4 Next slide, please.

Back early on in the conversation, we actually -- the first thing we 5 did, we implemented a victim management chief right within our incident management 6 7 system. There was a lot of conversation, do we wrap it up in the investigative chief? 8 But as conversation evolved and time evolved, we came to realize, A, the importance of 9 the various victims that are involved in these tragedies, and that there is so much communication and coordination that is involved. So the Victim Management Chief is 10 really the beginning and the end of the start of this program, whether it be within our 11 Major Incident Command Centre or whether it be boots on the ground. 12

13 So part of the Victim Management Chief portfolio, -- next slide, 14 please -- the Victim Management Chief is really -- is there and responsible for the 15 carriage, collection, management, and dissemination of documentation, as well as 16 identifying all victims of an extreme event. They are the conduit for our investigative 17 chief at the time of, but also more importantly, for our relationships and our partners that 18 will be reaching out to during the time of.

You can move on to two -- two more slides, please.
So their initial functions are to activate the family liaisons and
manage deployment and workflow of liaisons, assist with our Family and Friends
Assistance Centre in consultation with their Office of the Emergency Management, and
to also, more importantly, liaison with our FIS or Coroner's Office and our Investigative
Chief.
These are just to name a few, but I'm highlighting that pillar that we

now do have, which for the family liaisons, as that program develops, the Victim
 Management Chief will be the conduit moving forward for any tragedy that may happen.
 Next slide, please.

So under the Victim Management Chief -- you can click right through this -- we have our family liaisons. We're going to have two kind of streams through this. We'll have uniform members and civilian members. Like was highlighted in the introduction, we launched our first family liaison program back in May, so we currently have 13 uniformed members from across the service that can be activated within the next hour to 24 hours, if there is a need for that.

We also recognize that our civilian members bring a completely different skill set, and important skill set, to the table. So we will be activating them and they'll be assisting with a 1-800 number, data entry into these missing individuals, and reunification, as well as the matching teams.

Under the family liaisons, we'll be deploying them to boots on the 11 ground wherever the incident has taken place, and then from there, we'll decide what 12 hospitals go to the assistance with getting up the Family and Friends Assistance Centre, 13 as well as making sure our own member wellness and that piece has been activated. 14 But also, more importantly, we'll be reaching out to our stakeholders and external 15 16 agencies to assist, both in the Family and Friends Assistance Centre, but more importantly to highlight our -- and assist with reunification identification within the 17 hospitals once victims get there, as well as their families. 18

19

Next slide.

So further to the victim management role, underneath that piece is
 where our family liaison officers come in to play. And I say this part, this is what we
 currently have in place. We haven't activated the civilian piece, but we are currently in
 the process of putting that training piece together to see what that's going to look like.
 For in particular of our officers, we have the role of the family liaison
 to act as a liaison between Emergency Management, stakeholders engaged in support,
 and management of victims, their families, and their friends.

I will say that during our eight days of training, it was a conversation
that we continually had to go to within that space. And yes, these folks are police

officers and they are an extension of the investigation, but their focus within our
program, as a Toronto Police Service, is reunification and identification of our victims
and their families and friends. Not saying that they won't be bringing evidence to the
table or assisting with the investigation, but their primary role is to assist victims, their
families, in reunification. And that's something that we really kind of had to make them
kind of think outside of the box as we were going through that eight-day training.

So their key roles within their space as a family liaison officer are
identifying victims and their families, immediate needs assessment of each victim and
their family, assist victims and their families and connect with appropriate services,
whether it be physical, psychological, financial, et cetera. Folks, we're not the experts
within that space. We can assist and reach out to our external stakeholders to help
support these members and coordinate the identification and notification of next of kin.
That's kind of just a guick overview of what our victim management

response is currently looking like. And it is a work in progress. I will take questions
 after the next presentation, but thank you, again, for the time, just to kind of give you an
 overview of what we're doing here in Toronto. Thank you.

MR. JAMIE VanWART: Well, while the presentation is still fresh in
 folks' minds, maybe if, Cst. Bottineau, if you are okay with taking questions now?
 CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Absolutely.
 MR. JAMIE VanWART: And just perhaps, I'm not sure if anyone

21 present here today physically has any questions? Ms. Seaman?

MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: thank you so much for your
 presentation. Very, very interesting to hear.

I was wondering if you could just -- I know -- I don't want to ask you
a lot of details about the training, but could you just highlight what the main components
of your eight-day training was? Specifically, is there a component around traumainformed approaches, victim-centred approaches that you might be able to tell us
about?

CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Right. For three days -- thank you 1 for the question. For three days of training we had a doctor of psychology, police 2 psychology from the U.S. He's actually done our critical incident response team training 3 here in Toronto for our service, and we brought him in for three days, and he helped to 4 assist in identifying that trauma piece leading into an event at the time of event and 5 thereafter. Reality is, if we can't recognise it in ourselves and our own members, we're 6 7 not going to do the justice that we need to do for the victims at the time of an incident. 8 And part of that component leading into our training was to make 9 sure that our members that applied were actually assessed by our psychologist within the service to make sure that they're well aware of what we're getting into, but that they 10 were also the right fit. So Dr. Nicoletti was able to inform of us that piece and how we 11 can plan and preplan and prepare our own members, but also, how can we build those 12 relationships with the external services and agencies within that piece. Further to that, 13 we had some community members that had come in, and we had a victim lens to 14 15 everything on every single day as we went through the training. 16 I think reality is we can bring in all the specialists and all those individuals that work in that field, but unless you hear from the voice of victim, whether it 17 be a family member, whether it be our own colleagues, we had a plethora of everything 18 from an Incident Commander that attended one of our mass shootings on Danforth, and 19 he was the Incident Commander at the night -- of the night, but he talked about his own 20 trauma. We also had international pieces. I'm very fortunate to sit at the National 21 22 Working Group Committee, and we had voices from the Manchester bombing, from Las 23 Vegas, and they were able to actually bring that lens into it as well. I'm hoping I'm answering your question, but there was like a 24 plethora of the trauma that we spoke to throughout the entire eight days of our training. 25 MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Thank you so much. That's a -- that's 26 27 a great answer, thank you. MR. JAMIE VanWART: Ms. Bowden? 28

MS. DANA BOWDEN: Thank you for your presentation. I'd just
 like to hear more about the needs assessment.

CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Well, a huge part of that comes 3 from what we're trained as police officers. I think at the end of the day we deal with 4 victims on a day-to-day basis, right, and we are trained in how that looks, whether it be 5 domestic, sexual assaults, whatever it may be, and we are asking our uniform piece, 6 and that's why they're going boots on the ground, to tap on to what they already know to 7 a certain degree. Right? But what I will say is that part of our response and building on 8 9 it is do we need to have the checklist going into an incident, right, to further preplan for our members as to what to look for even further than what they've been told within our 10 training space. 11

So that's still a work in progress, but I'm also asking members to -we're trained police officers and we've -- I mean, within the space of those that will be activated we have anywhere from three years on job to twenty-plus years on the job, so we have a plethora of experience there. And more importantly, we have actually members that brought their own stories to the table, and they were a victim of some sort, whether it be on the job or whether it be outside of the job, that they were able to share their stories, so they have that lens already.

And I mean, I would like to say that we have a set checklist, we don't have that right now, but as trained police officers and the training we do, that's all part of the conversation, if I'm making sense.

MS. DANA BOWDEN: Thank you. Just a follow-up question. I'm
 just curious, you talked about relationship-building with your stakeholders. Are they
 involved in contributing to the needs assessment?

CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Yeah. So we brought a
representation from our -- one of our trauma hospitals here in Toronto into the training,
and that was part of the conversation. And I'm currently doing the outreach to see how
we can better support our members, outside of Dr. Nicoletti, but what else can we

support our members in bringing those tools and resources to that space. 1 Again, we're asking them to be engaged in a very high impact, low 2 frequency situation, so it's a part of that ongoing conversation and that preplanning that 3 we need to do on a day-to-day basis, but that is definitely having our community 4 stakeholders, which in some regards they're the experts, on knowing what that should 5 look like as we move forward, absolutely. 6 7 **MS. DANA BOWDEN:** Thank you. **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Julia Rustad, did you have any questions? 8 9 **MS. JULIA RUSTAD:** No, not at this time. **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Well, perhaps I'll move to one of our 10 virtual links, and if Susheel got -- and -- or Maria MacDonald or Sue O'Sullivan, or Aline 11 Vlasceanu have any questions. 12 **MS. SUE O'SULLIVAN:** We thank you for the opportunity, but as 13 identified earlier, Danielle and Helen and Tom are all members of the National Working 14 Group, and part of what we do is we're very -- is we share the different processes and 15 16 frameworks that are put in place. So we're familiar, and thank you for the opportunity. MR. JAMIE VanWART: And how about our other presenters, did 17 you have any questions? 18 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** No. Thank you, Jamie, we 19 appreciate that. As identified by Sue, we're all part of the same team. 20 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** I will turn now to the Commissioners. 21 22 **MR. JAMES FOORD:** One point, James Foord speaking momentarily on behalf of the Canadian Resource Centre for the Victims of Crime. Just 23 24 to clarify that the resource centre is not part of that group, that working group. Just so we're clear, we come at it from a different perspective, as you'll see when we make our 25 comments. Thank you. 26 27 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Sorry, it's Commissioner Stanton

speaking. We weren't anticipating counsel being on the -- on the panel, and I didn't

28

catch your name. Could you please say what it is? 1 MR. JAMES FOORD: Yeah, James Foord. 2 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Could you spell it, please? 3 MR. JAMES FOORD: F -- yes, F-O-O-R-D. 4 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. 5 I'll go ahead and ask a question since I'm already on the 6 7 microphone. Thanks so much for the presentation. That's a really interesting initiative. 8 I wondered if you could just elaborate a little bit about the 9 committee that you said was formed within the service. You said it was formed with various ranks, I think you said in 2017, to assist with the rollout within the service. 10 Could you just talk a little bit more about the committee and how it was composed and 11 how that has worked out as far as assisting with the rollout please? 12 **MS. DANA BOWDEN:** Yeah, absolutely. So back in 2017, we put 13 that working group together, and it comprised of various members for our Emergency 14 15 Management Unit who has the Incident Management teams on-call on a weekly basis. 16 They respond to all planned and unplanned events. We also had our Community Partners and Engagement Unit at the table as well, recognising that they had the further 17 outreach when it came to external community partners, so we had various members 18 from there. And then we had obviously members from the Chief's Office, we had 19 memory from Intel, we had kind of a plethora of everything that people could contribute 20 to see what this would look like. 21 22 I did take over the reins from them, but in saying that, since that --23 since I have come into this position, because they were working on the response from 24 the side of their tables on top of their regular duties, however that may have looked, so I have taken the lead. But in saying that, a lot of them are still part as our outreach when 25 it comes to if I need a victim management chief for an event that take place, whether it 26 27 be planned or unplanned, and they will be part of that, but I also look to them for further guidance. 28

There's some people within that space that have been highly involved and ingrained in the victim centred approach for sometime, so I always balance things off of them to get further guidance. So they'll continue to be part of that working committee as we move forward, but more importantly, they'll be part of the response in the sense of being part of our victim management team in assisting with the Family Liaison Program.

COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you. And I guess I'm just not
 quite clear on the timing of when all of this came together. I know the recommendations
 -- I'm sorry, you said Extreme Event Recommendations 2017. What -- who drafted, or
 who framed those recommendations? Is that -- could you just ---

CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Right. So it was actually our 11 current Chief, he was Deputy Chief at the time, Jim Ramer was the lead on these 12 Extreme Event Recommendations back in 2017, and then further to that, we did have 13 our two incidences that took place in 2018, which made us highlight even more of the 14 fact that we really need to get this response up and running. Because we didn't -- we 15 16 didn't do the best job that we could have during the van attack or the Danforth shooting here in the City of Toronto, and so that kind of -- really kind of fast-forwarded it a little 17 bit. But -- and then I came into play in -- the end of 2020, but it was -- it was our current 18 chief, Jim Ramer, that was the lead on these lead on these extreme event 19 recommendations as a Deputy Chief back in 2017. 20 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And are the recommendations a 21 22 document that can be shared with the Commission? 23 **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** I will find out for you, 100 percent. 24 I'll get direction from above and I'll let -- I'll let you know. COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you. Yeah, if you could be in 25 touch with Mr. VanWart about that, that would be helpful. 26 27 Thank you. **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** Absolutely. 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

62

COMMISSIONER STANTON: And it's good to know that I gather 1 2 at this stage it's ready to be rolled out should such an event occur going forward. **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** Yeah, there's different aspects of 3 the recommendations, but in particular for the victim piece, yes, there is. For example, 4 we didn't even have a 1-800 number back in 2018. We now have that in place specific 5 for extreme events or mass casualty situations. 6 7 So like I said, it is a work in progress but we do have certain parts 8 of it that can be rolled out tomorrow. 9 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And so that 1-800 number, I gather, is to take pressure off of the 9-1-1 call centre and so are there protocols around 10 educating the public about that 1-800 number so that that's what they call if they're 11 looking for family during one of these events as opposed to 9-1-1? 12 **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** Right. That will be -- yes, there is, 13 and we are working very closely with our corporate communications office to see how 14 that rollout looks. We have an operations centre that is up and running 24/7, so a lot of 15 16 these activation of these pieces goes through them depending on where the resources have to be allocated and stuff. The 1-800 number and the activation of that is part of 17 that. 18 In saying that, we did learn from the van attack and the Danforth 19 two different times of days, our 9-1-1 was inundated and of our 81 emergency lines that 20 we had, no other priority could get through because of the family and friends that were 21 22 calling in to the 9-1-1 number to find -- try to figure out what has taken place and where 23 their loved ones were. 24 So we -- there are protocols in place on how that's going to be activated and how that will be rolled out to the general public, yes. 25 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Great. Thanks so much. 26 27 I'll turn it over to my fellow Commissioners if they have any questions. 28

1	MR. MacDONALD: Commissioner Fitch?
2	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER FITCH: I thank you very much for joining us
4	today. Appreciate your presentation.
5	I thought at one point you'd mentioned the launch or rollout in May
6	of 2022, perhaps.
7	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Yeah. And that was specifically
8	for the family liaisons.
9	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Okay. So that was specifically for the
10	family liaison portion
11	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER FITCH: portion of it. Okay. Sorry.
13	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Yeah.
14	COMMISSIONER FITCH: I just wanted to clarify that.
15	Do you have a separate family liaison for officers who are involved?
16	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Yes. We have our Critical Incident
17	Response Team members that are all part of this program, but we have a lead that
18	works through our wellness unit that will take the lead for our members, but I think, at
19	the end of the day, them being part of this conversation and part of our family liaison,
20	recognizing it in themselves, they're going to become part of that program as well at
21	some point during these incidences. But yes, we do have a lead for our CIRT program.
22	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Thank you.
23	And on slide 10, you have an organizational chart and I'm
24	interested in the titles of the various units on that chart. And I'm wondering if Toronto
25	Police Service do all of your Incident Commanders Critical Incident Commanders
26	go through the Canadian Police College for training or do you have a tailor-made critical
27	incident program within the Toronto Police Service?
28	CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: Some of our members within our

service are actually, I do believe, qualified to deliver that training because our service is 1 so big and our incident -- emergency management unit is kind of a standalone, so we 2 need a bit of a higher turnover where it comes to that. But I can confirm that, 100 3 percent, with you as well. 4 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Okay. The reason I'm asking is that 5 during our proceedings, we've learned about some of the confusion between agencies 6 7 when we're trying to have interoperability maximized when there's different language, 8 terminology and that nomenclature, so I'm just curious as to whether or not you follow a 9 national training model or if it's kind of tailor made for TPS, so thank you if you could get back to us. 10 CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: It's -- yeah. I don't believe it's 11 tailor-made for Toronto. We're just able to -- we're qualified to be able to deliver it. But 12 I will confirm that for you, for sure. 13 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Thank you very much. 14 CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU: You're welcome. 15 16 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** That's all my questions. **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Yes. Thank you so much for 17 your helpful presentation. I am fine for now. 18 Mr. VanWart. 19 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Well, Constable Bottineau, that was a 20 fantastic presentation and you have given such thoughtful answers to a number of 21 22 questions that you did not know you would receive, and it speaks to your knowledge in this area. And I know you've joined us at a very inconvenient time, so I very much 23 24 thank you for joining us today. And feel free to stay on the -- in the proceedings or exit, as I know 25 you may have some other obligations. But thank you again so much for your 26 27 presentation. **CST. DANIELLE BOTTINEAU:** Thank you, Jamie, and thank 28

1 everyone again for the opportunity.

MR. JAMIE VanWART: So I will now turn to Inspector Thomas 2 Warfield and Detective/Constable Helen Burton from the Peel Regional Police, who will 3 be doing our next presentation. 4 These are two police officers from the -- that service the cities of 5 Mississauga and Brampton with a population of approximately 1.5 million people. The 6 7 Peel Regional Police is also the policing agency for the Pearson International Airport. 8 We are lucky to have with us Inspector Warfield, who is -- brings with him 34 years of 9 police experience and Detective/Constable Burton, who brings with her a total of 30 10 years policing experience. And I will turn it over for your presentation. Thank you very much. 11 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Thank you, Jamie. 12 First of all I wanted to start off by saying on behalf of Helen and I 13 and members of the Peel Regional Police our thoughts and prayers are with the family 14 15 and friends and the Province of Nova Scotia as they go through and develop and learn 16 through this tragedy and listen to the information coming out as part of this committee. We thank you for the opportunity to share what we've done here in Peel. 17 As the first screen is up as you read, it says "Major Event 18 Management". Essentially, that's a piece of software. 19 20 We worked with a partner company, external company, professionals in this field and asked them to come in to assist us in building our mass 21 22 casualty response. The powered case system in Ontario is coupled with the system 23 that we have built in-house called Major Event Management, which was done by a 24 company called Xanalys. Helen here, just to my left, she sat and worked with the team in 25 Canada and in England to build out how we would respond and take the information in. 26 27 We started to develop this back in 2017, again using software experts in the field and building our process out. Our focus, and I want to share with 28

you our mission statement, is to assist, support and unify all those involved in this

incident, being whatever we're going to be dealing with. This includes family, friends

and investigators, with matching those reported missing or unaccounted for and bring

1

2

3

closure to those involved. 4 Our membership in relation to our major event comes from internal 5 sources that are supported through special training that we've developed in-house. 6 7 Next slide, please. 8 As Danielle has already taken you through one of the components 9 here, we look at three major components in relation to managing a mass disaster, mass casualty event. First, the victim list. Where are we going to get these names, how this 10 information's going to flood in. 11 Helen will bring us into another piece of this, a 1-800 number which 12 Danielle has already explained that the world will call, and it has been learned that our 13 systems will be overwhelmed. We'll build on this victim list coming from police 14 resources, documentation teams including external resources looking to hospitals, 15 16 families, friends that are going to be calling in to develop and self-report their own people missing or unaccounted for. 17 Danielle has gone into a very in-depth process in relation to the 18 family liaison, so I won't dive into that piece as well, but know for sure that within Peel 19 Regional Police we have mechanisms in place, people who are trained, who have done 20 the training through the Ontario Police College on dealing with families and being victim 21 22 specialists in that realm. We are continuously building on that. The other component is Disaster Victim Identification, as you see, 23 24 DVI. In 2019, here at Peel Regional Police, we launched a course with INTERPOL. This is the national standard of dealing with a disaster. 25 We brought in services including Toronto, York, OPP, Peel, the 26 27 Police College. All visited our service for a course that lasted just about a week on how we would process such a scene. This is an international level. 28 INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1	The documents that we have prepared within our mass casualty
2	system, within our software system, mirror those of DVI so we can take this platform
3	and move it into an urban setting, rural setting, international platform and we can share
4	the information with the world because we know that we are a very moveable world now
5	and a very close space.
6	You can go to the next slide, please.
7	Why did we do this? Well, we looked at this from the perspective of
8	a water-cooler conversation between Helen and I on how we would build and better
9	prepare.
10	Under the leadership at the time of the Chief and the Chief
11	Management Group, included with the leadership that we currently have in place, we
12	developed a single point of contact in relation to preparing for a mass casualty.
13	Again, as I've told you, in our mission statement, which I can read
14	again for you, it's simply one place to call. So all the information comes in and then
15	unify and bring closure.
16	One of our main goals, and it's very simply put when we start off
17	any training sessions which we've done in relation to a mass casualty, and we break it
18	down very simply for them, is if we can unite a family member with someone who is in
19	the hospital as quickly as possible, that would be our objective, to make sure that
20	everybody gets a voice, information coming in.
21	Now, how we do that in the background, Helen will take you
22	through very shortly. But this is the process that we are looking here. We are very
23	specific on how we are trying to do this. We are not in charge of the scene. We are in
24	charge of missing persons and those unaccounted for. We subsequently share that
25	information with investigators so that they have the information in order to move on in
26	their investigations.
27	Next slide, please.
28	MS. HELENNN BURTON: So with the software we've also set up

a call-in centre. So we obviously had to be able to receive that information coming into 1 our 9-1-1 lines. And very similarly to what Toronto Police are doing, we've set up a 1-2 800 number whereby members off the public can call in, where they can report either 3 themselves as a survivor, if they're down at the family reunification centre, or if they've 4 even been involved in the incident and since left the scene and gone home. They can 5 call and report other people who were with them who are missing, and we also have the 6 7 opportunity to recognize that what we see falls back to members of family who believe 8 that their loved ones have been involved in the incident, but can't get a hold of them. so 9 we'll receive those as we see people as well.

10 Staff in the call centre are trained in high stress call taking, the 11 same as what our communication staff are. So they already have that knowledge of 12 how to deal with that high stress call coming in.

We recognized very early in looking at this type of incident that we would need that training. We didn't want to take members of civilian staff who were used to taking very hard callers and putting them in a potential situation when we're dealing with something that's very high stress. So we recognized that they would need that training prior to coming.

They're also all part on our critical incident study, which means that they will be seeing yearly psychological assessment through our organizational wellness. So if there are any issues that come up throughout their training, then they'll receive that psychological piece too.

We have done two test scenarios so far and they were very realistic. The call centre staff were visibly moved by what they were receiving. So that was a good learning practice for them to be able to receive that.

The call takers, they will gather information, such as identifying features, marks, scars, tattoos, descriptions of the person that's missing, and they will enter that onto a previously designated form which is already in their software.

28 It also allows for us to be able to receive that same information from

1 people that may be unconscious at the hospital.

We can also receive pictures. So if we have an identifying tattoo, we can get the person who is calling in to report the person missing to send that to our email address and we can attach that to the profile.

We can also receive information from officers who may be at the hospitals and they can send identifying features, again such as tattoos, which may be able to be uploaded into survivor reports. So if that person is unconscious and we realize that we can attach that picture or tattoo or other identifying feature directly into their profile.

10

Next slide, please.

So the documentation team are police officers. They will be 11 deployed out to the hospitals and family assistance centres, evacuation centres for 12 people to be able to report information, either directly to the software, or we do have 13 forms that are offline in case there's no connectivity at the scenes. And their primary 14 15 focus is going to be to gather that information to feed that into the software as soon as 16 possible. Without the information of people at the scene and at the survivor reception centres, we won't be able to match that with any of the missing people that have been 17 reported through the 1-800 number or through our internet page. 18

Go to the next slide, please. 19 So next we have the background people. So they're going to be 20 the matching unit. So we have two computer labs which are set up for training and are 21 22 a training facility and if any incident actually happens, those computer labs will be taken 23 over. They are also right next to our emergency operation centre. So there will be that 24 local availability for people to walk backwards and forwards between those three rooms. So the one side of the computer lab that will be our information 25 contact centre where all the calls are coming in, and then our next side of the room will 26 27 be our matching unit, where the officers will be look at all the missing persons forms that are being reported, and they will be looking through the other forms that we've received, 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

such as the evacuees, survivors, and the deceased persons, and trying to match those
 up with those missing people.

You'll see on the screen shot there's a colour coded yellow on the top of that screen shot. We've tried to use the same as the Interpol forms, which is what Tom mentioned, in that the yellow denotes that it's a missing person, green is a survivor or evacuee, and then red will be a deceased person. That way the call takers and the matching unit staff know exactly what they're looking at just by the colour coding.

9 So the matching unit will look at all of the missing persons coming 10 in and they will start looking through those other lists.

There is some AI built into the software where as soon as we start looking at that missing person, it gives us options of who it may connect to. So depending on what information is in each of the forms, if I have a lion tattoo, it doesn't recognize the image, but it will recognize that a lion tattoo is in there, which their call takers will put in in text form, and then will also put that in in a survivor form. So it will show up a potential match, and then it's up to the matching unit to be able to decide what to do with that information.

They have various options depending on what the scale of the 18 incident is and what has been decided by the officer in charge of the mass casualty unit. 19 They could potentially make a phone call back to the caller, who would audit the person 20 missing and just say, "Yes, your family member is at the hospital or at the reunification 21 22 centre and this is how you can contact them." Or it may be that it requires an elevated response from a family liaison officer who is trained in other resources that may be 23 24 needed, such as death notification, pre-death notification. Or there might also be an incident where that person is not fully identified so we would need to do a follow up. 25 There is a way that in the software, we can task people. So a 26 27 trained staff would either be a family liaison officer or it would be part of the

documentation team that would be going out and do a further task to identify whether it

is a confirmed match or not, whether that be a result of what the coroner might need 1 through DNA collection, or photographs, finger prints, dental, et cetera, et cetera. So 2 that is the way that we would do that. like I say, depending on what the officer in charge 3 has decided at the beginning of the mass casualty response. 4 You can go to the next slide, please. I think that's ---5 **INPS. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Subject to any questions, and I've 6 7 heard some of the questions you've asked of Danielle, some of those we could probably 8 answer for you. 9 I know in relation to how we would educate the public on this, we are in the process of building what's called Whiteboard Animation, which is a platform 10 which we would put up immediately, or share during certain times of the year, for 11 example, Emergency Preparedness Week. What the Peel Police are doing locally, and 12 we are looking at building whiteboard animation, which we have just purchased, to 13 design a caricature to walk you through the process and educate and posting it on 14 YouTube. 15 16 So that's one of the process we're looking to do an ongoing process of education just on that topic, which I know one of the Commissioners asked about. 17 So subject to any questions, Helen and I are both open. 18 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Well, thank you so much for your 19 presentation. I'll -- again, I'll turn to the people physically here today. I don't know, 20 Michelle Seaman, if you have any questions. 21 22 **MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN:** Thank you so much for your presentation. Another very interesting and very important initiative, and I thank you for 23 24 your leadership on that. Again, just a question, as I asked Danielle, about the training for 25 your team, about the trauma-informed approach, and victim-centred approach. Are 26 27 your -- is there special training for this particular team related to this kind of response? **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Yeah, so I'll let -- I'll let Helen answer 28

1 that. She has taken her people through.

CST. HELEN BURTON: Yes. So we did do the high stress call-2 taking as part of the initial training. All of that Call Centre staff have to have that prior to 3 even applying for the position. They also have the access to our Organisational 4 Wellness, and we're also -- they're part of the psychological response as well. So once 5 a year they'll go through with their psychiatrist and do an assessment that way as well. 6 7 We also have run two training scenarios where we had cadet 8 members to act as the members of the public, and actually it was very, very realistic. 9 We had Organisational Wellness come and stand by, and they were prepped -- calltakers were all prepped with the number to call should they require any assistance, and 10 it was offered to them at the end of the day as well, and there was further outreach 11 following training as well. 12 MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Thank you. And just a follow-up to 13 that. So it's just mainly to the call-takers. What about the responding officers in terms 14 of -- and perhaps it's just a broader sense of trauma-informed training that your officers 15 16 get as part of their policing duties. Is there a course that your officers take? **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** So the officers here at Peel do have 17 training that they get from the Ontario Police College, and then that is enhanced when 18 they return back to their in-house training. We have a program here known as 19 Safeguarding, so that is a once-a-year program, officers in specific units, and it just 20 branched out recently to our uniformed personnel where they will go through an 21 22 assessment with a psychologist who is part of our Management Team. They will meet 23 with them, and they take them through, or learn over the last year, just not on mass casualty events, but simply thinking of the focus of a traffic accident that they dealt with 24 where they have to deal with a death or a death notification. 25 That being said, as we build on this more and more we are learning 26 27 that we do debriefs very quickly after the incident. As Helen mentioned, the training

session that we had was very impactful to us when we hosted that because of the

feelings that were coming out during the calls that were coming into the centre, and
from that we learned to make sure that we make sure that we incorporate our wellness
people immediately into our plans. So follow ups, debriefs after, are all part of moving
forward with our initiative.

5 **CST. HELEN BURTON:** We also have -- one of us would be in the 6 room with the call-takers, and we did notice when we were doing their training while we 7 were walking around we actually made sure that the call-takers were okay. You could 8 see that they were having a stressful call, and once the call was done we made sure 9 that they hung up the phone, put themselves on busy, and had a quick two minutes with 10 them to make sure that they were okay, if they needed any further assistance.

MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Thank you so much for your answers
 and for acknowledging the trauma of both the victim-centred approach and also for the
 members and the other staff that are involved in the incident. Thank you very much.

MS. JULIA RUSTAD: Thank you so much for your presentation, it
 was very interesting, a lot of information. I don't have any questions at this time.

16

MR. JAMIE VanWART: Dana Bowden?

MS. DANA BOWDEN: Thank you very much for your presentation.
I do have a question around referral. So for survivors, the injured and family members
who are looking for support and assistance, is there a referral process in place to other
resources?

CST. HELEN BURTON: Of course. I mean, the same as Danielle has mentioned, we are not -- that is not our world. We are a police response, and although we are -- 100 percent want to be involved in the reunification part, the family side, we also recognize there are other services that are better equipped than us to actually deal with that. So we would -- we would use our victim support services to be able to reach out.

INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD: Just to give you some insight during
 an event that happened here in Brampton with a house fire, the loss of several young

1	boys, family during that time, our service took an approach of our Community Safety
2	Well-being Team, our Divisional Mobilisation Unit officers, the support that came from
3	in-house to reach out to the Region to get things in place happened very quickly. We
4	are under the leadership under the direction where we're king of building out platforms
5	to other community partners. As mentioned very early in this, building out a platform of
6	the design of our software where we went out to external stakeholders to the point
7	where we're using those resources that are in our communities to make sure that we
8	tap. They actually meet on a regular basis at a hub meeting, not just for if this was a
9	mass casualty event, but other incidents that are happening in our communities.
10	MS. DANA BOWDEN: Great. Thank you.
11	MR. JAMIE VanWART: I will turn to Aline Vlasceanu. Did you
12	have any questions for these presenters?
13	MS. ALINE VLASCENAU: No. Thank you, I'm okay.
14	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Okay. And Sue O'Sullivan?
15	MS. SUE O'SULLIVAN: No. Again, we work with them regularly.
16	So thank you.
17	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Okay. And Susheel Gupta?
18	MR. SUSHEEL GUPTA: No. No questions.
19	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Okay. Well and Maria MacDonald?
20	MS. MARIA MacDONALD: No, similarly. Thank you.
21	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Okay, thank you.
22	Well, I'll turn now to the Commissioners.
23	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Commissioner Fitch?
24	COMMISSIONER FITCH: I don't have any further questions at this
25	time. Thank you for your presentation.
26	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Commissioner Stanton?
27	COMMISSIONER STANTON: I might have missed this, and I
28	apologise if I did, but what is the threshold that prompts this process to be initiated?

INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD: So when we're looking at a mass 1 2 casualty event it means three or more people that can't be identified. That would be ---**COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And so -- sorry. 3 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Well, we'd be looking at activating 4 our unit. Our objective is to stand up a unit up within an hour-and-a-half and to put our 5 1-800 number out to the public through social media, news, press releases, and on our 6 7 internal website. COMMISSIONER STANTON: And it's the officer in charge who 8 9 determines that the threshold is met and initiates it? **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** So we have what's called the Duty 10 Inspector who is our frontline officer who is the highest rank of the day on the road, or 11 the Chief of Police can activate the Office of the Mass Casualty Part-time Bureau. 12 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And are the members otherwise 13 assigned and then if this program is initiated then they are reassigned to stand up the 14 15 unit, or is this their main remit? 16 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** So how it works is if we were activated the call would come in, we have a call-out system where the folks that are 17 listed on that call-out system will get a phone call to come in. They will come out of the 18 units that they're working in, we're hoping some would be on duty at the time to expedite 19 in getting our lines up and running. They will make their way in. Currently, there's 20 myself and seven other either inspectors or detective sergeants or staff sergeants who 21 22 are being trained or learning how to use the software and to manage the room, so they would be activated as well to come in. And then we would set up a shift rotation, which 23 24 we have planned to design, or we've already started to, setting up the design of who would be filling in those shifts to make them either longer or shorter depending on the 25 amount of work that comes in. And some of the lessons that we have learned from our 26 27 partners over in England when we followed what they did.

28

COMMISSIONER STANTON: And when you say they would come

in, do you mean physically come in to a -- to a centre or is this something that can bestood up remotely?

INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD: I'll let -- Helen's working on that now. 3 **CST. HELEN BURTON:** No, we are doing it in-house, and the 4 advantage of doing that is we -- like we said, we are right next to where our Emergency 5 Operations Centre would be, the matching unit would be right next door to where the 6 call-takers are based. So -- but as information comes in to the Officer in Charge, I 7 8 would need to relay information to the call-takers and to the matching unit, so having 9 them physically in the building is the best way that we have decided that it would work. Just to give you a bit of perspective and background, I actually 10 worked on the 9/11 investigation prior to coming to Canada when I was in the UK, so 11 just having that experience and knowing how busy that call centre can be, we would 12 want it the same. We would want to be able to have that one-on-one perspective and 13 be able to talk to people in real time. 14 15 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. 16 And is there someone in an analyst role that is also part of this team that is assessing information as it's coming into the call centre? 17 **CST. HELEN BURTON:** Assessing the amount of calls that we're 18 getting or assessing the information? 19 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Assessing the information that 20 comes in. 21 22 **CST. HELEN BURNS:** Well, the calls would be coming in and 23 somebody would be -- like myself would be overseeing what's actually happening and 24 how many calls we are getting and we'd be able to do -- very quickly we can do a list to say who is still outstanding that's missing, who has been reported as survivors, how 25 many people we have taken off that missing list and how many people we still have 26 27 outstanding. We can export that into an Excel spreadsheet and a PDF. So if we did have an analyst that was working with us, we can 28

provide that information to the analyst, we can provide it to the investigators who are at 1 the scene or the incident commander, the Emergency Operations Centre or even the 2 coroner if they need that as well. 3 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And is there a liaison as well with 4 5 the -- I don't know if Peel has one, a strategic communications unit -- so that if the public is being updated that the information is accurate from your team? 6 7 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Yes. So with myself in the room, 8 that is my role, to continue to liaise with our media people, our corporate people to 9 move that message out. What Helen was alluding to a little bit earlier in relation to you're 10 saying the analytics and the data that's coming in, if you were in charge of us and 11 wanted to know how many people were at a unification system, we can simply go into 12 the software, print it out and tell you that there's X number of people at a hospital, at a 13 family centre and their names to make that connection really quickly. 14 15 Those types of things that we can also move out to the public 16 saying that this is where casualties are or where family centres are being designed or coming up because we're learning -- we've learned that multiple people will want to 17 help, but it's how we get that information to the proper authorities. 18 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. That's very helpful. 19 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Yes. Thank you so much for 20 your proactive leadership and this very inspirational initiative, actually. 21 22 I've got a few questions. 23 First of all is the software. You mentioned, I think, partners in 24 England. Can -- was it something that was on the shelf that you adapted for your experience or did you build it from scratch? 25 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** I'll answer the first part and then I'll 26 27 let Helen take you to the next piece. In Ontario, we're governed by Major Case Management during 28

serious events that happen in this province, and we have a piece of software that we 1 use during those investigations. It helps us in linkage analysis. So an investigator in 2 Toronto would have something in there and it would link to an investigation in Toronto, 3 we can have the conversation. 4 The platform that was designed and built here is similar to that, and 5 we can take that information and move it into -- should it become a criminal activity 6 7 notwithstanding we have other events that are happening around the world or in 8 Canada, mass disasters, we can easily take that information if it turned into criminal and 9 move it into the next one. 10 So I'll let Helen tell you about the design. **CST. HELEN BURTON:** Yes. So because we wanted that 11 interoperability between Major Case Management system, which is called PowerCase, 12 and any mass casualty type software, we approached the company that made 13 PowerCase, which Xanalys, and they're actually a UK company. They've been in major 14 15 case management software since the implementation back in 2005. 16 So we had a good relationship with them already and knowing them through doing the PowerCase software. I was involved in homicide prior to doing this, 17 so I knew how that platform worked. I knew how the UK platform worked and we were 18 able to use one of their already-existing systems and be able to just manipulate it a little 19 bit to what we actually needed. 20 And like I said, the interoperability between the two in case it does 21 22 become a criminal investigation would be seamless to move that over such as in 2005, 23 we had Air France crash at Pearson Airport. Thankfully, there were no casualties and 24 that became a Transport Canada issue. However, as we all know, 9-1-1 gets bombarded with calls and 9-1-1 actually crashed that day because of the amount of 25 phone calls that we were receiving. 26 27 So all of those things combined, we knew we had to set up a 1-800 number. We knew we needed to divert some of those phone calls from 9-1-1 as well as 28

1 that interoperability and it should be a stand-alone system in the event it was something

2 outside of a criminal investigation.

COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you so much. 3 Just a couple more questions. The first is dealing with slide 4 number 5. 5 And you refer to the documentation team are members of the police 6 7 service who will be deployed to hospitals, family assistance centres and evacuation 8 centres. So can you distinguish for me, please, the difference between the 9 family assistance centres and the evacuation centres, and would there not be overlap 10 there and, if there is, how that is dealt with? 11 **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** Yeah. So this is some of our 12 lessons learned. 13 As I mentioned, when we started looking at this, we'd seen NGOs, 14 15 non-government agencies, getting involved in opening up certain things, churches, 16 community centres. Within our region, we have partnerships with those NGOs. We have to be prepared because people will also self-congregate. 17 They will muster at a location where we are not there and we're going to have to send 18 our documentation team to those areas or ask them to come to a specific area. We can 19 appreciate and Toronto would reiterate during the van attack how many people might 20 show up at a hospital looking for family members, and as a policy agency or 21 government agency, we have set up another centre where we want people to come. 22 23 So preparing those document teams -- documentation teams to be 24 deployed so they can get that information back is essential and key because we do not want to miss the opportunity to unify somebody and have an understanding because if 25 we were in certain communities, a firehall may become the point where everybody 26 27 meets up or a community centre or a hockey rink where we can deploy people to because they have done their own. 28

Participant Consultations: Victim advocacy organizations

So our objective here is to build a documentation team and then the 1 locations that they will be going to are what we would have to deploy them to. 2 Currently we have 16 people here in Peel that are being trained on 3 our documentation team. 4 I hope that gives you some insight with what we're looking at here. 5 COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Yes. Thank you so much. 6 7 And just one more question dealing with the next slide. And you 8 indicate if a match is identified -- this is slide number 6. 9 If a match is identified, depending, of course, on evacuees, survivors, et cetera, a pre-determined structure will decide the next course of action. 10 Sol I presume that's a pre-determined structure that aligns with the software, but can 11 you elaborate a little bit more on the various structures that are pre-determined? 12 **CST. HELEN BURTON:** Well, the structure would actually be 13 based on what the Officer in Charge has decided at the beginning of the event. So in 14 15 the event that it may be a rail disaster or something that requires a family liaison officer 16 to be able to go to the -- to go back to the person's family and collect DNA, that's a very different response to somebody who's at the evacuation centre and can just have that 17 phone call to let them know that they're at the evacuation centre. 18 We're being very mindful of who does that pre-death notification 19 and death notifications, and it should be a trained officer and not the person that's just 20 receiving a cold call from somebody in an office saying, "Yes, your loved one is now 21 22 deceased". 23 So we need to be mindful of that and it has to be done in person 24 and by a trained officer with support mechanisms in place to be able to offer that support to that family member. 25 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** Sorry. Did you have anything to 26 27 add to that? **INSP. THOMAS WARFIELD:** I was just going to double down on 28

1

what Helen was giving you some oversight to.

Again, going back to our mission statement, we try and stay very 2 clear to that mission statement, is that unification. And what we've learned is you may 3 be my friend and you call in because you know my first name is Tom. My family may 4 know me by my second name, Wayne. They call in and it's Wayne, and we have to 5 unify a little. So when we start to go back and reach out to those people who have 6 7 called in to tell them how we're dealing with this or who we're going to be sending to 8 deal with them. We want to be mindful that we've captured everything in one basket 9 and we've unified or matched everybody together. And the other piece that we looked down a little further, as Helen mentioned, we have what's called general instructions. 10 The general instructions, we're probably now into 20 something pages of general 11 instructions that we have built in relation to any type of event that we continue to build 12 on. For example, Helen related it to a train derailment, a building collapse, an active 13 shooter event, horrific car accident, a plane crash, a boat sinking. We have got general 14 15 instructions or questions that we are prepared to give to our people so they can ask 16 those questions so we can get the proper placement and get the information back so we can make those unifications as soon as possible. 17

18

COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you so much. Very

19 helpful.

20 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** I will take your cues and refer to you by 21 your first names. So thank you so much, Tom and Helen, for your very thoughtful and 22 informative presentation and your fulsome answers to all the questions.

I might suggest, Commissioners, this would be an opportune
 moment to take an afternoon break.

25 COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you so much. We'll break
 26 for 15 minutes.

27 **REGISTRAR DARLENE SUTHERLAND:** Thank you. The
 28 proceedings are now on break and will resume at 2:35.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1 --- Upon breaking at 2:20 p.m.

2 --- Upon resuming at 2:52 p.m.

3	MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you and good afternoon.
4	As we continue with this session, I point out that we are fortunate to
5	have a number of experienced and knowledgeable individuals that are with us this
6	afternoon, and we will have an opportunity to hear from everyone.
7	Our discussion this afternoon will focus on what kind of supports
8	individuals, families, first and secondary responders, and communities effected by mass
9	casualty need, and suggestions on how best to provide support to those affected by a
10	mass casualty and what steps can be taken to incorporate a victim-centred approach.
11	At the beginning, as we've heard, we have with us members of the
12	Canadian Resource Centre for Victims of Crime and the Canadian Association of Chiefs
13	of Police National Working Group Supporting Victims and Terrorism and Mass Violence.
14	These organizations are part of a Participant coalition in the mass Casualty Commission
15	and we will now turn to them and hear from their members in attendance today.
16	And I will first turn to Ms. Sue O'Sullivan.
17	MS. SUE O'SULLIVAN: Thank you, Jamie.
18	I am the chair of the National Working Group, but like Susheel, I
19	wear several hats. And I'm going to mention just two other groups that I work with,
20	because I'll be speaking to them as I provide comments to today.
21	And so the first is I chair the International Network Support Victims
22	of Terrorism and Mass Violence, and as well, the Leadership in Counterterrorism
23	Alumni Association. I'm a member of the Executive Board.
24	And I so I mentioned these, as I say, these are two groups, just
25	two, of the many groups that help inform the work of the National Working Group.
26	But I would like to begin by offering my condolences to the victims'
27	families, who suffered the loss of a loved one. I also want to acknowledge the
28	survivors, first responders, and all those who have been impacted by this tragedy.

1 Much of our work is informed by the voice of victims and survivors and we rely on your

guidance to help identify and support the -- what support needed to help the community
heal.

We remember those lost and are inspired by your courage and your
strength during this difficult time.

The Commission of Inquiry -- this Commission of Inquiry marks an
important opportunity to fully consider the work that has been done and needs to be
done by governments, first responders, victim serving agencies, community
stakeholders in Canada, to prepare for and respond to these types of tragedies.

You have already heard this afternoon from several members of the National Working Group, Helen, and Tom, and Danielle, and I will be co-presenting with two other members of the National Working Group this afternoon, and that is Ms. Maria MacDonald and Mr. Susheel Gupta.

I also want to note at this point that we will be providing the
Commission with a comprehensive written submission on our work, and so today we
are just going to be touching briefly on -- what I would like to do is talk a little bit about
who we are, the work that we're doing. I'd like to talk about the continuum, very briefly
about the needs of victims, and also one key recommendation that we have for the
Committee. We have many recommendations, but one in particular that I'd like to focus
on in my comments this afternoon.

And so who are we? So the CACP National Working Group was established in January 2018 in the recognition of the need for and the value of developing a victim-centred response to terrorism and mass violence in mass casualty tragedies. It includes representatives from police services across Canada with a common aim to develop frameworks and programs to optimize a victim-centred response to mass violence before, during, and after they occur. A central focus of our work involves the identification and

refinement of trauma informed models aimed at informing, supporting, and engaging

victims, families, survivors, and first responders, and communities and all those 1 impacted, while also upholding the rights and dignity of all persons. 2 And so our National Working Group includes representatives from 3 police services across Canada, but it's not only made up of senior officers, as you can 4 see just from some of the people that have presented here today, but it is made up of 5 an entire team of leaders. 6 7 And so from across Canada, we've got together to work together to 8 focus on certain areas in terms of researching planning, training, technology and 9 collaboration. Some of those key messages that you have already heard here today. But I think what's important is to start off by talking about the 10 criminal justice continuum, because the work of this Commission is looking at -- it starts 11 with prevention. And I know there's been significant presentations and work around 12 what can be done to prevent these kinds of tragedies in the future. And then sadly, 13 when we do have a tragedy or an incident that occurs, there's the time -- the immediate 14 time that this occurs and the short term after that. 15 16 And of course, there's the medium and long term. And if in fact there is an arrest, then there's a court process. But in this case, what we have is an 17 inquiry or a legal process. 18 And then what I'm going to point out is that for many of these 19 families and people who have been impacted by this, it goes well beyond the criminal 20 justice system and has a -- they will have lifelong needs in relation to what has 21 22 happened to them as a result of this tragedy. 23 So I really wanted to point out that because sometimes we focus on 24 the immediate response, when in fact it is an entire continuum. We do need to be looking at the prevention, as well as the time of the incident in the short and the longer 25 26 term. 27 I know that Susheel is going to speak further about the needs of victims, but I just want to touch on the fact that every victim is unique, however, there 28

are common themes in relation to their needs that must be considered and provided for
in the preplanning and the response stages. And one of the lenses that our National
Working Group puts on, and I know that you heard this from one our, if I may say, the
international network, the acronym is INVICTIM, that you've heard from already, the
Commission has heard from, is Lev Altan, the Executive Director of Victim Support
Europe, and Mary Fetchet, the Executive Director of Voices Centre for Resiliency.

And we all put this lens on and we look at it in terms of circles of impact. And so obviously it's the family that -- it's people that were present during the attack. It was people who were survivors. Sadly family members and loved ones who lost their loved ones, and then of course, first responders, witnesses, and then of course, the community itself, the local community and the wider population.

12 So they impact not just survivors and witnesses and their families 13 and loved ones, but the first responders and the broader community all were faced with 14 the challenges of coping in the aftermath.

15 And I use one simple example. As you know, we work with 16 colleagues not just in Canada, but internationally, and we work closely with one of our members, the FBI, and they recognize that many people who were at a lot of these 17 tragedies who were not physically injured, but obviously had impact to them, 18 psychosocial, and they put out a brochure that was called "I was there". And it's in 19 recognizing that a lot of people didn't come forward and didn't access some of the help 20 that was available because they didn't think that it was for them as well and that it was 21 22 more for people who had lost loved ones. Of course people who have lost loved ones 23 were going to need that support, they didn't want to take away from that. So they put 24 that simple "I was there", and I thought that was a very simple way of saying, "Yes, you've been impacted and there's help available to you." 25

And so we take a victim-centered approach. You're going to hear a lot more about that from Maria when she speaks, but I wanted to talk about the four pillars of what we do as a National Working Group.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1	So common-sense, we bring together and we learn from each
2	other, we share best practices, using two examples, again this afternoon. But we also
3	look to our international partners, our NGOs or different stakeholders.
4	So INVICTIM has 27 members and we purposefully are a smaller
5	group in our network, but what we are is a door we are doors in to extensive
6	networks. And so the work of the National Working Group has been informed by
7	INVICTIM by the Leadership and Counterterrorism Association, which is basically a
8	group of senior police and intelligence leaders that are very much committed to
9	ensuring that all strategies take the victim-centered approach.
10	You've heard EUCVT, members of the European Union Centre for
11	Victims of Terrorism. We bring in victim advocates. And I think one of the most
12	important, and I will reiterate this throughout my presentation, is the importance of
13	listening to the voice of victims' families, survivors, and people that have been impacted
14	in order to inform the work that we do.
15	And so the other thing that the National Working Group has been
16	able to establish, because we often talk about partnerships, but it's also really about
17	relationships, and that's what we have. We are constantly sharing information, looking
18	for opportunities that are available to us.
19	And so research and development is a key piece of what we do,
20	identifying the best practices and the lessons learned, again from not just what's taking
21	place in our own communities and nationally, but also, as I mentioned, internationally.
22	So we have developed a resource compendium, and in that
23	resource compendium, we have both I'll say public information, as well as information
24	that's been shared with us in confidence.
25	Next is training of victim specialists, or we've heard of the family
26	liaison officer's program.
27	So we looked internationally at some of the best models that were
28	out there, and they're often referred to. One, of course, is, and you've heard it referred

to the U.K. Family Liaison Officer Program, and the second is the FBI's Rapid
Response Teams, where they have specially trained victim specialists that deploy to
these kinds of tragedies with agents. And training is a key component, because if
you're going to ask, as well as frameworks and protocols, which I'll speak to later,
training is a key component to ensure that the people that you're asking to do this have
the necessary knowledge, experience, and training to do that.

7 And so as we develop these frameworks and protocols, equally 8 important is testing them. And so one of the things that we had the opportunity to 9 participate in last year was an international tabletop training scenario. What was unique about it is we were testing two things. We were testing the victim response and we 10 were testing the member support strategy. And we partnered with the U.K.'s National 11 Police Wellness Service on conducting the exercise, and I really want to publicly thank 12 the Toronto Police Service and the Ontario Provincial Police, who came to the table to 13 be -- to participate fully as the agencies that would be responding on the victim 14 15 response side.

And the other thing I want to mention is, is that when you're looking at communities that are preparing to respond to these kinds of tragedies, is recognition that each community has different organizational structures and classes.

So we know, and actually, in our training, we started with an attack in a rural community, which then went to a large urban centre. And it's recognizing -- so for example, I mean, you can see from some of the models that are out there that involve a lot of technology, that involve big casualty bureaus, but it may be in a smaller community, that you still need to be able to have these key pieces in place, but it may look like how you provide that would be different based on your capacities.

The next thing we focus on is policies, protocols, and supports. So we share the policies, the plans, to ensure that at every stage, that victims' needs are considered and planned for in the -- in our abilities to respond.

And next is use the technology. So that's such a broad subject, but

1 I'm just going to touch on a couple of examples.

So when we look at, for example, you've heard about the Casualty 2 Bureau from Peele, but recently, for example, the U.K. has opened the Major Incident 3 Portal, which they keep up 24/7, and it has different things that it can do with that. 4 Use of technology. The FBI partnered with Penn State University 5 and developed an online training, virtual training program, different modules about 6 death notification and how to do that. 7 8 I'm just giving you a couple of simple examples. And after the large 9 attack in Las Vegas the FBI has created, well, they've had it in place and used it quite to their advantage, they put up a Seeking Victims page. They had 20,000 people flee that 10 concert. And also we looked at, for example, the website that was set up after MH-17. 11 And so there's different -- our group is constantly looking at what's 12 out there and what can be adaptable to the communities that they serve. But all of the 13 foundation of the work of the National Working Group is, if I may quote Lev Altan, which 14 is "rights-based and needs-driven." And so as I said, we're informed by, not just our 15 16 colleagues, but we also take every advantage to leverage the knowledge that's out there. 17

And two quick simple examples: We just ran a special session with CIPSRT, which is the Canadian Institute for Public Safety Research and Treatment with Nick Carleton, and we partnered with NATAL, which is the National Trauma Resiliency Agency out of Israel, and we looked at lessons learned in terms of supporting first responders. So that's just one example of the -- and actually, we have -- we have a seminar coming up that we've been allowed to participate in, our members from the EUC, from their centre, on managing personal effects.

So while it is -- we -- it is important to acknowledge the good work that has been done and is currently being undertaken by a government's first responders, victim-serving agencies, community stakeholders to prepare and respond to, we have, through our work, a common theme has emerged from the available and

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

collective lessons learned, both internationally and at home, and we must -- we must
build on the current response strategies to ensure that the protocols in an event of a
mass victimisation incident are preplanned for, and I'm going to use that word again, are
preplanned for.

5 And so I'm not going to list about -- there's about 20 or 25 victim 6 protocols. And people say to me, you know, "we have good response strategy or 7 frontline goals" and yes they do, and you do have, you know, good victims services 8 people. What's different about a mass -- about a mass casualty incident or a mass 9 violence? It's the extra capacities and protocols that are going to be required that you 10 have have in place ahead of time.

And I'm just going to mention a few of them, you've heard them 11 mentioned: The victim witness identification, the development of victim's lists; death 12 notifications, family survivors assistance centres, liaison between victims and the 13 investigation team, contacts list, volunteer and donation management. Again, there's 14 15 many more, but I'm going to just use one example and it was spoken to this morning. 16 Because if you're truly going to have a response that puts victims, families, and survivors at the centre of the response, then you need to have, I'll say 17 either a Victims Specialist Manager or a Victims Family Liaison Manager that is 18 integrally working with incident -- with the Incident Commander as well as the Major 19

Case Manager. Because the incident situation when it's in crisis will be led by an
Incident Manager, but it's the Case Manager on the investigative side that will have that
carriage through the longer portions of the continuum. So it's important that that is
embedded within the Incident Command and the Major Case Management.

And so lastly, I want to finish up with a recommendation that we have, and that -- well, I should mention is, you know, training came up in several of the questions that you've raised here by the Commissioners. And what we've done, we got a little bit behind with COVID, but we waited until we're doing it in person, we are running in November a Victims Specialist Family Liaison Officer Training -- a Pilot

Training Workshop. And what we are bringing in -- and in that workshop we are going 1 to be looking to bring in Incident Commanders and Major Case Managers as well 2 because they all have to understand how it fits together and how they're linked together. 3 And so the main recommendation we have, and we have a 4 resolution that was put forward by the CACP in 2020, was to establish an office that 5 functions as the centre of expertise and a coordinating body that can mix federal, 6 7 provincial, territorial, regional and local responses, the victims of terrorism, mass 8 violence and mass casualty events. We clearly recognise that the three levels of 9 government have different mandates, but if the centre can operate where you respect the three levels of government, plan together strategically, so that there is a 10 comprehensive response with the appropriate supports and resources in place that 11 respect those lines. 12

13 So the establishment of this office -- and you know, we're following 14 the footsteps of other countries, such as the United States, who have the National Mass 15 Violence Victimization Resource Center, the European Union who has the Centre of 16 Expertise for Victims of Terrorism, and Belgium is currently in the process of standing 17 up their Centre of expertise for Victims of Terrorism and Disasters. So this is -- this is 18 very much what other countries have recognised.

But more importantly, by having a centre that can -- and if I could 19 just -- you know, act as a hub, act as a knowledge centre, and really everything that 20 we've talked about and we've heard from other people throughout this Commission 21 22 brings together that expertise, the resources, where do you go when you want 23 information on some of those key protocols that we've talked about that can connect, 24 that can coordinate and collaborate? Bring those best practices and approaches into one, if you will, hub. And also, that can, similar to what we've seen in other centres, 25 where they can provide training, webinars, protocols, toolkits, identify expertise that's 26 27 available, leverage other existing resources that are already available at your community, provincial or national level. 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

And of course, most importantly, it allows for communities to 1 preplan because when I crisis happens you want to ensure that you already have that in 2 place. And of course, the most important piece we would say to the centre is to ensure 3 that the victim's voice is front and centre, not just in the development, but in the 4 implementation and the monitoring of that should be stood up. 5 And so I am going to -- I am going to -- I think the -- as far as that 6 7 recommendation, I want to finish with this statement: The key to the development and 8 the operationalisation of a coordinated victim centre response is preplanning and 9 relationships with key partners established ahead of time, and continuously strengthened in order to collectively ensure that victims are identified, their rights are 10 protected, and the necessary protocols are in place, and victims receive the information, 11 consideration, and support that they need and deserve. A centre of expertise 12 committed to improving community preparedness, response strategies and capacity to 13 serve victims, their families recovering from mass violence through research, planning, 14 training, technology, and collaboration would help build on and complement the solid 15 16 emergency response mechanisms that are already being developed and implemented in communities across this country. 17 I want to thank you for the opportunity to say a few words, and it is 18 my pleasure and honour to turn it over to Maria MacDonald. 19 **MS. MARIA MacDONALD:** Thank you. 20 To the victims, survivors, family members and all of those who have 21 22 been impacted, I know that there are no words which are appropriate. I acknowledge 23 your presence here today, and those that appear on your behalf. 24 I here -- I'm here before the Commissioners in Phase 3 today speaking on behalf of the CACP, CTNS National Working Group to offer some possible 25 recommendations for your consideration, Commissioners, as it relates to two aspects: 26 27 First of all, best practices for integrating a victim-centred approach into the planning and preparation for a mass casualty incident; and secondly, for the provision of support to 28

victims and survivors and family members from a policing and investigative axis. 1 We're all aware that policing has faced significant challenges over 2 the course of the last few years. The worst of times, such as this, can be an impetus, a 3 ripple, a wave of change. For as Eleanor Roosevelt once said, "You can often change 4 your circumstances by changing your attitude." But attitudes which are institutionalised 5 can often be stoic, making your recommendations, Commissioners, an instigator for 6 7 change, one which acknowledges a human-rights approach, a victim-centred approach 8 to the policing based on humanity, respect, and dignity for all.

9 A transition to a victim-centred approach is slow. It arguably requires a shift in how we all view policing from that warrior mentality to that of the 10 guardian. But that transition is happening. We hear policing organisations, indeed this 11 Commission, speak to the trauma-informed approach. But do not be blinded by 12 terminology. As essential as a trauma-informed approach is, it only forms one drop in 13 the ocean, one aspect of the victim-centred approach, just as the victim-centred 14 approach forms one small part of journey to a true human-rights approach policing 15 16 which meets the needs of our communities.

The only means in my personal view to move out of that cycle of 17 error, or indeed to use Einstein's terminology, to have a different result, is to ensure that 18 society as a whole has a robust, flexible, victim-centred approach, which is not just 19 utilised on the day of the mass casualty event, but is utilised every day for the benefit of 20 victims, survivors, family members, and our communities. For we can't build a house 21 22 without a strong foundation, with which would hold the pressures of the every day and 23 not just when a hurricane comes to pass. We need to ask do our plans support victims, 24 survivors, and family members actually stand up to the reasonable worst case scenario? Only then can we really consider that we truly have a victim-centred 25 approach to policing. 26

This brings me to the first proposed recommendation for your consideration, Commissioners, that is, that a framework for a victim-centred approach

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

put in policing should be robust, flexible tiers and scalable to a mass casualty event. 1 And you may ask what is a framework for a victim-centred approach? And what I can 2 do is maybe read a few lines from that from the OPP or the Ontario Provincial Police --3 the OPP's framework which says having due regard to our legal obligations to assist 4 and understand the needs of victims, we need to refocus our understanding of 5 investigative excellence to include a victim-centred approach at its core. The focus of 6 7 this framework is to build a tiered, scalable, resilient victim-centred response which 8 empowers victims' survivors, facilitates investigative excellence and supports the well-9 being and health of our people and our communities.

There are three overarching principles which I believe are key to a victim-centred approach. The first is effective communication. Secondly, setting clear expectation as to what we can do but also what we expect of others. And thirdly, understanding the needs of victims, survivors, family members and our communities, for if we do not provide effective communication in a timely manner to victim survivors, we can and we do fail. If we do not outline what we expect of them but also to clarify our expectations of us, we start to lose trust, if trust even existed in the first place.

For a culture shift to be embraced, the change needs to be written in a framework, a strategy, call it what you may. It must be clear, concise, supported by leadership at all levels and know to be a fundamental principle, a fundamental value at the core, at the heart of an organization's ethos.

With that, and only then, can those ripples of momentum commence to prioritize the human aspect of policing separate and distinct from that warrior mentality to have effective communication, clear expectations and an understanding of needs which is built into the very framework of policing, of victimcentred approach.

It has to be utilized every single day. It has to be part of everyday
business and in relation to those we serve so that in a chaotic environment -- in that
mass casualty, it's not hard, it's not impossible to achieve.

Officers need to know who and where to reach to, what infrastructure they have to utilize to support them, what relationships they have both internally and externally, and potentially and most importantly, the knowledge, training, skills and the resources to achieve this. And when our job is done, we need to be objective and not subjective, accountable not only to ourselves, but all to the people and our communities in order to determine and ask what can we do better, for we can always improve in what we do.

8 However, such accountability requires humility, which is hard to 9 teach and it's often rewarded. In other words, we need to debrief and re-evaluate what 10 we can do better.

11 Commissioners, in the most simplistic terms, a victim-centred 12 approach respectfully has four aspects. Number one, assisting and understanding the 13 needs of victims and survivors and our communities. Two, community partnerships and 14 integrated response models. Three, resources, expertise, knowledge and training. And 15 fourthly, evaluation, accountability and evidence-based decision-making.

We have just heard Sue O'Sullivan and we'll hear Susheel Gupta in a moment speak to the different aspects that fall under those different points, and now I seek to move to speak to how, in practice, we can integrate a victim-centred approach and create a cultural shift within policing to support victims and survivors every single day.

And this draws me, Commissioners, to a consideration of the potential second recommendation, which is as follows.

Police should consider the integration of dedicated, trained resources within their investigative response for victims, survivors and family members, for different communities have different needs. International best practice, which Sue O'Sullivan spoke to a moment ago, spoke to the victim liaison officer in the UK modelled after the FBI and, indeed, we heard Danielle this morning from TPS speaking to the flow program which they are utilizing there. Dedicated resources meet the needs and obligations under the Community and Victim Bill of Rights, federal law. And indeed, we heard Tom Warfield already speak to the Major Case Management model, that we have an obligation in that model to have dedicated victim liaison officers to support victims and survivors in a major case.

And so although they're different models and we heard the officer 6 7 model, the OPP has created a different type of solution in keeping with the needs of the 8 communities that they serve, once again highlighting that there needs to be flexibility 9 and that one solution does not fit all, as different communities have different needs. That model chosen by the OPP is the hiring of dedicated civilian 10 victim specialists that have the knowledge and skills to work with investigators within 11 that investigative realm to support victims and survivors through that process. What 12 began as a pilot in 2021 has had tremendous pilots with the hiring of those victim 13 specialists and the current expansion of that program and has been found to reduce 14 officers' workload. With their own words, they have indicated that. That is in support of 15 16 the rollout and use of those civilians as victim liaison officers to support victims and survivors and to do it for the duration of that case so that, as such, isn't a revolving door. 17 Probably most importantly, it supports a cultural shift in an 18 organization that the important of a trauma-informed victim-centred approach that forms 19 part of the community because these individuals have the knowledge and skills and, in 20 fact, it creates accountability about how we engage and support victims and survivors. 21 22 It also supports investigative excellence by understanding the 23 individual needs and by building trust. And of course, essentially, it requires an 24 increase and has supported increase in referrals to Victim Services. Because we have to have that holistic model that isn't just policing based, we all need to work as a team to 25 support victims and survivors. 26

The means by which that referral happens is through a victim needs assessment. A victim needs assessment provides an avenue for the victims and

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

survivors to tell our OPP officers in their own words on first contact whether they require
 accommodations or additional supports.

I heard Ms. Bowden ask questions of TPS earlier in relation to what
those questions look like or, indeed, how they form -- or how it's achieved. What the
OPP has done in that instance is they met with domestic, federal and international
partners to develop five basic questions that our officers ask on first contact where the
victim specialist program is, so it's not everywhere. It's just in relation to that aspect.
And they are as follows.

Do you need assistance to understand or feel understood? Is there anything we can do to help you and make you feel safer? How would you like us to communicate with you? Do you have any cultural and/or religious needs you would like to tell us about that can best support you? And do you have an alternative point of contact you would like to tell us about?

Thereby allowing victims to have their voice. Rather than thinking we know the answers, we empower them.

One of those questions and all of those questions are key in a major event, but more particularly, the one as it relates to cultural and religious needs, for it illustrates the need for us to call upon our community partners, for policing cannot offer all the supports which are needed, and rather, we need to call into our community and offer the supports that they need, whether it be religious or otherwise. We cannot do this alone.

And so this transitions me to the third point of possible recommendations that, again, holistic victim-centred response should incorporate relevant SMEs, including community supports and victim supports into plans and preparation for a critical incident and a mass casualty.

We've heard Sue O'Sullivan speak to the aspects and, indeed, the recommendation of the CTNS Working Group, which I do not speak to -- intend to speak to in detail, but that resolution requires that centre of excellence and a

coordinated body that connects all of the different aspects together. And that is
 essential.

And so while we may have an infrastructure in place, it's all very 3 well if we don't share information. Information sharing is key for us to ensure that we 4 identify victims and survivors, but also, in addition, that we can support family 5 reunification in a timely manner respecting privacy. 6 7 And so this leads me to the relevance of our fourth topic for your 8 consideration as a recommendation, which is engage now with federal and provincial 9 privacy commissioners within existing structures to support the sharing of information with relevant SMEs to identify and support victims and survivors in the immediate 10 aftermath. 11 I don't profess to be an expert in privacy lights. Quite the contrary. 12 However, I have heard information from victims and survivors both internationally and, 13 indeed, domestically in relation to the challenges of not sharing information and policing 14 15 then to be able to support them at that opportune moment. 16 And so I ask that that matter be considered as with the other four -three that I put forward possible considerations for your recommendations. 17 And so as I conclude, as I began, change prevents us from making 18 the mistakes of the past and creates a vision for the future. That vision must have a 19 victim-centred, a human rights approach at its very heart if one day we hope to prevent 20 revictimization either indirectly or directly with those we serve. 21 22 The waves of change must engulf us if history is to stop repeating itself. 23 24 And so with that, it's my pure pleasure to hand you over to Susheel Gupta, who is probably one of the most important voices that we have at this table here 25 today. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have at an -- thank you. 26 27 **MR. SUSHEEL GUPTA:** I'd like to begin by acknowledging the pain and the suffering of the families. I lost my own mother in a horrific murder. I guess 28

all I can say is I'm truly sorry for all of your loss for those who are watching, for those
who aren't here who's represented.

As mentioned, I am wearing several hats today but I'm going to amplify testimony that you heard from Su today, from Maria, from Danielle, from Lev Altan, from others that you've heard in previous cases of this Inquiry, that speak to the experience of my work personally that I've done, and professionally with respect to the needs of victims in the short, medium, and long term.

8 You know, there isn't a set schedule for everyone who grieves, and 9 how they grieve, and so forth, and I think you've learned that.

You know, the Air India Flight 182 terrorism tragedy, 329 killed, 268 Canadians, it's the largest mass murder due to terrorism in this country. There's an example of a case that it took 15 years for charges to even be laid. The trial lasted five years, and then several years later there's an inquiry and it lasted six years, similar to the work that you are all doing with the Commission on behalf of families in Nova Scotia. And it is still an ongoing, open investigation.

So you can imagine -- and we'll get into a little more detail of those needs that come in ebbs and flows and peaks and valleys the families there will need, and Canadians unfortunately will likely need in the future due to tragedies that have not yet occurred.

You know in terms of the immediate impact on victims' families and 20 victims -- and I'm talking about the day, the day of, the day after a tragedy, there's 21 22 obviously the injury or loss of life, loss of loved ones, these families, myself, other families that I've met with, I've worked with, be it from 9/11, the Boston Marathon, 23 24 tragedies in Europe, you know, there's this state of shock, this disbelief, this incapacitation, hysteria some would say, and ultimately a breakdown, scrambling for 25 help and support; there's a state of confusion, stress, probably the least strongest word 26 27 I can use that the families go through. There is that great -- there's the fear, the loss of faith, the helplessness, a sense of emptiness; depression, anger, frustration, emotional 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

instability. And then, of course, exposure to media publicity or a lack of privacy; these
are all things that happen immediately, and I suspect happened to many of the victims'
families with the tragedy in Nova Scotia, and certainly happened in the tragedy that I
personally dealt with and many that I've dealt with professionally as well.

In terms of some of the short-term needs that I ask the Commission 5 to consider, you know, we've heard about this through TPS, Toronto Police, and 6 7 through Maria, Su, and others, as I say, who testified, Lev Altan and others; the experts 8 who testified, for example, on behalf of the Norway attack, the Utøya attack, reliable and 9 timely information and updates is a critical need for victims' families in the short term. 10 Rescue, recovery, medical aid, I would say emotional counselling and trauma therapy facilitating communication with family and friends, that sensitivity and privacy protection. 11 And also, more importantly, a coordinated one-stop help and direction, a navigator of 12 some sort, to guide these people going through that immediate need, trauma, and that 13 short-term need; on-site assistance from government officials, travel and transport 14 15 assistance.

And then sociocultural support. Canada is a very diverse country where there will be different socio and cultural needs for every victim, any victim. And then we need our institutions to step up with emergency financial assistance, immediate compensation for medical and mental health costs, lost wages, funeral expenses. No-one should ever have to go through a Go-Funding campaign to fund a funeral.

Legal assistance. You know, I'm a lawyer by profession. For those who don't know, you can't imagine the number of legal procedures, legal steps, legal documents, that come into play when a loved on is -- goes through a tragedy similar to what you're inquiring into, whether it's tax returns, it's getting access to bank accounts, documents for employers, insurance claims, et cetera.

That legal support is also very critical in my experience, for victims in that short-term and other terms as well. You know, a shift to the impact on victims

1 and victims' families in the medium to long term, and as mentioned there isn't a set timeline. I can't define for you what medium to long term is. 2

You know, what there's -- what I've witnessed, what I've seen, both 3 in the Air India tragedy and other tragedies, is in the long term is just a breakdown of 4 family, in many instances, and its function. I am not a statistician, but the divorce rate is 5 high amongst families who go through similar tragedies. The financial loss, the 6 7 insecurity, health problems that result as a result of the tragedy, physical and mental, 8 emotional.

9 And then of course families are, in many instances, including in this Inquiry, they're put in the position of having to fight for justice in the courts, be that 10 civilly, criminally, or through the seeking of a full inquiry. All of a sudden, these victims 11 who are grieving have to try to become advocates as well for truth and justice. And 12 that's another impact that occurs. 13

And then for those victims' families who can, they will go on or 14 15 some will go on to advocate, to fight for improved legislation reforms to better support 16 victims, better prevent these tragedies, better equip our governing institutions and agencies in order to support families who suffer. 17

And then on my experience based on the needs of victims and 18 victims' families in the long term, there will be long term medical care and emotional 19 rehabilitation that is required. Personal security issues; again, that sociocultural 20 support. 21

Help with networking with other families and victims; that legal 22 23 assistance can go on for years; financial assistance compensation; and then assistance 24 for participation in criminal justice proceeding. You know these proceedings are very formal. I've been through a number of inquiries and, you know, there's many lawyers 25 involved, many years of education and training to deal with this. You can imagine what 26 27 a family member who is not legally trained would need in that long term. 28

Another need is communication with government agencies in the

1 long term for information, for support. The investigative agency, Justice Department,

2 Social Services, and other branches of government. And long term, families need an

3 investigation into any unresolved questions, which I know is what the Inquiry

4 Commissioners and all those supporting the Commissioner....

5 You know, another impact, it's not a phase but I'll call it the human 6 phase, if there can be such a thing, is medical and psychological therapy, rehabilitation, 7 reconciliation, just even things like resuming a life routine after a loved one's dead in 8 such a large-scale mass casualty event. Management of emotions and mood swings, 9 rebuilding life, relationships, occupational, financial.

Now, I don't identify myself as a -- I don't wear something on my 10 forehead that I'm a victim of terrorism. Yes, I do wear many hats. That's an experience 11 that shapes me, but it certainly had left me, my father, all the victims in the Air India 12 tragedy, as well as many other tragedies that I've been able to work on and assist, 13 we've all had to rebuild our lives. We've had to reorient our life pursuits with a new 14 perspective. And for some achieve closure. Some have not. I don't know how to 15 define that. And then spiritual in the sense, like, these are long-term needs of the 16 victims, and that comes with that healing phase, it goes to reach that healing phase. 17

You know, at the forefront, I will say, and this is my hat, wearing it 18 on behalf of the RCMP, you know, I worked very specifically in National Security in the 19 counterterrorism world, but I will say we have not always gotten it right in speaking of 20 supporting victims. The Air India tragedy, and there are others too, I am sure, 21 22 demonstrate that. There was an inquiry there led by Former Supreme Court of Canada Justice Major that guite clearly made findings on the failures that occurred by the 23 24 Canadian Government, by the RCMP, and other institutions. So we haven't always gotten it right. 25

But I would like to share with the Commission that this institution that I now work for, that we continue to learn from the Air India tragedy, we'll continue to learn from this tragedy in Nova Scotia, and that we've adapted many of our operations,

1 at least with the work that I do from a national security lens.

So we continue to develop our national response plan guided by
the work of the National Working Group and other partners, including the Centre,
models that we've seen over seas, other institutions within Canada, the NGOs that we
work with.

But specifically as part of my work with the RCMP, our security section, in the last few years on the National Security Investigation with the number of Canadians killed, I was responsible for implementing our victims and trauma support plan to the families in collaboration with our divisions nationally and our local police jurisdiction. During this matter, the RCMP assigned dedicated members to facilitate and assist the victim's families in obtaining trauma support, social support, and to meet the needs of victims that I've previously described.

For over two years, I and other colleagues have crossed the country to meet with the victims, countless hours spent assisting with access to supports, and facilitating access to services involving other government departments. As mentioned by several speakers today, we don't provision those services, but we can navigate victims to it, and that's what we've been doing in our National Security Investigation.

l've seen the emotional toll on the victims' families. I've
experienced that myself, as you know now. I've seen the emotional toll as well. It's in
my colleagues within the RCMP who have stepped up to provide this assistance, to
navigate the families towards it.

23

We absorb the pain and suffering of those as well.

Are we further ahead with supporting victims than when my mother was murdered, along with 328 other innocent individuals? I can say absolutely. At least in the job that I've done and the folks that I've been lucky to work with who do care. At every step of the way, with every travel request, every hour consumed, my senior

inspector never hesitated in approving this work and the expense to support victims.

Quite the opposite. The guiding mantra from above was, and continues to be, do
whatever it takes to support these families. Whether it was to allocate time to fly to one
city across the country to meet with one single family member, or to be away from the
office for weeks on end to meet with many, I've witnessed leadership from above whose
strong approval and support to carry out this work.

I can't say every victim has this experience, but certainly in the
cases I work with in the National Security Section, that's how it's been.

I can candidly say that I would not be working with the RCMP
today, especially with my experience on Air India, had it not been for the improvement,
the dedication and motivation of the members who I work with, who work within to
improve how we support victims and continuously strive to be better. The work of the
RCMP is part of the CACP National Working Group is one example of striving to
improve and do better.

14 I'm proud of the work myself and my colleagues have on done the
 15 case. As I mentioned, I consider it an honour to my mom, but more importantly, to the
 16 victims -- the current victims' families that we've been supporting.

And I'll say this is yet another reason why I do believe as well and I support that we need a national centre of expertise to support victims of terrorism and mass casualty incidents in order to apply these best practices and tools to every case across the country. We can collect all the policies, protocols, procedures, and so forth, build all the partnerships. It's that operationalized piece that's so important. The putting it into action piece that is so important. And that's what we're working on.

You know, I'm going to end with -- I want to read to you from a statement -- actually, testimony that was given by a private citizen, a sailor, 37 years ago who was out in the middle of the Atlantic when the Air India plane, my mom's plane, went down, because I think it will demonstrate a few key points that I'll wrap up with. But let me read to you from this individual's testimony, which he gave at the inquiry before Justice Major.

1	So:
2	"I felt excited. This was an adventure. Boys to the plane.
3	Heroes to the rescue. We would arrive in a blaze of
4	glory, find the plane and people standing on the floating
5	fuselage waving, rescue them, and carry them home. All
6	of those on board the Laurentian Forest [that was the
7	ship the sailor was on] were hopeful of finding survivors.
8	Whilst on the route for the smoke marker dropped by the
9	helicopter, the ship steamed to approximately 20 to 30
10	people who were in the water." (As read)
11	Notice he calls them people, not bodies. I'll continue:
12	"They were floating with virtually no buoyancy just at the
13	surface and were not visible until we were right on top of
14	them. The surface of the sea was covered with a sheen
15	of aircraft fuel which caused unusual reflections and
16	many false sightings throughout the day. It was at this
17	moment where the enormity of what had happened
18	became apparent and that the great adventure was over
19	and it started to turn into quite a different experience.
20	Amongst the first to come aboard were two young boys.
21	They were the only we received that day who were still
22	fully dressed. They seemed uninjured. This was only
23	two hours after the aircraft had come down and it was
24	difficult to accept that they were not merely sleeping. I
25	felt numb. I was working on automatic. It all seemed
26	wrong. It couldn't be real. But if we just went with it, it
27	would end and it would not be as bad as it seemed. It
28	never has ended and it was as bad as it seemed.

1	A winchman is lowered from the helicopter late morning.
2	This is unusual. He is carrying something. This has
3	usually been wreckage. This time it's a baby. The
4	winchman is crying as he passes me this bundle and he
5	leans his head to mine and shouts above the noise of the
6	helicopter, "Sorry." And then he is gone, winched back
7	up. I look down to the towel. He or she is perfect and
8	beautiful. The boy in me died that day. I don't recognize
9	this until years later, but I feel the passing. I felt it then
10	and I feel it now and everyday. My line is drawn there.
11	My faith in goodness, and God, and sense, and normality
12	died then. I rested my cheek on the baby's hand it was
13	cold. So cold. I didn't know what to do next. I put the
14	baby in a plastic garbage bag. It is six feet long and a
15	little soul lies at the bottom and as insignificant.
16	And I feel guilty siting here now with all of you, I cannot
17	begin to describe the utter wrongness of putting children
18	into plastic garbage bags. These words taste foul in my
19	mouth and I can never escape how bad I feel then and
20	how bad I feel now." (As read)
21	As you can imagine, this individual, this merchant sailor, this private
22	citizen on a ship picking up lumber in Canada on its way to Europe finds himself in this
23	situation. As you can understand, that testimony was 20 plus years after the tragedy.

He suffered from PTSD for many, many years. He is someone that we, as direct

families, victims' families, we classify him as a victim of this crime as well. His

testimony speaks to the circles of impact, as testified by Sue earlier today and Lev Altan

27 and others who appeared before the Mass Casualty Commission earlier in the

28 proceedings.

1	And he's someone that needs support. And I suspect there are a
2	number of other families in Nova Scotia that fall into that as well, who need that support,
3	who are victims.
4	The suffering also speaks to the unique needs and the longevity of
5	the needs for support, and that's something I ask the Commissioners to consider in
6	making their recommendations.
7	And finally, I would say his words, his experience, his pain speaks
8	to the need for a national centre of excellence to support victims of terrorism and victims
9	of mass casualty events.
10	With that, I say thank you for hearing me, thank you for listening to
11	the recommendations, and thank you for enquiring into the needs of victims there in
12	Nova Scotia but writ large to our entire country.
13	I will now turn it over to James Foord representing the Human
14	Resource Centre for Victims of Crime.
15	MR. JAMES FOORD: I'm just wondering, I am prepared to
15 16	MR. JAMES FOORD: I'm just wondering, I am prepared to proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that
16	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that
16 17	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission.
16 17 18	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes.
16 17 18 19	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m.
16 17 18 19 20	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m.
16 17 18 19 20 21	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. Mr. VanWart?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. Mr. VanWart? MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you. I think I'll just turn it back over
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. Mr. VanWart? MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you. I think I'll just turn it back over and we'll continue where we left off.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. Mr. VanWart? MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you. I think I'll just turn it back over and we'll continue where we left off. MR. JAMES FOORD: Thank you very much, and good afternoon
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	proceed now, but I'm not sure if it would be a opportune time for a break. I'll leave that with the Commission. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Sure, we'll take 10 minutes. Upon recessing at 3:45 p.m. Upon resuming at 4:01 p.m. COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. Mr. VanWart? MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you. I think I'll just turn it back over and we'll continue where we left off. MR. JAMES FOORD: Thank you very much, and good afternoon to the Commission. James Foord, speaking on behalf of the Canadian Resource

the loss there. If one theme flows the Commission and what you've heard today it's the
breadth and reach of trauma which is indeterminate, fluid, and vast.

I'd also like to thank the Commission for hearing from the Resource
Centre. And I've tried -- I['m going to try to put before the Commission some concrete
ideas that we think are important to be considered for recommendations going forward
on the journey of improvement and the treatment of victims and the response to mass
casualty incidents.

8 One moment here. We will -- we will of course be providing 9 comprehensive written submissions, but I want to focus on three areas that the 10 Canadian Resource Centre thinks is important and will be valuable for the Commission 11 to consider:

One, victim rights support, the acknowledgement and the support of 12 victim rights as human rights; two, the funding of victim support groups, grassroot, 13 non-governmental organisations, working in tandem and collaboration with other 14 organisations, including the police; and three, long-term coordinated outreach that 15 16 doesn't begin on the heels of a mass casualty event, but is integrated throughout the country, provincially and locally, on an ongoing basis, and so it remains at the ready to 17 be implemented and to be relied on when there's a mass casualty event, but most 18 importantly, will be relied on every day in helping victims that already exist, already are 19 struggling. 20

So we've heard a lot from first responders and the police and the 21 22 good efforts they're making on the journey to doing better, and this is one key respect --23 perspective, first responders. We support the importance of a federal central-based hub 24 of excellence, as Mr. Gupta said, as Ms. MacDonald said as well, but we also strongly submit the importance of a local-based response, and that there's not one size fits all. 25 So I'm going to start with these three areas. And the first area is 26 27 recognising and support victims' rights, recognising that they are human rights, and there's three key ways we'd ask the Commission to consider thinking about that: First 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

way, financial assistance; second way, proactive informing victims throughout the
process; and finally, third, the right to services.

So first, financial assistance. As it stands now, assistance to
victims varies greatly across territories and provinces. The need for victim
compensation, the need for it to be federally secured and standardised is important, and
we ask the Commission to consider that recommendation. Victimisation, as we've
heard, has a profound impact on the stability of people's lives, it disrupts their lives.
Providing meaningful financial assistance can help provide the means for victims to
rebuild their lives.

Number two, proactive informing, knowledge. Prompt, clear, and 10 comprehensive information. Information needs to be provided to victims right away, and 11 it needs to be clear and it needs to be comprehensive. Presently, under the Canadian 12 Victims' Bill of Rights, there's effectively an onus on victims to seek out the information 13 regarding their lives. And I think it was Maria that mentioned the importance of 14 implementing or the recommendation of questions, the five questions that could be 15 16 asked to victims, but I think we'd like to put a different gloss on it, and that it's not just asking the question, it's providing the information about what's available to people who 17 may not be comfortable talking to first responders, who may come from a different 18 cultural background, who may not trust the people, rightly or wrongly, that are 19 responding at the first instance to mass casualty events. 20

And so it is important they be provided not just the information, but 21 22 they be provided it in a proactive way that this is what's available, not "Do you need 23 help?". This is the type of help that is available to you. These are the types of 24 resources that you might find useful. And that goes well beyond, "Do you need -- would you like to speak to someone in your own language?" and you know, "Would it be 25 helpful for us to talk about resources we know in your own cultural milieu? Would it be 26 27 helpful for you to speak to someone in your own language?" if they're religious, at the mosque. It's not always churches, of course. 28

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1 And so it shouldn't be lost, optically, where a lot of white people talking about a lot of problems that happen in urban centres when there are mass 2 casualty events and we're talking about urban centres, we're talking about people that 3 do not reflect that demographic. 4 So we have to be cognizant of that and aware of that, and that's 5 why the importance of providing these rights to informing victims of their rights is so very 6 7 important. The onus has to be on the first responders and service providers at the first 8 instance to inform them of those rights. 9 And we adopt the recommendation of the Victim Start, something that pre-exists that is already thought about and creative and is comprehensive. It 10 already exists before the mass casualty events happen, so we think that's a good idea. 11 But it's very important that this information sharing, the informing of victims of mass 12 casualties be ongoing, comprehensive and fair and cater to the specific individual who 13 may come from different cultural backgrounds. 14 15 The third area under this first issue of victim rights is the right to 16 services. Victims' rights to support services should be guaranteed by statute, by legislation and enforceable thereby. There should be legal remedy. 17 The United Nations Declaration and Principles of Justice for Victims 18 of Crime recommends this. And we would suggest an amendment -- the 19 recommendation of an amendment to the Canadian Bill of Victim Rights to guarantee 20 victims access to medical, psychological, legal and social assistance that could be 21 22 subject to judicial review where it's denied or there's impediments encountered. 23 The second major recommendation that we wish to discuss is the funding of victim support services. Funding, mobilizing and coordinating grassroots 24 organizations, NGOs and victim support groups. It's obvious, as I said at the beginning, 25 that the police form a very important first response and an enduring response part of 26 27 this issue, but it's only one part. They can't be expected to, nor would it be appropriate to pull them, to be the be-all and end-all. 28

So it's essential that there be grassroots organizations, both 1 because of their expertise and because of the different perspective that they provide. 2 They're not part of a system that may not be well received by individuals who are not 3 necessarily the majority demographic, and so it's for those two reasons that it's critical 4 that there be ongoing funded and mobilized grassroots organizations that everyone 5 works with collaboratively, complementarily, sharing information, not controlling 6 7 information, not encumbering information, but everybody working towards the same 8 goal, which is what I hear from everybody today, including the first responders.

But that's why it's so important, I think, that these grassroots
organizations be funded locally across the country available with the assistance, as Mr.
Gupta said, perhaps central public intelligence and best practices, but you need them
all. They all have to work together as a team.

So following mass casualty events, organizations with the knowledge to provide services in a trauma-informed, victim-centred manner need to be immediately mobilized and integrated into the emergency response. That can't happen if that's not pre-planned. That can't happen if they don't already exist and they're not well funded.

These organizations, these grassroots NGO organizations 18 independent of police and first responders serve two vital functions. Number one, they 19 help survivors process trauma and loss and help them rebuild their lives, thereby 20 avoiding or at least minimizing the risks of revictimization. It also, number two, helps to 21 22 keep present victims from becoming future offenders. Why is this important? 23 Well, in our submission, there are -- there is some realities here 24 that should be addressed and considered first. Number one, victims are more likely to become repeat victims. 25 Victim support services help victims to rebuild their lives and break the cycle of 26 27 victimization. Number two, victims do become offenders. Victims and offenders 28

do not fit into watertight compartment or neat silos separate from each other. The
breadth and intersectionality of victims and offenders is not so simple, and so the cycle
of victims and offenders is one that we all recognize and we need to treat both sides of
the body.

5 Third, there needs to be secure funding for grassroots victims' 6 organizations. It's critical to a coordinated response to mass casualty events first but, 7 even more so, it's critical for providing the day-to-day support to crime victims which 8 thereby reduces the likelihood of revictimization and of those victims themselves 9 becoming offenders.

10 So this is -- well supported, well funded ubiquitous organizations 11 like this across the country help to avoid the negative outcomes of which we'll otherwise 12 obtain -- if not avoid, help to reduce and attenuate the negative outcomes of 13 victimization.

The third area that we wanted to raise as a recommendation for the 14 15 Commission to consider is the coordinated long-term proactive outreach to victims. 16 Early intervention counselling is key to help stabilizing victims and preventing them developing maladaptive coping strategies, to facilitating better victim understanding and, 17 of course, to determine the immediate mental health needs of the victim. This facilitates 18 mental and physical recovery, so coordinating each victim's response to mass casualty 19 events differently. As Mr. Gupta said, there's a lot of things that are similar, but it's an 20 21 individual's experience and it's going to change between individuals, but also, the needs 22 of individuals change over time. And that's why this isn't a one-stop fix.

It's not a website consultation. It's something that needs to befollowed and coordinated.

25 Services need to be personalized, counselling, compensation, 26 employment services, legal services, et cetera. They need to be personalized and tied 27 to the specific needs of the specific individual. This obviously requires professionals 28 trained in victim-centred approach and trauma-informed strategies, and that's why we

need strong, coordinated grassroot NGO organizations to work along with the other
 parties and stakeholders.

The need to coordinate victims with support organizations best 3 suited to their individual needs with real-time feedback, as Maria said earlier -- real-time 4 feedback as to what's working and what's not working so improvement can be achieved 5 because we're on a journey of improvement. There's no such thing as perfection. 6 7 We're going to have problems again. There's going to be mass casualty events. But 8 what we can do, as Maria said, is to be absolutely humble and honest, rigorously 9 honest, about what's good and what's not good. Remove the self from the issue, remove the ego and study the 10 results of what we're doing and then we'll improve. We can get better. We can do 11 better. 12 Outreach needs to be long term. Trauma manifests itself in 13 different ways over time. See the expert evidence in the -- I think it was the Batoi 14 15 (phon.) Island attack. 16 Psychological counselling, employment support, long-term compensation. All or some of these will be necessary for some individuals, some less 17 18 SO. The outreach must be proactive. It cannot be reactive. This is 19 important and it touches what I said earlier with respect to knowing who you're dealing 20 with in an individualized way and making the effort to include them and make them 21 22 understand the information, which may mean accessing things outside the box, 23 accessing people outside the majority. 24 And so it is that many individuals are reluctant to seek help for a number of reasons. One is they're traumatized, they're frozen, right. 25 Another one is they might be marginalized. They don't have the 26 27 skills, even if things were good, to be able to try to navigate these troubled waters. And then they might have good reason not to trust authorities. 28

They might have had experiences that have saw them be alienated from those people who are actually trying to help them. So this requires an acknowledgement and an understanding and recognition of that reality so that we don't go applying a square peg to a round hole. So proactive outreach, key to connecting to needed services. Success at this helps to alleviate long-term consequences of crime.

6 So l've tried to hit not everything, but three areas that we think are 7 important, put some flesh on those bones, and ask the Commission to consider those 8 three areas. One, support for victims' rights, acknowledging that it is a fundamental 9 human right. Two, funding victims' services groups on a consistent and long-term 10 basis. And three, long-term coordinated outreach. Victim-centred approach, trauma-11 informed approach through this lens can only make things better.

I'll finish by saying this. The Commission -- Mass Casualty 12 Commission had some time to put together, and plan, and implement the Commission, 13 the three phases that have been -- are in the process of being done. But there's a 14 15 lesson perhaps there too to listen to the families, how they interacted and what their 16 response is, and how they feel about the process of something that was able to be planned without having to react immediately to a mass casualty event. And I think there 17 may be some valuable insight with respect to the journey of improvement that even the 18 MCC will experience and have experienced, and listen to the families and know that we 19 could do better and we will do better. 20

21 Thank you.

22

MR. JAMIE VanWART: Thank you, Mr. Foord.

I just wanted to turn to Michelle Seaman and draw upon your
experience with the RCMP. And I understand you had a comment you wanted to share
about a victim-centred approach to addressing some of these issues?

MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Thank you so much. And I would also
like to acknowledge the victims, survivors, families, and everyone who has been
impacted by this terrible tragedy and who are at the heart of this important work that we

1 all are committed to.

2	I won't take much of your time, Commissioners, but thank you for
3	the opportunity. We're very grateful to be here, to be part of this conversation.
4	My role is to lead the RCMP Victims of Crime Section, which is
5	established at the National Headquarters within Crime Prevention, Indigenous Policing
6	Services within the Contract and Indigenous Policing Branch.
7	It was began in 2019, building upon lessons learned through our
8	work with the Family Information Liaison Units that were established to support family
9	members during the Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls National
10	Inquiry.
11	What we learned through this work was that it was important to
12	work in a trauma-informed and culturally-responsive way with the families. And we did
13	that in collaboration with the Family Information Liaison Units when they wanted they
14	were supporting families who reached into the RCMP about their loved ones' cases.
15	Those principles of trauma-informed and victim-centred approaches
16	are what guide the Victims of Crime Section at the RCMP.
17	We are our mandate is to support frontline members, provide
18	effective, timely, and informed support to victims that reduces the harmful effects of
19	victimization and revictimization, is intended to provide a victim's lens and
20	considerations of intersectionality to the development and co-development of policies,
21	procedures, training, awareness, and tools and other resources that need to be used
22	when we're interacting with victims. We want to enhance law enforcement response to
23	build trust and respectful interactions through considerations of this intersectionality.
24	There is a lot of important work that is going on, as may have been
25	already discussed. We understand that understanding trauma-informed approaches
26	from a broad scope is important and there is national training developed for that.
27	But as we have heard, responses to victims are very personal,
28	they're very they need to be very specific and consider all the aspects that are the

layers of the identity of those victims, whether it be in a mass casualty response, 1 whether it be in a homicide or missing person situation, or hate crimes. We need to 2 consider the victim's lens in all the work that we do, and that the RCMP recognized the 3 importance of establishing a centre for victims' issues in the RCMP. 4 We at the National Headquarters work in collaboration and 5 cooperation with our partners in divisions. As I sit here with my colleague Julia Rustad, 6 7 who represents H Division in Nova Scotia, we have a national working group of our 8 RCMP colleagues responsible for victims services for the RCMP that now comes 9 together to discuss issues that are going on, what are challenges we're all facing, and 10 building upon the good work that's being done at various levels to create national consistent approaches, yet that allow the flexibility for divisions to respond to the needs 11 of their communities and their specific geographical differences and other aspects. 12 The Victims of Crime Section also works in collaboration with the 13 Federal/Provincial/Territorial Working Group for Victims of Crime. And that's an 14 15 important collaboration because we're talking about other levels of government. And so 16 through that conduit, we're able to be linked to issues that are impacting across the country and bring that in and work on that together collaboratively. 17 We also participate on the Public Safety Portfolio for Victims. 18 And also, I wanted to highlight that we are also participants on the 19 Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police Victims of Crime Committee that is currently 20 working on a trauma-informed framework for police across Canada. 21 22 So I thought it was important to mention that because there is some 23 important information that's been shared by our Participants today talking about the 24 importance of having national frameworks that link all of the service providers together. so building upon the great work that's being done by another CACP committee on mass 25 casualty events, we're now going to be focused also on a broad-based trauma-informed 26 27 framework that will create abroad scope impact, but then will be able to be linked to specific responses for specific types of trauma and harms. 28

1 The Victims of Crime Section at the RCMP is also responsible for 2 the Victims Assistance Policy. The national policy outlines our obligations under the 3 *Canadian Victim Bill of Rights* and also for referrals to victim services. It provides the 4 guidance that is necessary for our front-line members to understand their obligations in 5 these areas.

That's an important policy. That creates a national standard that divisions can then build upon, should they have special needs or other impacts that they need to account for.

9 The importance of having the national Victims of Crime Sector is to help bring that collaboration, to help with the consistent sharing of information, of raising 10 issues that may be being dealt with in certain jurisdictions to a national perspective and 11 engaging those resources that could be -- lend themselves to either creating, or 12 codeveloping, or liaising with our partners on a national approach that then can be used 13 by divisions to help support their specific needs that they can take and build upon. 14 15 I bring this to you, Commissioners, because I think it's important to 16 understand that we're working hard to link various partners and stakeholders, both within the RCMP and with our external partners within government and non-government 17 agencies. We're very committed to continually looking at what we're learning from the 18 important work that's being done and integrating that into the work as we move forward. 19 We're very grateful for the opportunity to speak to you today, and thank you. 20 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Thank you so much. As I see our time 21 22 together this afternoon is coming to a close, I would be remiss not to turn to the

23 Commissioners and ask if there's any comments or questions that you have of any of

24 our Participants this afternoon.

25

Commissioner MacDonald?

COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: No questions, just a comment to
 thank all of you for your powerful and poignant, very poignant presentations, and to
 thank you for your very practical recommendations. Greatly appreciate it.

1	MR. JAMIE VanWART: And Commissioner Fitch?
2	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Just a point of clarification because I'm
3	not sure if I heard it correctly. Did I hear that one of the restraints of current victims'
4	legislation is that the onus is on the victim to seek information from service providers?
5	MR. JAMES FOORD: It's on request.
6	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Pardon me?
7	MR. JAMES FOORD: On request. It's on request.
8	Go ahead.
9	MS. SUE O'SULLIVAN: Basically, the Canadian Victim Bill of
10	Rights says that a victim may have access to obviously information, protection, support,
11	but information upon request. And so how is a victim supposed to know what their
12	rights are unless somebody tells them what their rights are? So you don't have a
13	regulation, no, you don't have a right.
14	So to so there are I apologise, I should have mentioned, I had
15	the privilege and honour of being Federal Ombudsman for Victims of Crime for over
16	seven years, so I'm very familiar with the former the recently Heidi Illingsworth [sic]
17	recommendations, a report she did on review of the Canadian Victim Bill of Rights and
18	the recommendations. And I would strongly encourage the Commission to look at those
19	recommendations and where we are now, because there are significant
20	recommendations that are in place in relation to that.
21	And you know, James raised one issue around remedy. We have a
22	very weak remedy when your rights are abridged, but that doesn't stop the agencies
23	that have to respond in ensuring those victims are aware of doing everything they can
24	to make sure they are aware of what their rights, and I would argue, ahead of time, not
25	while they're in the midst of a crisis or trauma because your ability to retain that
26	information or even absorb that information you're, number one, and I think Sush very
27	poignantly and, you know, talked about what somebody goes through when they're
28	getting the worst news of their life about what has happened and their ability to do that.

So -- and I could go on, as -- but I won't, but I would just say that there is many recommendations that are available, and I think one of the challenges for us today was the limited time, and that's why we're very much looking forward to being -- providing a written submission which will address in a much more comprehensive way all those stages.

But the other is I just want to -- on the last comments from 6 7 Ms. Seaman there. We're very familiar with PCBI and the provincial groups. As a 8 matter of fact, I consistently send to Ms. Bouchard, who heads the -- that committee, 9 information on what we're doing. But one of the messages I think has to come out of this is we're talking about how do you take research to action, not just look at best 10 practices, is the question that you posed when I -- I only read a couple of the protocols 11 that need to be in play, but the question is how do you operationalise that? How do you 12 turn that into actin? Tell me why this is important. It requires, as Maria I think quite 13 eloquently talked about, it requires a cultural shift, and that cultural shift is not 14 something that you can mandate to members, they need to understand the why and 15 16 then they -- then their next question is to tell me how.

And so by giving the training, the knowledge, and the skills and putting in place those expertise, no matter what model you follow, the meat goes basically it's going to be an important part in making sure that victims' rights are respected and they are provided the supports that they need in the short, medium, and long-term.

22 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Thank you. That fully answered my 23 question, and the reason I asked is I did hear you talk about the broad vision and the 24 work that's being done with the RCMP on a national level and the adherence to the 25 legislation on the Victims' Bill of Rights. And so to me, that is -- it's one thing to adhere 26 to what's currently there, it's another really challenge that that is a piece of legislation 27 that needs to be, I think as you said, basically turned on its head.

28

So I just wanted to confirm I heard that correctly from both parties.

1 Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER STANTON: I have a couple of questions for 2 Ms. Rustad and Ms. Seaman. I'm not entirely clear, and this follows a bit from what 3 Ms. Sullivan [sic] just said, how the work of your units relate to the family liaison role 4 that we've heard a fair bit about in this Inquiry that's been fulfilled by Constable Bent. 5 So Ms. Seaman, do I understand that your unit is a research unit? 6 7 MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: No, we're not a research unit, we're a 8 direct support to Operations in terms of developing policy, procedures, tools, such as 9 the Canadian Victim Bill of Rights wallet card for members, which is something I didn't 10 mention specifically, but that's just an example of a tool that's intended for frontline offices to assist in their work. 11 Related, Commissioner, to your question about the family liaison 12 role, that's an investigative position that's not within our purview. That is something we 13 will be working with the National Office of Investigational Standards and Practices to talk 14 about a victims lens that that position can have in terms of its work. But that's -- we --15 16 my responsibility for the victims of crimes, sorry, is to deal with victim assistance in terms of the victims services aspect, the -- or adherence to the Canadian Victim Bill of 17 Rights, and tools related to trauma-informed culturally responsive interactions with 18 victims. 19 So when we're trying to help support our officers who are sitting 20 down with, let's say, an Indigenous family, with respect to their missing loved one, it's 21 22 around helping them understand what kind of protocols should be in place, who can 23 they work with to best meet the needs of that particular family when they're sharing 24 information, for example.

But the family liaison role is a -- is an investigative position, and it's in the Major Case Management sphere. That is not within our purview. However, we will provide support to the team that's responsible for that should they wish any input from a victim's lens or a trauma-informed lens.

1	COMMISSIONER STANTON: So Ms. Rustad, your unit is the
2	H-Div component of the unit that Ms. Seaman is describing?
3	MS. JULIA RUSTAD: (Inaudible response)
4	COMMISSIONER STANTON: So can you tell me, then, how your
5	unit has engaged with any of the families in the mass casualty that we're talking about?
6	MS. JULIA RUSTAD: So there's two parts, the victims service
7	RCMP, victims service at the detachment level where there's volunteers, and the
8	responsibility falls on the Detachment Commander and the frontline members. And I
9	also manage the High-Risk IPP Team coordinators.
10	So in terms of the liaison officer, we are we would be assisting
11	that officer, the investigative part of it. So if they had questions or they needed a link
12	with Provincial Victims Services for criminal injuries counselling, we were that we
13	were that link.
14	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Okay. So I had understood
15	Constable Bent to be part of the MCU, and so that's clear, but I wasn't aware of the
16	and I'm aware of the Provincial Victims Services role, but I wasn't aware of an
17	H-Division Victims Services role. So has there been active engagement by your unit in
18	the response here?
19	MS. JULIA RUSTAD: We have had requests from members. Our
20	authority to support victims comes from police officers, comes from members. They
21	reach out and ask. So we have had requests from members to reach out and support
22	victims.
23	COMMISSIONER STANTON: So it's activated by members of the
24	RCMP
25	MS. JULIA RUSTAD: Yeah.
26	COMMISSIONER STANTON: as opposed to another okay.
27	MS. JULIA RUSTAD: Yes, that's correct.
28	COMMISSIONER STANTON: And so do the working groups and

committees and units that are all represented here review recommendations in a
systematic way from inquests or inquiries? Because there's been quite a number that
have made recommendations with respect to how victims require support and the kinds
of work that needs to be done to better respond in these circumstances. And I'm just
wondering how or if there's a review that's done of recommendations when they are -when they are released? Ms. Seaman?

MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Oh, sorry. Yes, sorry, thank you.
So for example, the review that was mentioned by CO Sullivan, by
the Federal Ombudsman for Victims of Crime on the *Canadian Victim Bill of Rights*, that
is certainly something we are reviewing, as we're reviewing and renewing our National
Victims Assistance Policy that I referred to earlier that outlines the guidelines to our
frontline members on their responsibilities under the *Canadian Victim Bill of Rights* and
for victim service referrals. So that's one example.

We're relatively new. I mean, established in 2019. But we respond to senior level direction, as a result of reviews of certain inquiry documents. So for example, the response from the Colten Boushie report definitely we received direction as a result of that analysis to address and make changes and amendments to the Victim Assistance Policy, for example.

COMMISSIONER STANTON: And sorry, who did that analysis?
 MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: So the -- I believe it was the -- there's
 a coordination team with the National Headquarters ---

22 COMMISSIONER STANTON: Within CNIP? The policy centre 23 within CNIP?

MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: No, more broadly. Like, within the -it's -- I believe it's the Strategic Branch that looked at that. and then it came -- it went public complaint. For that particular one, it came through the CRCC that would come in through the Professional Responsibilities Office and through the National Complaints Directorate, and they would track responses and our actions with respect to reports 1 from the CRCC.

2	So that direction came into Contract and Indigenous Policing
3	Branch through the National Public Complaints Directorate, and then we respond to
4	that. So that's one example, but there are many, as have been discussed at the
5	Commission, many inquiries. And so there are different it depends on the source.
6	So for example, the National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered
7	Indigenous Women and Girls, those report recommendations would also be looked at
8	from a strategic level, and then they would be looked at by particular branches of the
9	RCMP that would have to respond.
10	COMMISSIONER STANTON: So I guess what I'm trying to identify
11	is
12	MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER STANTON: who is responsible for reviewing
14	recommendations when they're made and then how are those recommendations, if
15	adopted internally, translated into policy? And then how is that policy disseminated to
16	members?
17	MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Because it seems to me, from
19	everything we've heard, that there are layers and layers of units within the RCMP that
20	may address pieces of our mandate, but I'm trying to figure out how best to reach the
21	right place for, say if we make recommendations with respect to this area, to whom
22	should they go? And then how do we know who to check back with in terms of
23	accountability for adoption and transmission to members?
24	MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: I will have to get back to you. I'm not
25	sure, at the senior level, what the decision I would assume that the recommendations
26	are directed to the Commissioner, and then the Commissioner can
27	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Yeah, sorry. I don't mean, like,
28	MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Sorry.

COMMISSIONER STANTON: --- the name of the person, ---1 MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN: Yeah. 2 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** --- but I'm looking at the structures 3 of what we're hearing about, because this unit that you represent, and the one that Ms. 4 Rustad represents, have been featured prominently so far in our -- in the record that we 5 have. And so I just want to make sure that I understand how things are structured so 6 7 that we can make sure that we're engaging the right component of the organization and 8 institution, and then from there we can ensure that the recommendations make sense to 9 the people who have to implement them. **MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN:** Well, as I said, it would go up to our 10 commissioner, who would then direct it, I think, to strategic -- to their Strategic Advisor. 11 And then it comes down to the specific branches. 12 So for us specifically, if you're looking, it would come through the 13 Contract and Indigenous Policing Branch. So at the deputy level, then it would come 14 down to us through that. So from the Commissioner to the Deputy of Contract and 15 16 Indigenous Policing and through -- I'm not sure if that answers your question or not, but 17 ____ **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** It does. And then from you, when 18 you're developing the protocols that you're developing, and I assume because you were 19 established in 2019 that you may in fact have been established because of some of the 20 recommendations that were coming out of some of the previous inquiries that found the 21 22 RCMP wanting in this area. So I'm just surmising. But from you then, you're 23 developing policy. How are you -- how are you tracking whether that policy gets 24 transmitted to front-line members, implemented by the divisions, for example, that you correlate with? 25 **MS. MICHELLE SEAMAN:** So my section is responsible for only 26 27 one national policy. However, we provide a victims' lens and review of other operational

policies. So for -- personally for the responsibility area that I have, we're -- we are in the

process of renewing. So if that -- once we prepare the recommended changes to the 1 policy, that goes through -- we consult broadly internally with our -- with various 2 partners, including divisional representatives, depending on the area, plus our criminal 3 operations, National Criminal Operations Officer would then help link us to the working 4 level criminal operations. So they'll look at what the impacts of our policy would have. 5 We track that. We track the recommendations, the feedback from those 6 7 recommendations, and then we make -- once we've gotten that feedback from our 8 external and internal consultations, we assess that, we make a final recommendation, 9 and then that proceeds up from my team up to the Director General of National Criminal -- Crime Prevention and Indigenous Policing Services to the Deputy -- to the ACom of 10 Contract and Indigenous Policing. So it would go up through that channel. 11 So we consult, we -- then we assess those recommendations. 12 Then we get approval from the deputy level, and then we would go to publication. 13 Once it's published, then it's -- we would have to do timely reviews 14 15 of how that work and if those changes have been implemented. So if we're -- we would 16 need to do check, for example, on victim service referrals to see how that is doing. But we have not, in my time, because we're relatively new, we're in the process of doing 17 that, reviewing the one national policy that I am currently responsible for. 18 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And sorry, just to remind us of 19 which policy? 20 **MS. MICHELLE STANTON:** It's the National -- it's Operational 21 22 Manual 37.6, Victims Assistance Policy. 23 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Okay. Great. Thank you. And so I guess I would just ask, when people are making submissions, that they identify for us 24 the pathways for implementation. So identify the bodies that would be accountable for 25 the actioning of the policies that have been described. And so to Ms. Sullivan's point, 26 27 it's important, of course, to develop the policies with the kind of input that you're talking about, and certainly with consultation. But then determining how those get 28

operationalized, but importantly, by whom, and ensuring that we know to whom to direct 1 our recommendations to ensure that that actually occurs. 2 So it's -- I just want to invite people making their lengthy 3 submissions to please put with those submissions the pathways that we can include for 4 ensuring the recommendations are pragmatic and implementable. 5 6 Thanks very much. 7 **MR. JAMIE VanWART:** Thank you, Commissioners. That does 8 bring to an end our Participants consultation with victim advocacy organisations this 9 afternoon. And before we conclude, though, I would like to thank each of our 10 guests for being out with us this afternoon, including our presenters that are no longer 11 with us in this session, but your level of engagement and your thoughtful comments I 12 think will be -- prove to be very helpful to the Commissioners, and I thank you all for 13 your attendance and contribution today. 14 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And thank you, Mr. VanWart, for 15 16 your facilitation of the session. And again, our thanks as Commissioners to all of the 17 representatives from -- of course from Toronto Police Service and Peel Regional Police 18 Service for their helpful presentations, and to all of you who represent different aspects 19 of institutions, but also victim advocacy organisations. It's a productive and helpful 20 conversation for us in developing recommendations. We do look forward to more 21 22 conversations like this one in the remainder of this week. 23 Thanks also to Commission Counsel, Jennifer Cox, and the staff 24 who worked on the presentation that helped orient us all to the Environmental Scan of Prior Recommendations. And certainly, our thanks to the Commission's Research and 25 Policy Team for their tremendous research and preparation of that comprehensive 26 27 environmental scan. It'll be available on our website, along with the Discussion Guide, to help support anyone interested in making a suggestion for recommendations. 28

1	On Wednesday, we'll have a Participant consultation with
2	representatives for gender-based organizations.
3	Thanks again, everyone, and see you on Wednesday.
4	REGISTRAR: Proceedings are adjourned until August 31st, at
5	9:30 a.m.
6	Upon adjourning at 4:48 p.m.
7	
8	CERTIFICATION
9	
10	I, Wendy Clements, a certified court reporter, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be
11	an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so
12	swear.
13	
14	Je, Wendy Clements, une sténographe officiel, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
15	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et
16	je le jure.
17	
18	Williment
19	Wendy Clements
20	