

The Joint Federal/Provincial Commission into the April 2020 Nova Scotia Mass Casualty MassCasualtyCommission.ca

Commission fédérale-provinciale sur les événements d'avril 2020 en Nouvelle-Écosse CommissionDesPertesMassives.ca

### **Public Hearing**

### **Audience publique**

#### **Commissioners / Commissaires**

The Honourable / L'honorable J. Michael MacDonald, Chair / Président Leanne J. Fitch (Ret. Police Chief, M.O.M) Dr. Kim Stanton

#### **VOLUME 63**

Held at : Tenue à:

Halifax Convention Centre 1650 Argyle Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 0E6

Wednesday, August 31, 2022

Centre des congrès d'Halifax 1650, rue Argyle Halifax, Nouvelle-Écosse B3J 0E6

Mercredi, le 31 août 2022

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

www.irri.net
(800)899-0006

## II Appearances / Comparutions

Ms. Gillian Hnatiw Commission Counsel /

Conseillère de la commission

Ms. Emily Hill Commission Counsel /

Conseillère de la commission

# III Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
Participant Consultations: Gender-based organizations	1

## IV Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No DESCRIPTION PAGE

None entered

1	Halifax, Nova Scotia
2	Upon commencing on Wednesday, August 31, 2022 at 9:46 a.m.
3	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Bonjour et bienvenue. Hello and
4	welcome.
5	We join you from Mi'gma'gi, the ancestral and unceded territory of
6	the Mi'kmaq.
7	Please join us in remembering those whose lives were taken, those
8	who were harmed, their families, and all those affected by the April 2020 mass casualty
9	in Nova Scotia.
10	Today we'll hear from representatives from local, provincial and
11	national gender-based organizations as part of our Participant consultations in Phase 3
12	of the Inquiry. I will now call on Gillian Hnatiw and Emily Hill, Senior Commission
13	Counsel, to co-facilitate today's sessions.
14	Ms. Hnatiw?
15	PARTICIPANT CONSULTATIONS: GENDER-BASED ORGANIZATIONS
16	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you, Commissioner Fitch.
17	Good morning, Commissioners. Good morning to everyone who's
18	able to join us today.
19	As you know, today is part of the Commission's Phase 3 work in
20	which we build on what we have learned through Phases 1 and 2 and refine our
21	understanding to develop recommendations for the future.
22	So today is an opportunity for the Commissioners to hear directly
23	from Participants about key issues and potential avenues for reform in connection with
24	gender-based violence and intimate partner violence, which are explicit parts of our
25	mandate. Your feedback, ideas, suggestions, discussion today will help the
26	Commissioners to develop effective and meaningful recommendations related to its
27	mandate.
28	Today's session will be forward looking. We will not be engaging

1	with the factual record of what happened during the mass casualty. That is something
2	that will happen during the written and oral submissions from Participants later in this
3	process, but we'll we look forward to hearing from all of you about practical, effective
4	programs, approaches and best practices, either existing ones or proposed ones, and
5	other concrete proposals for reform.
6	This morning's session is titled "Prevention and Non-Carceral
7	Intervention in Gender-Based and Intimate Partner Violence". And I'm just going to
8	quickly go around the room and introduce the participants who are able to join us today.
9	So starting to the Commissioners' left, we're joined for the
10	Transition Houses Association of Nova Scotia represented today by Emily Stewart and
11	Dawn Ferris. Ms. Stewart is the Executive Director of Third Place in Truro and Ms.
12	Ferris is the Executive Director of Autumn House in Amherst.
13	Next we have Sue Bookchin, who is the co-founder and Executive
14	Director of Be The Peace Institute.
15	Beside her is Kristina Fifield, who is a social worker and sexual
16	assault trauma therapist with Avalon Sexual Assault Centre in Halifax.
17	Next to her we have Jeanne Sarson and Linda Macdonald, who are
18	the co-founders of Feminists Fighting Femicide and Persons Against Non-State Torture
19	To my left we have Dr. Maryanne Pearce, who is here representing
20	both Dr. Maryanne Pearce and, next to her, Superintendent Kim Taplin, who are on
21	behalf of the RCMP in Canada. Dr. Pearce is the Special Advisor, National Crime
22	Prevention and Indigenous Policing Services, and Superintendent Taplin is the head of
23	National Crime Prevention and Indigenous Policing Services.
24	And then beside them we have Kaitlin Geiger-Bardswich, who's the
25	Director of Communications, Development and Grants for Women's Shelters Canada.
26	So we look forward to having a robust discussion with the
27	individuals around the table today.
28	Virtually, we are also joined by Professor Katreena Scott from

- 1 Western University in Ontario. And Professor Scott is a clinical psychologist and the
- 2 incoming Director of the Centre for Research and Education on Violence Against
- 3 Women and Children at Western University.
- 4 Professor Scott also authored a report for the Commission titled
- 5 "When We Know Something Is Wrong: Secondary and Tertiary Intervention to Address
- 6 Abuse Perpetration".
- And we're going to start this morning's session with a presentation
- 8 from Dr. Scott.
- 9 So without further ado, I will pass the microphone to her.
- DR. KATREENA SCOTT: Thank you very much.
- I very much appreciate the opportunity to have written this report
- and to contribute my thoughts and review to some of the things that we might think
- about changing to ensure that things like this don't happen in the future.
- My focus is going to be, as said, on what we do when we know that
- there's abuse. And my focus is going to be mostly on what we do to address those
- people who are perpetrating harm that are using abusive behaviour.
- And by abuse, I just want to quickly clarify that I mean not only
- physical abuse, but verbal, emotional abuse, degrading, financial, spiritual abuse,
- actions that violate victims' sense of safety, emotionally and physically, that create
- dependency, subordination, or entrapment in relationships, that violate a victim's
- autonomy, rights, and freedoms, often by controlling and disrupting their activities, or
- 22 the -- and/or that undermine survivors' credibility and make them doubt the reality of
- their experiences.
- As I do this, and as I go through a few ideas, I'm going to use the
- 25 analogy of a heart attack to think about how we might respond when things are going
- wrong. I do that to help us think about different layers and to help us envision what a
- 27 response could look like, but I do also want to recognize that there is some limitations
- with that analogy, because in the case of a heart attack, the person we're most

- concerned about is the person who is suffering the heart attack. In this case, our
- 2 concern is for the individuals around a perpetrator who are being harmed by abusive
- 3 behaviour.
- So to start, I want to start at the basic broadest possible level of
- 5 how we respond or fail to respond when somebody uses abusive behaviour.
- In the case of a heart attack, I want us to think about what we all
- 7 know and how we know it. In the case of a heart attack, because of signs, because of
- 8 education, because of mass public investment in recognizing the importance of heart
- 9 attacks, we all know that if someone is experiencing pain in their chest or pain in their
- arm and their shoulder, if they have shortness of breath, we all recognize this and say,
- "Woah. Wait a second. What's happening?" We ask questions. And then what we
- know is we know we need to get emergency services involved.
- 13 What about abuse perpetration? What do we do when we -- how is
- the -- what is the equivalent when we think about abuse?
- One of the things that we know from domestic violence, death
- review, committee reports, is that very, very often, we don't know, and we don't see,
- and we don't recognize risk factors and warning signs of abuse perpetration.
- So we know from Ontario's review that in 70 percent of domestic
- 19 violence related deaths and femicides, there are seven or more well known and
- 20 established risk factors that were there, but were missed. Things like violence in other
- relationships, obsessive behaviour by the perpetrator, fear of the victim, separation,
- recent or potential separation. So we often don't recognize the warning signs and risk
- 23 factors.
- If we do recognize the warning signs and risk factors, we often
- don't know how to lean in and have a conversation. What are our scripts to say, "Hey,
- what's going on? Are you okay? How do we -- how can I help? How -- I'm concerned
- about what I'm seeing." And even if we do have some of those scripts to lean in and
- 28 have those conversations, we don't know who or where to call to get specialized help

1 involved.

The result of -- we often then lean away and guard the impact the 2 result of abusive behaviours, or at worse, we blame the victim for their own 3 victimization. We may lean away and reduce ties, thereby increasing victim isolation. 4 We may, very harmfully, encourage people to work it out and to solve it themselves, 5 which doesn't recognize the danger and the risk that a survivor or victim faces. And 6 7 fundamentally, by not saying anything, by not doing anything, by not noticing, what we 8 do is we empower perpetrators to continue to behave abusively. 9 So there are many solutions for this first level, this broad recognition level. Many of them come in broad public education campaigns like 10 Neighbours, Friends, and Families, that teach everybody about warning signs and risk 11 factors for abuse, about workplace-based education around signs and responses to 12 domestic violence, interventions like Nova Scotia's men's helpline that have broad 13 messaging to engage men themselves or men's families in getting help, messages that 14 are both general around the ability to reach out and get help, and specific so that people 15 16 learn to recognize and respond to indicators of abuse. There are also many places where we should have targeted 17 messaging. This includes major transitions, like the time of transition to fatherhood, or 18 the transition into a marriage, or the transition out of a marriage. There's also a need 19 for messaging at retirement. There needs to be messaging in multiple languages that 20 are specifically targeted to immigrant refugee populations, to racialized -- to the realities 21 22 of racialized and Indigenous populations, and to the realities of rural populations. So that is my first level, our broad level of response when things go 23 24 wrong, what we all should be able to do. My second level is my level of, I'm going to call it my CPR level 25 when we think about a heart attack. Because heart attacks are common, we've decided 26 27 that a wide range of people need to know hat to do, how to provide immediate assistance, and where to turn to, and how to make sure that you can support someone 28

until they get help. So if you're a lifeguard, if you're a health and safety rep, if you're a coach, if you run a shelter, if you're a medical professional, what you need to do is you need to know CPR.

What about abuse perpetration? What is the equivalent? Well, again, one of the most common and most often repeated recommendations from domestic violence death review committees is for professional education, because there are a wide range of -- there is a very poor recognition and understanding within our health social services of domestic violence risk factors, warning signs, and response.

So even though domestic violence is core business, and by core business, I mean it's a substantial percentage of cases in child protection, in just and policing, in substance use services, in mental health services, and health services, even though that is the case, domestic violence education is not core, responses and coordination of responses around domestic violence perpetration is not core business in those organizations.

And it should be better. Everybody in those positions should be able to open a door to a conversation with a perpetrator about their abusive behaviour should help make accountability statements around, "This is not okay. This is behaviour that's concerning. This is behaviour that's harmful," that have in their head always, "What is this like for the person at home? What is it like for the person this person is living with and their children? And what kind of risks do I need to be aware of?"

Because we don't have this, what is -- so what is needed there is we need to close the gaps in our system that create a web of accountability so that there's enhanced coordination, collaboration, and information sharing around, from policing, and justice, and addictions, and child protection, and mental health.

There are various models that are possible, including community-based coordinating committees, high risk committees, colocation, cross agency secondments. This is consistent with some of the recommendations talked about yesterday as well. But whatever the model, it's really necessary to be able to ask, "Who

- is following up with the perpetrator? How is the level of dynamic risk being monitored?
- 2 Who is communicating this information back to the survivor? If one form of intervention
- doesn't work, how do we know, what's the plan, and what are we doing next?"
- This is important because without it, what ends up happening is
- that we have multiple loop holes in our systems that explicitly condone abuse and that
- leave the burden of risk management on the victim's survivors. So they're left alone to
- try to deal with abusive behaviours. We miss opportunities to engage with him, and we
- 8 miss opportunities to monitor and respond to risk.
- 9 Here there are multiple examples as well of programs and services
- that create coordination and referral. Many of them have been piloted in Canada,
- shown to be effective, and then because they are sort of limited funding, demonstration
- projects, they haven't been picked up.
- So examples are programs that help police, for example, recognize
- risk factors immediately upon a call for a domestic where even a -- where a charge isn't
- being laid, help to link perpetrators to services, help to do outreach to survivors to get
- interventions right away; programs that run through Child Protection Services,
- 17 recognizing that a lot of child protection is domestic violence, helping Child Protection
- Service engage better with men as fathers, and work with domestic violence risk
- factors; programs that link both substance-use programs and mental health programs
- 20 much more tightly and closely with programs and services for domestic violence, so that
- the co-occurring problems can be dealt with.
- 22 I'm going to turn now to the third level, and that is the level of a
- specialist. So we've talked now about level one, how do we all understand risk factors,
- 24 what do we need to do in that middle space, the set of professionals that should be able
- to recognize and respond with an immediate risk management response and getting
- somebody into specialized services, but what about specialized services? And so this,
- in that case of a heart attack, would be our medical professionals that would assess
- whether or not medication is needed, or surgery is needed, and follow up.

What do we need in terms of domestic violence perpetrator
services? Do we have any treatments and are they useful? I think that in order to
answer that question, I'm going to start with a question of whether or not change is
possible because I think many times we have been hesitated to act because we worry
about whether or not change is possible. Here, the research and study and follow-up is
clear. Between one third and two thirds of those who engage in abusive behaviour go
on to end their use of abusive behaviour by victim report, by police report, by other
report, and by self report. It is clear that we need to expect, and we should and can
expect abusive behaviour to change.

Now, understanding and expecting behaviour to change and then asking whether or not treatment works is two different questions. At this point, we have had more than a dozen meta-analyses of whether or not intervention for abuse perpetration is effective at reducing abusive behaviour or not.

There are some limitations to those evaluations. The most important limitation though is that -- and meta-analysis have almost exclusively examined the kinds of treatment that is short-term, one-size-fits-all, group-based intervention. This is frustrating to me because since the early 2000s the limitations and the need for something other than a short-term, one-size-fits-all intervention has been known, has been advocated for by service providers, by survivors and by researchers.

When we ask does treatment work when we're asking about a short-term, one-size-fits-all intervention, the answer is there is a small impact of such interventions on recidivism, there is a small reduction in recidivism. But going back to the recommendations from the early 2000s repeated over and over again, we know that there are other things that need to happen and that there is change that needs to be done. One change is there needs to be adequate funding for interventions and adequate access.

A second recommendation is that the specialists who are providing that intervention need to be trained and well supported in developing the skills for this

- work. Work with abuse perpetrators like other domestic violence work is challenging,
- 2 requires a strong skillset, and there isn't a centralized location for getting that training.
- Almost all the training that happens in Canada happens on the job, in the work, and
- 4 that's an important place of training, but there's a need and an ability and a value to
- 5 developing work force capacity so that people have more consistent and reliable
- 6 training in the area.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

That then goes into so what do our intervention programs look like, what should they look like. What they should look like is not a one-size-fits-all. There should be in our interventions the ability to combine individual sessions that help

manage risk, that understand what the risk factors are, that make individualized plans to

reduce those risk factors, as well as group-based intervention to address some of the

core attitudes and behaviours that need to change for abusive behaviour.

But our interventions need to be flexible, and they need to be attuned to the specific needs of individual kinds of abuse perpetrators. Not all individuals who perpetrate abuse are the same. We know that there's high overlap between substance use problems and abusive behaviour. There is strong evidence that when we provide intervention that addresses those problems in combination with individuals who have those combined problems results are improved. And yet, we still - we had one program in Canada that did that and that was closed down a number of years ago.

We also know that there's a subset of abuse perpetrators, probably around 20 percent, where that they're most likely to repeat abuse quickly, they're most likely to engage in the most injurious and potentially lethal abusive behaviour, and they are the ones that need the most monitoring over time. One thing that's frustrating again about is these individuals tend to be in the system when they repeat abuse, and yet even in the system, that abuse if not often responded to. Strongly, it's often dismissed or not -- there's often not breaches, there's often not response from the criminal justice system.

But for those individuals where there's ongoing and concern about
abusive behaviour, we need to have interventions that are more intense. Those high-
risk individuals need more than 10 to 12 weeks of intervention. We need to be working
together with criminal justice partners and intervention partners to have strong
monitoring and follow-up of those higher risk men.

There's also a need and a value for programs that address the cooccurrence of intimate partner violence and violence against children. So we know that
there is an increased risk of abuse towards children with abuse towards partners and
we need to be able to provide interventions that address those combined risks. And it is
also true that we need to be able to provide interventions that are linked and that work
together with mental health services, so that we can address the complex needs that
are sometimes presented by perpetrators, particularly that have post-traumatic stress
disorder and who are using abusive behaviour.

Having a system of intervention such as this, where we have -- so my recommendation I guess is that we have augmented funding that could gradually grow a suite of service options that's flexible to meet the needs of men and that includes provisions for cross-agency work that facilitate a greater coordination of service.

Victim safety, including the safety of children, needs to remain a core and guiding priority of service, and as such, these programs need to maintain a strong link to services for survivors.

And finally, this system should include investments that can strengthen coordinated responses that bring together organizations within a community. These coordinated response processes would allow organizations to collaboratively plan for ways to address high-risk situations and seemingly intractable perpetrators to ensure that there are clear consequences and collective responsibility to address men's potentially ongoing abusive and violent behaviour, risk for behaviours, those behaviours and failure to apply -- to comply with court orders.

I just want to finish by saying that I think in this field, sometimes

- people have thrown up their hands and said, you know -- and said, well, we're not really
- sure what to do, or this is impossible, or there's really no sense in going down this
- direction. Men won't -- they won't attend services anyways. They won't voluntarily seek
- 4 services and they won't change. What I want to tell you and what I want to be a focus
- for our discussion is the fact that that is -- we know that that's not true. Men do access
- 6 services, they will reach out when offered the opportunity. Change is possible, we
- 5 should expect change, and we know a lot about how we need to improve interventions
- 8 for abuse perpetration. It needs -- we need to act on it. Thank you.
- 9 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you so much, Dr. Scott. I really
- appreciate the paper that you've written and the time you've taken today to sort of walk
- us through some key elements of the proposals that you include in that paper to sort of
- give food for thought to all of us, and to perhaps -- we may hear reflections or
- 13 responses to some of what you've said.
- We're going to now invite Participants to engage with the questions
- that we've identified might be of assistance. We do have a lot of topics and a lot of
- people today, so our hope is that we can do a round, have people focus their comments
- somewhere under the five-minute range, and so it'll fall to me to be the timekeeper. But
- I also note, just for those of you who are new to our work, that we do have
- interpretation, both language and -- French language and ASL, and so what I
- 20 understand is most helpful is to pause at the end of sentences if possible and give those
- 21 folks a chance to catch up.
- So the question that we wanted to start with is around the key
- challenges that you, through your work, through your research, through your
- experiences have seen to addressing gender-based and intimate partner violence. So
- 25 I'd like to just start, and I think Dawn, is it all right to turn to you, just to sort of start us off
- on that conversation.
- MS. DAWN FERRIS: Thank you very much, and good morning.
- I'm the Executive Director of the Cumberland County Transition House Association in

- Amherst, Nova Scotia, and we are the only provincially funded transition house. It also 1
- has a men's program under our umbrella. That men's program has seen some overhaul 2
- and changes in the last couple of years through the Department of Community 3
- Services, where we've moved away from calling them men's intervention programs and 4
- we're calling them Strengthening Fathers. So I'm speaking from a lens of actually 5
- supporting men in their want and in their decision to make changes in their lives to live 6
- 7 abuse and violence free, that experience.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8 So my main theme today would be to say that the biggest challenge

9 barrier would be funding. And it's not enough to just say funding, so I will break it down

into a couple of different points that would be helpful.

But when COVID hit, and the risk and the fear was that the escalation in homes was real and that lethality was at risk, both provincially and federally the governments committed to keeping women safe and committed dollars to that. And that was very effective, it was very important, but I think we now need that same commitment level to make the changes for men.

Because in the decades that we've been working on eliminating domestic violence we haven't had a lot of success of changing anything, really. We see women and children in the shelter now that were children of mothers in the shelter twenty-some, thirty-some years ago, and men, who are now in the men's program, who were children in shelter with their moms. So we really see the need to break the cycle, and to do that will take a commitment of funding that is large, but needed.

So the first point is that we have no proactive services for men in the system. And as Katreena Scott mentioned, the system is set up to keep women safe, but it also puts all the burden of her safety on her. And I am using male and female references because the majority of violence is perpetrated by men towards women, and so therefore, I don't need to be gender-neutral in this regard. But I just wanted to explain that.

So when charges are laid, and those charges happen 24/7, as we

- know, you -- always, in this domestic violence component, there's a no-contact order,
- and if those men don't have a means to support themselves with a hotel, or friends or
- family, because they're embarrassed, they're living on the streets on in their cars, and
- 4 that doesn't de-escalate anything. We have the 2-1-1 call in Nova Scotia, which is
- 5 great, but they can point them to programs that are Monday to Friday-based for men.
- So there's no proactive services that reach out to a man when a
- 7 charge is made to say "How can we help you? Do you have a bed to go to?" And
- 8 outside of HRM and Sydney where there is some homeless shelters, there is nothing in
- 9 rural communities in Nova Scotia for de-escalation and for the helping of men. So we
- have seen men, in our New Directions Program, living in their cars while they're
- 11 accessing programming. And I can't imagine anybody actually making change to their
- behaviours while they have not got the basic needs of living met.
- And so I think that there is huge gaps there that we could move
- forward on, and I think it would be important if we looked at things differently. Not to
- take away from the women's sector of keeping them safe because it's always going to
- be paramount, but to include the -- what if -- what if as a family they had an ability to
- decide who left the house and had someplace safe to go? And if a man had a, let's say
- a men's transitional housing for men who use/abuse to go to that's staffed 24/7 that can
- 19 help him adhere to the no contact order, because the narrative that they tell themselves
- is "I just wanted to talk to her, I just wanted to have an explanation, I just wanted to
- explain", and then breaches happen. And if somebody in that could say it's not the best
- course of action, he might be more likely say "you're absolutely right, I'm not going to
- reach out tonight." So I think that type of 24/7 proactive services from wraparound men
- 24 would really help change the narrative.
- The second point is we need to increase our mental health and
- addictions funding. We work in silos. We see men who we refer to Mental Health and
- Addictions, or they're on their waitlists and they're referred to us if it's domestic violence,
- but we are not the mental health and addictions experts. And again, without the basic

- needs of healthy living, people can't function in learning new behaviours and focus on
- 2 changing longstanding habits and belief systems when they're unable to be sober,
- 3 clean, and living healthy.
- And it's a really, really important thing that we see in rural,
- 5 especially, but I know in Nova Scotia overall, and probably in Canada, that our mental
- 6 health and addictions services are actually at breaking points and in crisis. But we need
- 7 to -- we need to focus on that as a help, and we need to work around and look at things
- 8 like case conferences to support the men. A case conference with a mental health
- 9 person and an addictions person. Like, we do and wraparound the women with the
- women's support when there's case conferences to help keep her safe. And this helps
- reduce the burden on the victim of keeping her safe by spreading the burden out
- through the systems, and also hoping that the men can keep themselves accountable
- through those types of models. So that would be my second point.
- I think to make changes we need a culture shift, and this will be the
- third point, would be funding to increase for core educational programs within our school
- systems. Catch them while they're young, and, combined with core programs and
- 17 education in all workplaces, so that we're having conversations with people in the
- 18 workforce and adults.
- 19 What we've learned through reports and people who have done the
- research, like Katreena Scott, is that men are likely to talk to trusted colleagues and
- family members, and if people have the tools to reach out and have those conversations
- or know how to respond to those conversations, things can start changing.
- And as we know, when you teach children in schools they can help
- make shifts in the homes. At some point in the eighties, the Ontario Government
- wanted to introduce recycling, and it wasn't really taking hold until they taught it in
- schools, and those children, with their jingles and their knowledge, went home and
- taught the parents and change happened, and all of a sudden recycling in Ontario was
- implemented.

1	And I think if we taught children that they can actually learn to see
2	something, say something, call out behaviours and help their friends, that it will actually
3	help. So two-pronged, two-fold educational support for in schools and workplaces.
4	Very needed.
5	And lastly, I believe we need to a change to the Criminal Code
6	system to include coercive control as both an offence, but also, as a as a defence.
7	And as we know, coercive control changes the person and victim in the way that they
8	think and so it needs to be done. Thank you.
9	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you so much for launching us into some
10	discussion with some really interesting and concrete suggestions. I appreciate that.
11	I'm going to turn now to Sue Bookchin to share her
12	MS. SUE BOOKCHIN: Thank you, and good morning. Thank you
13	for hosting this event. We have been kind of chomping at the bit to speak, and so we
14	appreciate the opportunity.
15	My organisation, Be the Peace Institute, is focussed more on the
16	systemic and structural forces that maintain intimate partner violence. I'd like to also
17	just honour the grief and anguish of the family members and friends and communities of
18	the people who were killed, and appreciate that this discussion about gender-based
19	violence may not feel helpful to them, but in a more systemic way the ways in which
20	people like the perpetrator are created and socialized, I think this is a critical discussion.
21	I'll just focus on the key challenge that I see, which is the social
22	narrative, which hasn't seemed to change much over the course of decades.
23	Mythologies that we hold about intimate partner violence, that it is inevitable, it's still a
24	private matter, it happens to other people who are not like us and it's not really that
25	serious most of the time.
26	People don't understand the complexities, they don't understand
27	the typologies and the continuum of how intimate partner violence exhibits itself. And
28	one needs to only look at mass media in the case, for instance, of Johnny Depp and

- 1 Amber Heard to understand that when the favour is toward the man who is committing
- 2 abuse in a public and mass media way, we have a problem.
- Misogyny is so deeply entrenched in all of our systems that have
- 4 been created in the past hundreds of years as to be virtually invisible to those with the
- 5 privilege to be unaffected by it. Racism and colonialism is the same. The supremacy of
- 6 whiteness, of male whiteness particularly, is embedded in all of our institutions, whether
- 7 it is visible or not.
- There is also still such a huge amount of shame and stigma and
- 9 guilt and self-blame and public blame and transgenerational trauma that we are not
- tending to and have very few tools to help people with.
- There is -- the question that we seem to ask when we hear about
- intimate partner violence is, "What's wrong with that woman that she was victimized like
- that?" as opposed to, "What's wrong with that man that he is using violent behaviour
- 14 and what happened here?".
- And so I think we need a significant public mass media campaign,
- we need to have public discourse, we need to have new opportunities for being able to
- talk about these issues and I think we need to involve our marketing experts because
- marketing and advertising works. And I think the other real challenge is sustained
- 19 political will beyond election cycles that can actually carry forward initiatives in a
- 20 sustained way.
- 21 It's the same with projects. So Katreena mentioned about pilot
- 22 projects, demonstration projects where there are really great ideas where the funding
- runs out and we're not sustaining those efforts, we're not evaluating those efforts, we're
- 24 not looking at whether those are effective. We're not collecting data about those efforts
- and then making sure that we amplify those efforts and resource them.
- And also, the Neighbours, Friends and Families material that was
- 27 also developed at Western University, which is when we did -- we did a lot of
- presentations in the first iteration of that in Nova Scotia several years ago and I can tell

- you that at every presentation I did with a church women's group or a group of
- 2 municipal politicians, there were disclosures in the room from people who had never
- 3 told anybody about their situation.
- 4 And so once we have the opportunities to open the public
- 5 conversations, we find out that it's way more common than people think and we can
- start to talk about it in a way that doesn't make people recede into their own shame and
- 7 trauma about it.
- 8 I'll leave that for now, but just to also pick up on what Dawn said
- 9 about children and youth is we have no comprehensive public health approach to
- 10 helping young people from a very early age and throughout their adolescences to deal
- with these kinds of issues, with relational issues, with emotional intelligence, with
- violence prevention, and I'll talk about that more when it comes to key
- 13 recommendations.
- 14 Thanks.
- 15 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you so much.
- 16 I'll turn to Kristina Fifield.
- MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Hello, everyone. Thank you for having
- 18 me here today.
- Just to go off of what Sue and Dawn have just shared, I couldn't
- agree more with us needing to deal with the normalization of violence that occurs
- 21 across all sectors and parts of our society. And I can say as a person who's been
- 22 working in domestic violence and intimate partner violence work my entire career is that
- 23 that normalization of violence is happening everywhere. And there is a desensitization
- to violence and witnessing. There's a normalization, also, for individuals, both survivors
- 25 and perpetrators.
- Victims have normalized from a very young age in some situations
- that men have a right because they've witnessed it in their homes, that their bodies are
- 28 not theirs and that individuals can use violence and abuse against them. And if you add

- in individuals coming from marginalized and vulnerable communities, we need to be
- talking more about that and what that normalization looks like.

- And with the presentation that will come later from both Nick and
  Brian and their report is what young boys internalize, and that they have a right, that
- 5 because of social norms and masculinity that they can perpetrate violence.

And from the -- Katreena's report, I was very happy to see talking about workplaces, and I couldn't agree more with -- we need more funding for both survivors and victims, but also for individuals that perpetrate violence. Absolutely.

But we also need to be dealing with workplaces, institutions and people in positions of power. We need stronger advocates and champions of intimate partner violence and gender-based violence work happening in the highest positions of power, our leaderships' positions in politics, not just when someone is trying to be elected. But that needs to be reflected in what every leader is doing, that violence is not going to be accepted.

There's many opportunities, but people in positions of power in society often are just providing a lip service to gender-based violence and intimate partner violence issues.

Funding not used in the right ways or used in ways that don't actually deal with the roots of the issue -- funding needs -- funding and resources are needed, but that lip service that is coming from people that continue to perpetrate violence within our institutions and systems in society need to be addressed. Abuse -- abuse and violence needs to be addressed with people who are in positions of power that are white and carry privilege.

So I'm going to give one example, and I'm going to talk about this more as we continue to go, but how the normalization of violence is happening all around us from a very young age and in society, in our institutions, in our workplaces across all systems and structures.

If our leaders are invested and are in the positions of power to

create change through funding and services and leading advocacy, work around

2 gender-based violence and intimate partner violence issues here in the province. And

Claudia Chender, an MLA with the NDP, has put that forward here in our province.

Is the people in positions of power, our leaders, right, invested in moving this forward? To ban the misuse of these so that victims and survivors are not silenced? Where the continuum of violence stops? We need to stop allowing this to be remained in silos in our workplaces, in institutions, in management and leadership, and what people are witnessing. Survivors need and victims need to see that this is not accepted, that their credibility is not going to be discounted when they come forward, that they're going to be believed and not further victimized, experiencing secondary

wounding and institutional betrayal, but they're going to be believed.

We cannot allow this to remain. It's not just happening in homes, where violence happens. Intimate partner violence and gender-based violence is happening in our workplaces, in society, and all around us. It's happening in our schools, where it's normalized, allowed to happen, and the continuum of violence continues. And we need to be able to have conversations. Even as gender-based violence and intimate partner violence organizations and services, we need to be able to have more conversations and creating spaces for addressing violence that is happening.

There's even a normalization of violence that happens within our services, where people are put on waitlists, where we expect survivors and victims who are reaching out to services to tell us every single thing about their safety; right? And if we understand trauma and understand what it's like for a survivor to come out and reach out for help, we prioritize, based on what they're saying, their safety, and put them on a waitlist because, you know, it's not bad enough.

And what message are we giving, even as front-line service providers, when people are going on waitlists, or we're prioritizing, or when they're applying for housing? It's not bad enough.

1	And we're expecting them to disclose everything; right? And that's
2	not keeping anyone safe. It's not keeping our communities safe. So the normalization
3	of violence happens, and it's happening within our sector, it's happening with our
4	politicians, our healthcare system. So we need to be addressing that and we need to
5	be really standing by that if we're truly invested to gender-based violence and intimate-
6	partner violence response, we need to be addressing the violence that's happening
7	within all systems, institutions, and victims, and survivors, and perpetrators need to see
8	that, first off, victims and survivors are going to be believed, but there's going to be
9	accountability for perpetrators. And too often, too often white people in positions of
10	power and privilege, who abuse and use violence, are celebrated and promoted within
11	workplaces. They're promoted in our leadership, in politics. And victims and survivors
12	remain silenced, not believed. Their credibility is discounted. We need to be
13	addressing all pieces of this.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you very much.
16	Next we'll hear from Jeanne Sarson.
17	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Thank you for the invitation and for the
18	right to be here, actually.
19	I'm here in two ways. Number one, as a professional, I'm very
20	limited in the fact that what I've dealt with in the last 30 years has been the issue that
21	violence against women and children can amount to torture.
22	So in 1993, when that reality came to me, I was an outsider
23	because society didn't want to hear the reality. So not only was and Linda was
24	working with me at the time. We were working together. So we were outsiders then
25	and have remained outsiders, just like the women who have come to us who do not fit
26	socially because they're saying they can't name the type of violence that they've
27	endured. So there's social exclusion right away. And I know the women already before
28	me have talked about believing.

1	So what I decided to do, last night I looked at research that 153
2	individuals did around the issue of torture by non-state actors and what their issues are,
3	because they're not here at the table. I'm here at the table to tell you my experience,
4	but what are they telling us?
5	So what they said around discrimination and stigmatization is
6	there's no informed help. So that means everyone who does not hear them is not able
7	to help them, because we can't help somebody if we don't understand their
8	experiences.
9	So they're saying they're not believed, which has already been
10	spoken of. But they're saying they're not believed around the type of violence that they
11	survived and how they survived it.
12	They're also saying there's no justice, because if you can't name
13	the crime and you're not being believed, of course you don't even exist as a victim of a
14	certain type of crime.
15	And also, they say that people's beliefs, they don't want to believe
16	that among us there are those who have pleasure and fun in expressing human evil.
17	It's an action of destruction. And they're saying people don't want to believe that. And
18	yet it's in front of us every day by many of the crimes that we hear.
19	And that they also told this is 84 percent of the 153 that I looked
20	at last night say that our own individual fears get in the way of trying to believe
21	another existence of reality that might be next door in our neighbourhood.
22	So those are some key challenges. How do you fit into a society
23	that doesn't want to hear what you have to say? So that's very, very difficult, on top of
24	the patriarchy and the misogyny.
25	So a couple things I think are really important. Number one, they
26	don't want to be labelled.
27	So what Linda and I have done, instead of saying Post-Traumatic
28	Stress Disorder, is Post-Traumatic Stress Response. What do we how do we expect

- people are going to respond to a certain degree of violence? How do you normalize
- that? What do you expect a person who has been tortured, either as a child or an adult,
- how do you expect them to respond? What is normal in a response to a degree of
- 4 victimization that amounts to torture?

So that is one of the recommendations that we have to understand the normalization of survival.

The other issue is we talk about history, but we should be talking about historical. There's a difference in the reality that women live and men live. And we have to do that everyday, whether it's in school, or whether it's sitting across from a male.

I'm looking at the Commissioner. We have a male commissioner and two female commissioners, and if you told me your stories, they might be quite different. So I think we have to understand that if we're going to understand patriarchy.

The other thing I'd like to say something about is intimate partner violence, because I think we have an idea of what that might be and it doesn't take us into torture, but it also doesn't take us into exploitation because that was part of Linda and mine's journey around Persons Against Non-State Torture, is understanding that males who inflict violence in the home and to their children, they can also exploit. They go into human trafficking. And when they go into human trafficking, there's a network. You're not only talking about a woman or one child, or two children. You're talking about a network of violence that goes on, because you can't do exploitation without knowing there's a whole community response going on.

So I think we have to expand how we perceive intimate partner violence and violence against children. Because in Canada we already know, through the Canadian Child Protection out of Winnipeg, that children are being exploited online, and 25 percent of them are being tortured. So that's not new knowledge, but what are we doing with it? So that's a challenge that, you know, if I look at the first question, the key challenge is what are we doing with the knowledge that we have?

1	MS. EMILY HILL: Jeanne? Jeanne, I'm just I'm noticing the
2	time, and I don't know if you're you've gone over, and I don't I didn't know whether
3	-
4	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Oh, okay.
5	MS. EMILY HILL: it was intended that, Linda, you would also be
6	speaking to this.
7	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Oh, okay.
8	MS. EMILY HILL: But if it's
9	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Okay.
10	MS. EMILY HILL: Yeah, I think we should probably move at this
11	point, but we will come back to you.
12	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Yeah.
13	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you.
14	So yeah, just to continue our conversation about the largest, the
15	key challenge that you see in addressing gender-based and intimate partner violence.
16	MS. LINDA MacDONALD: So for me, I think the most important
17	thing is we have to take cultural transformation as a priority. Someone mentioned the
18	other day that you can't mandate culture change, but I think we have to. I think we have
19	to make it a priority.
20	Thousands of years now we've been living in patriarchy, where
21	male males have power over females as a sex class, and we've only in the last
22	200 years really started to understand patriarchy, name it, name the power bases.
23	And it seems to me, if we stand back and look at it systemically, it
24	takes away a lot of the blame because it's not really that men are bad or that women are
25	bad, it's that we're both in a system that creates an environment where it's maintained
26	through power over, it's maintained through abuse of power, it's maintained through
27	misogyny, and it's maintained through violence. Violence is the most powerful way to
28	maintain patriarchy. And that's why we're today, because we have been endured an

extreme form of violence, male violence in our province. 1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

So how do we get beyond that shame? And I've lived in Nova 2 Scotia since I was 4 years old, so I know the shame and the silence that goes on in this province, it's not openly -- I mean, we're not unique, but we're certainly vanguards of speaking openly about violence, male violence. That's the only way we're going to break the cycle. So we have to do large education, and name patriarchy for children. 7 You know, I remember the day that I learned what patriarchy was. I knew my father was violent. I knew I had learned violence, I knew he had learned violence, but when it went beyond that to a social structural framework, a systemic framework, it transformed my perspective on life. And I think that all children deserve that, and adults as well. And also, the human rights framework around that. That equality is really important for children to learn that they have human rights, regardless of the way they're being treated in their home. The one thing that really strikes me is that 20 percent, I think the key speaker was talking about 20 percent of men really do not reform. That's the -that's the population that Jeanne and I work with, and we talk about strangulation as a key indicator of femicide; 750 percent in femicide. The know the perpetrator of this mass shooting strangled. So when we start to see those red flags of strangulation we have to then think of something more serious and try to get into prevention before we get to femicide because femicide is really the most extreme, and mass shooting is the most extreme forms of violence of patriarchy. 22 So you know, it's a learned behaviour. Violence is a learned behaviour, it's not a mental illness. It's something we can unlearn. It's simple in that sense, and it's very complex. But I really feel very strongly, you know, we don't have a -- it's not a virus, it doesn't need a vaccine. Mass education. Mass education. The younger --

And always recognise that there are those 20 percent that are not

everywhere, like Dawn said, everywhere make it a priority.

- going to change, they have to be held accountable very seriously and caught early. If
- this man had been caught early, I really still believe this, we wouldn't be sitting at this
- table. If that act of strangulation and if we stop sexualising the violence.
- 4 Because the police documented the initial investigation as a love
- 5 triangle and that one of the victims was a mistress. Now, you know, you're not going to
- start thinking about mass shooting if you're thinking of a love triangle. And then when
- you look at Susie Butlin, and she was -- she was murdered in a femicide, and they
- talked about her as a flirt or someone that drank with the perpetrator.
- I mean, these kind of sexualizations of violence really have to stop
- for us to get serious. And I know we can do better, as a -- as a human species we can,
- but we really have to be very concerted about cultural transformation and femicide.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks very much.
- 14 I'll turn now to Dr. Pearce or Superintendent Taplin. I'm not sure
- who would like to speak to this issue.
- SUPT. KIM TAPLIN: Thank you very much. I wanted to first note
- that there is, you know, obviously some really important information shared this
- 18 morning.
- I think that for me, what I'm hearing is, you know, we're all on the
- same page, we all want the same thing, and one approach does not work for every
- 21 person, not does it work for every community. I think we really need to work together as
- a community and find a way to sort of break down some silos.
- And I think long-term funding. I think that this is one of things that
- we're hearing here, and I think it's sort of universal, is that long-term funding is
- something that, you know, doesn't end after three or five years.
- And you know -- and those risk tools for police, I think we've seen
- them, those are really important. There is some really interesting research taking place
- and pilots taking place in other countries when it comes to police, and I think that those

- are worth looking at as well. I know I've looked at some myself, and how they could be 1 tailored for Canada. So I'm really -- I'm thankful to hear the information and the 2 comments that are shared today. Thank you. 3 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** It's Commissioner Stanton 4 speaking. Would you mind giving us some examples of the pilot programs that you're 5 referencing for, I think you're saying international examples ---6 7 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** Sure. **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** --- for police in -- for police, in 8 9 particular? **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** Yeah, absolutely. 10 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. 11 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** So in New Zealand, for example, and this is 12 probably not a pilot per se, but certainly within their police organisation and their policies 13 is the fact that every call is an opportunity for police to provide a point of contact for 14
  - probably not a pilot per se, but certainly within their police organisation and their policies is the fact that every call is an opportunity for police to provide a point of contact for individuals. So it's sort of every contact matters. Every time we go to a house is it an opportunity for police to provide information to both the victim and the perpetrator that provides information around community support services, and it looks at those risk factors that might be present in a home. And certainly that when police are -- attend a home, for example, or a particular situation, the victim may not be ready to pursue in a conversation about what's happening in the home. And so this is just an opportunity for the police there to provide some information for follow up.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I would say that -- so, you know, also in New Zealand, they have homes for perpetrators, specifically designed for, in this case, men, to where they can go and receive supports that they need, and it's a group home. So those are just some interesting models that I have seen.

Also, in Australia, I understand that there's an application which provides -- that's available to the public. It's not a police application, it's -- it's a public application, it's an app, and it provides information to family, victims, perpetrators,

- around some what some of the factors or the risk factors, that they might be seen, and
- what to do for families, for victims, and where they might receive some support or a
- place to go for more information and support. So those are some of the things that, you
- 4 know, I'm -- I've been sort of observing over the last several years, and I think that
- 5 there's some interesting research there. Thanks.
- 6 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Thanks very much.
- 7 We'll turn now to Kaitlin Geiger-Bardswich.
- 8 MS. KAITLIN GEIGER-BARDSWICH: Hi there. I'd like to
- 9 acknowledge that I'm coming from the traditional unceded territories of the Algonquin
- Anishinabe people otherwise known as Ottawa. I work at Women's Shelters Canada.
- 11 I've been there for about six years, and what we do is bring together the shelter sector
- across the country. So we work with the provincial associations, THANS being one of
- them, and we are in coalition with THANS and Be the Peace here as Participants. We
- also work with all of the shelters across the country, all of those dealing with violence
  - against women. We are working a bit with Katreena Scott and others across the
- 16 country to map perpetrator programs, and that information should be made public in
- 17 about the next six months.

- I just wanted to respond to a few of the things that people were
- saying. So as Sergeant Kim was talking about the perpetrator -- or the home for
- perpetrators in New Zealand, we do have a home like that here in Canada. It's in a rural
- 21 part of Alberta. Rowan House is putting this on. It's called the Safe at Home program.
- 22 So it brings men into -- out of the family home and into another space and leaves the
- women and children in the home. And I can speak to that more when we talk about
- 24 recommendations.
- I also agree with what Dawn was saying about funding, so this is
- true both for perpetrator programs as well as for services for women experiencing
- violence. Women Shelter's Canada is currently distributing \$79 million of federal
- funding. This was COVID funding. There was about \$34 million from 2020 to 2022 and

- now it's 79 million from 2021 to 2023. That funding is ending in 2023. There will be no
- 2 additional funding, we're told, from the federal government. This money has been
- 3 essential for shelters to stay open during the COVID pandemic.
- We are going to be starting our own grants program to try to fill that
- 5 gap a little bit. Of course, it won't be the same amount of money. We're not going to be
- able to raise \$79 million. We are going to the corporate sector, and it is a bit
- 7 problematic in a way that we have to rely on the corporate sector instead of our
- 8 governments to keep these essential services open.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

But in terms of the question of what are the key challenges, I'm going to speak similar to things that Sue and Linda spoke about regarding the cultural conversation. So the issue I see, frankly, is getting the general public to care. There needs to be a cultural change in how we view gender-based violence and intimate partner violence. We need to get to a point where we have with some other kinds of discrimination, you know, where it's publicly shameful to say something that is racist or homophobic, for example. But unfortunately, misogyny is still dismissed as a private issue a lot of times, or people don't want to get involved, or they're not sure how to get involved. This is especially true when it comes to the idea of a "perfect victim". We've seen this with the treatment of Lisa Banfield, both in the press and in the public discourse around the shooting, something that Kristina mentioned. As well around this is the, you know, she's not responsible for the actions of her abusive partner. She's not an extension of him, but because she survived, she has been scapegoated by a lot of different people, for reasons that people don't understand, asking why didn't she leave, why didn't she call the police. This speaks to what Jeanne was speaking about there as well, about how we criticize victims, and we ask questions about the trauma that they've been going through that we don't understand. It's -- there are no normal reactions. This is a refrain we hear about most victims, you know, unless they're young, thin, blonde, blue-eyed, sis-gender, virginal, straight women who've never had even a parking ticket in their past, they're suspect in some way.

Should I wait for the -- okay.

Even this Commission itself has not always viewed gender-based violence as the real issue that it is. I'm going to quote now. "This is where the mayhem that will be submitted by the Mass Casualty Commission really commenced in terms of the violence and perpetration of violence against innocent parties." These were the words of Roger Burrill, Senior Commission Counsel, earlier this year when speaking not about the initial violent attack on Ms. Banfield, but about the scene of the home where the first community members were killed in Portapique. The implications of that carefully scripted statement were clear. Lisa Banfield did not "really experience violence", and even if she was a victim, she was not "innocent".

The three most deadly mass casualties in the last 35 years in this country have all had gender-based violence components. There's been the École Polytechnique attack in the 1980s, the Toronto van attack a few years ago, and now the Portapique shooting. Will the public finally care about gender-based violence if they think they can be collateral damage in a mass casualty? I'm not sure because it has not happened yet.

Women's Shelters Canada recently completed a six-month national awareness campaign about violence against women's shelters called More Than Shelter. It was largely successful. We did receive a lot of positive comments. But whenever we had to respond to something on social media, and that could be someone looking for help or just a comment in general, half of those interactions were because a member of the general public was asking what about men, or demonizing women, or minimizing the violence they'd experienced, or trying to gear the conversation in another direction.

Oh, and just to say that I wrote an Op-Ed for the Ottawa Citizen about domestic violence earlier this year, comparing it to the dismissiveness we were seeing about the violence perpetrated by members of the Freedom Convoy in Ottawa. I had to stop reading the comments for my own mental health. You can only be called

- the C-word so many time by faceless strangers before it starts to get to you. When
- 2 local violence against Women's Shelter retweeted the Op-Ed, we were both tagged in a
- reply, telling us that our days were numbered. That tweet and that language was
- 4 apparently not against Twitter's guidelines. I did report that. So all this is to say that we
- 5 need a culture shift before we see any real change. Thank you.
- 6 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you very much. I wonder if we can turn
- 7 now to Dr. Scott, either to reflect on sort of what you've been hearing in some brief
- 8 comments, or to answer the question that we posed to those here at the table about the
- 9 key challenges that we see to addressing gender-based and intimate partner violence.
- DR. KATREEN SCOTT: Thank you very much.
- 11 What I'd like to do I think is reflect a bit on what people have said
- and summarize some of the key challenges being funding, making sure that there's
- sufficient funding, long-term funding for services. A lot of conversations about changing
- the social narrative, recognizing power and privilege, believing survivors and valuing
- their voices.
- As I listened to people reflect and their comments, one of the things
- that kept going through my mind is my understanding about why people abuse. And my
- understanding in part around why people abuse is because they can. People abuse
- others in part because they have the privilege to do so and because nobody is stopping
- them from doing so.
- I wanted to reflect on the strength of the voices that you have in this
- room and the clear sight around seeing those patterns and privilege and how they play
- out in individual families, in individual situations, but also in institutions and in culture
- that end up allowing those patterns of abuse from those with more power towards those
- with less to continue, to be invisible, to be not seen, and to be not responded to. I agree
- with everybody that a culture change is needed, so that we can see and respond to and
- challenge those patterns, and that having people like the people that you have in this
- room, that have that clear vision and voice, is going to be essential to that change.

1	The one other thing that I will comment on that I that came to
2	mind as people were speaking was the opportunity, the many, many, many, in fact,
3	opportunities for outreach. So we had a brief conversation about some of the work
4	that's been done by and through police services in Nova Scotia. There's also been
5	some work done similarly in Canada where there's been initial outreach to say, wait a
6	second, this is a concerning this is a concern. This behaviour's not okay. This is
7	something that you should do something about, and to reflect that, yes, people do take
8	up that opportunity often, to then try to seek and get help. There are so, so many
9	places to do that kind of outreach conversation. It is through police, it is through
10	schools, it is through workplaces, it is through neighbours, it is through mental health
11	services, it's through social services. And once you start to ask yourself where could
12	you have a conversation about a behaviour that is concerning and harmful, and that is
13	somebody's use of their own power and privilege over another, you start to see that
14	those conversations can, and should, and are not happening in many, many, many
15	places.
16	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you so much for sort of your summary of
17	some of the themes that we're hearing about so far.
18	I don't know, Commissioners, if you have any questions at this
19	point in terms of something you've just heard? It's sort of time for a break, but I wanted
20	to check in about that before we all stood up and took a break.
21	COMMISSIONER STANTON: I'm not sure if we're getting to this
22	after the break, but the there were a couple of points that I just wanted to pick up on.
23	Dawn, you talked about proactive wraparound services for me.
24	And in the rural setting, what would that look like? We heard the example of Safe at
25	Home program in Alberta. Is that the sort of thing that you're talking about or could you
26	elaborate just a little on that?
27	MS. DAWN FERRIS: Yes. Thank you. Yeah, it would be a vision
28	of mine to have something that's 24/7, that when a charge is laid and the man is

1	released, or a high-risk designation is made, we have all those services to proactively
2	call the woman, but there's no proactive services to call him, and he's the one that could
3	use services as well in a means of de-escalating the risk for harm for her. And so the
4	programs that we do have run Monday to Friday, basically 9:00 to 5:00, with groups
5	happening some evenings. But it would look like somebody being on call or on duty or
6	working that could reach out to him to meet his basic needs and help de-escalate him.
7	COMMISSIONER STANTON: So I guess I'm just trying to
8	ascertain which service provider you would see doing that?
9	MS. DAWN FERRIS: A men's program.
10	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Under a ministry? Is this a
11	provincial government bucket? Or is this, you know, I'm just trying to is this an
12	RCMP bucket? I'm trying to figure out which
13	MS. DAWN FERRIS: I feel like
14	<b>COMMISSIONER STANTON:</b> service provider it would be?
15	MS. DAWN FERRIS: Yeah, the Department of Community
16	Services funds the programs now that run Monday through Friday. It could be an
17	expansion of those services that would make it 24/7. Instead of an office, maybe
18	renting a house or an apartment or something with some bedrooms. I have lots of
19	ideas. I have to find the political will and the funding.
20	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you.
21	MS. DAWN FERRIS: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER STANTON: And I hope you'll put some of the
23	ideas into the written submissions of the coalition as well.
24	MS. DAWN FERRIS: Yeah.
25	COMMISSIONER STANTON: And then just the other follow up,
26	there were a couple Dr. Scott, with your extremely constructive and helpful report,
27	thank you so much for that, you mentioned in your presentation a couple of aspects
28	around and this was mentioned in another person's remarks as well, that public

- education of service providers is an important piece. And it might have been you,
- 2 Dawn, who said public education, you know, in grade school and in workplaces, which
- 3 of course makes sense. I'm thinking about something in your paper where you talked
- 4 about the role of a family doctor as a trusted person that a man may disclose to or have
- a discussion about. And I was very struck in what you talked about with the sort of
- 6 reverse focus.
- So when a GP sees a woman with bruises, there's now, I think,
- training in med schools about how you might want to broach the subject and have that
- 9 discussion, but not so much for men. And I just wondered, you know, given there's a
- chronic lack of family doctors as well, what might be a pathway for that kind of training
- or recognition of an opening to have those discussions that you said could be so
- 12 effective?
- DR. KATREENA SCOTT: Can I pick up, in fact, on two bits of
- that? The first I'd like to pick up on is just to go back to the workplace for a second and
- to give another example of I think how our responses have played out over time and
- what we need to do to change.
- So one of the things that has been very good in Canada and in
- Nova Scotia is there's been health and safety legislation and change to require
- workplaces to recognize domestic violence related risk as a health and safety issue. So
- 20 workplaces are required -- many workplaces are required to then work with survivors or
- victims around their safety at work. One of the things -- and one of the things that our
- centre has done is we have created free resources for workplaces around that. What
- 23 we've added at our centre is work around how workplaces can also promote
- 24 accountability for perpetrators and how workplaces can recognize risk factors and have
- conversations with perpetrators around their behaviour.
- And I mention that because it's the example of something that is
- 27 not in fact in the legislation. Workplaces are required, and I'm glad they're required, to
- address victim safety, but they're actually not required to have provisions around

perpetrator accountability. And I mention that as an example of the kind of culture shift

and changes that are needed. And I think that adding that to the education and to the

legislation makes sense because there are many things workplaces can do.

When it comes to the medical system and to our health and social service providers, it's similar in a way when we start to have conversations about domestic and family violence, it's important to have those conversations about recognizing risk for safety. I worry that when we only talk about what's needed to understand and address victim safety without also talking about perpetrator accountability, we in fact can contribute to this idea that it's somehow a woman's problem or a victim's problem, rather than leaning into conversations about change that is needed in perpetrators.

The very specific example I will give for the medical system is, you know, every single medical professional and mental health professional gets trained in suicidality risk assessment. It is a core part of training. One of the things that we know and have known for a long time from domestic violence death review committees is that when there's a history of violence and somebody is suicidal, that also is a risk for homicidality. So one of the key risk factors, one of the top 10 risk factors for domestic violence homicide is perpetrator suicidality and suicidal intent.

Despite knowing that, we do not have, as part of our core education that's already happening on assessing suicidality, a bit on if there's concern about domestic violence, or even if not, you should add the question, "Are you worried or are you thinking about taking anybody else out with you when you think about your suicidal thoughts?" That is not a mass education change. It is not adding a lot of time. What it is, is it's recognizing the reality of risk for domestic violence perpetration and adding it in to a core bit of training that is already happening.

I give that example because there are multiple places where this kind of education can be integrated into already existing education programs and where it's not.

1	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thank you, that's really, again,
2	constructive. And you also mentioned the sobering effect program in Alberta that was
3	cancelled due to lack of funding and the nexus between substance abuse and violence,
4	which we heard quite a bit about in the couple of weeks in which we focused on gender-
5	based violence, in particular in our roundtable discussions, and that in the news this
6	morning, there's a discussion about labeling alcohol in the same way that we label
7	cigarettes because of the various health impacts of that. But when you consider the
8	nexus between violence and substance abuse, it takes on a different gloss as well.
9	So I appreciated you raising that in the report and I it would be
10	helpful to know if there were any other such programs that you're aware of?
11	DR. KATREENA SCOTT: Yeah. Again, I want to come back to
12	recognizing that for like, for over 25 years, SAMHSA, which has been, like, a key
13	recommendation body, has recommended that substance use programs absolutely
14	incorporate risk assessment for domestic violence as part of intake, and incorporate
15	coordinated work. And it is remains baffling to me that we just don't have those kinds
16	of links and services in Canada, and that the only one we had was closed down. It
17	doesn't make any sense at all.
18	In my report, I've made reference to a couple of programs, but what
19	I will say is that there are empirically supported interventions that have been designed
20	and supported that could be implemented that do bring together as Dawn said, you
21	know, we need the combined expertise. Often they bring together a facilitator that has
22	expertise in gender-based violence and addressing perpetration and a facilitator that
23	has expertise in substance use and addictions, and brings them together to work on
24	addressing the domestic violence and the substance use issues.
25	So, yes, there's good programs that are available, and I would add
26	that those programs need to be embedded in a collaboration that right now doesn't exist
27	so that substance use services are really recognizing and referring and working
28	together with domestic violence services.

1	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thanks very much. I won't delay
2	our break any further.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. EMILY HILL: Unless anyone else has any questions from that
5	side of the table, I would propose that we take a 10-minute break.
6	COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Yeah, absolutely.
7	Just for me personally I wanted to thank you, Professor Scott, for
8	your excellent paper as well. And just to say that personally I found the presentations
9	very helpful, very insightful, and greatly appreciated. So thank you. And we're just
10	beginning, I realize.
11	MS. EMILY HILL: So the clock here says 11:14, so I'd suggest we
12	come back at 11:25 and continue our conversation.
13	Thanks so much.
14	Upon breaking at 11:14 a.m.
15	Upon resuming at 11:34 a.m.
16	MS. EMILY HILL: Welcome back, everybody.
17	We're going to start our next piece of our discussion focusing on
18	the questions that is, what are the key recommendations for addressing gender-based
19	violence and intimate partner violence. And we think that the questions we imagine we
20	might hear about are recommendations that will have the biggest impact. And also
21	about key barriers to implementation that have to be addressed in order to achieve
22	success.
23	So I would just invite all of our participants to continue the
24	conversation. Feel free if you want to respond to something you've heard so far; I invite
25	you to do so. But we are most interested in concrete suggestions that could be the
26	subject of actionable recommendations.
27	So I'll start with you, Dawn, if we can ask you to start us off.
28	MS. DAWN FERRIS: Okay, great, thank you.

Т	So the first key recommendation would be an increase of
2	sustainable core funding for men's/father's matter programming to move us into a
3	proactive model.
4	There was a grant given to the Halifax Regional Police just before
5	COVID through the Standing Together grant application that they created the Domestic
6	Violence Offender Navigator, the DVON, and this was a proactive response to men who
7	cause harm, and was very successful, potentially ground-breaking in the way it
8	supported men and families dealing with domestic violence in HRM. And so something
9	like that in every county in the province would be an amazing step forward. So that
10	would be the first recommendation.
11	The second, and I know this is a biggie, but a reduction of poverty.
12	The meeting the basic needs of living. Something a phenomenon that we saw at
13	the start of COVID, and I don't have the research to show what was the reason behind
14	it, but when CERB came out we stopped hearing from women in the shelters, and now
15	we could say, and potentially the reality is for lots of those women stuck in the homes
16	with their violent partners, abusive partners, that they weren't able to call us, or the
17	de-escalation of stress in the homes happened because there was an income of \$500 a
18	week to a thousand a month that carried people through meeting their basic needs.
19	So I would love to be able to figure out what the reality was, what
20	we had a decrease of calls, but reduction of poverty would be very helpful I think in this
21	regard. So but it is a big ask.
22	An increase to mental health and addiction services because, as
23	we know, that the socio-economic traumas that people live through and community
24	poverty lend to an increase in addictions and mental health issues, and rural Nova
25	Scotia does not have the resources to help that.
26	Proactive proactive support for men causing harms would fall
27	under the increase of core funding. And lastly, the Criminal Code inclusion of coercive
28	control as both a criminal offence and as a defence for the victims who do things

because they've been coercively controlled.

Key barriers, and these are real simple, don't need to belabour them. But funding, the lack of funding. The political will for change. We need to have those people in the places that make those decisions, make the commitments to commit to the funding and the changes that we need. And we need a cultural shift, and getting there will take money, effort, resources, and tasks to people and organisations to help make those changes in culture so that we can stop having these conversations and be in a place where families and homes are safer for everyone. Thank you.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks so much.

I'll turn you now to Sue.

**MS. SUE BOOKCHIN:** Thank you. I'll try to be concise with all the recommendations that I can think of.

My first big recommendation is about dedication to prevention. I think we have very few tools in the criminal justice system or outside of the criminal justice system to deal with men like the perpetrator in this mass casualty. He was not somebody who would have reached out for help.

We now have a men's helpline in Nova Scotia, which was developed at the beginning of the pandemic, and many men have been reaching out and being connected to services, but I don't think he would have been one of them. Even if he had been charged and spent some period of time in jail, every perpetrator gets out of jail. And so we have to deal with them in a community.

And so it always brings me back to the question of how do we focus and dedicated resources on prevention? More attention to children living in adverse situations because we know that adverse childhood experiences can affect brain development, critical thinking, conflict resolution, the quality of relationships later in life, and so the early identification and early intervention with children in those situations, and support for their families.

I think prevention necessarily involves schools having a much

- bigger role, and this is a very, very challenging thing. But children spend half their
- waking lives in school, and school encompasses all children, not just children who can
- afford to go to extracurricular activities or be involved in leadership programming. And
- 4 so the violence prevention, healthy relationship-building, the focus on emotional and
- 5 relational intelligence needs to happen in every grade, developmentally, appropriately,
- 6 and sequentially from Primary to Grade 12.
- 7 This doesn't currently happen, at least not in Nova Scotia. Much of
- the work that is done with girls on self-esteem and assertiveness over the years has
- 9 been provided by community-based non-profit organisations who get a grant that is
- time-limited and small, and if you can convince the administrator of the school in your
- neighbourhood to come in and work with your Grade 5 girls, then you can do that,
- 12 some.
- We now have, and I think there is real promise, in work with boys.
- So boys have been neglected, they've been dismissed with boys will be boys, so that
- kind of behaviour is excused and ignored, and yet, they are steeped in the toxic
- 16 masculinity of our mass culture. It is coming at them at younger and younger ages
- through online pornography; through videogames, where you get a lot of points for
- killing a prostitute; and music. The kinds of things that they're engaged in is kind of
- shocking. And boys are watching pornography, hardcore pornography on their cell
- 20 phones on the school bus at the average age of eleven.
- I the work that we have done, doing some of this kind of gender-
- segregated work, where a facilitator and a male teacher would work with boys in a
- classroom, and a female facilitator and a female teacher works with the girls, and then
- 24 periodically they come together, what we have found is that boys are starved for adults
- who will have these kinds of conversations with them, honestly and openly about
- sexuality, about consent, homophobia, gender stereotypes and roles, and the pressures
- that they feel to measure up.

So I think this work, if we did it in this way in schools, we could shift

- the perceptions of an entire generation at the same time because these, you know, the
- 2 myths and the stereotypes about gender-based violence, they do shift with the
- generations. People are telling me that younger people, millennials get it in a different
- 4 way, but it's our children who need to learn this kind of thing at a young age.
- 5 The other thing that is problematic is that teachers are not trained
- to do this kind of deep and sensitive work with students. And I think, Katreena, it was
- through the Centre for Research and Education, there was a recent webinar put on by
- 8 the Public Health Agency of Canada and PREVnet that was research about how
- 9 teachers in their educational programs are not taught to teach this material. So even if
- you're a teacher in the Healthy Living curriculum, which is only Grade 7 to 9, the person
- who gest to teach that is not the person who is skilled at it but whoever has time in their
- schedule and happens to be the rookie. That is not serving our young people.
- I think similarly, health and social service providers are not trained
- to any kind of expert level in this material, and so having programming in schools about
- violence prevention and healthy relationships is quite dependent on the administrator at
- the school and what they prioritise. And sometimes you have a principal who prioritises
- sports and masculinity, and really doesn't make the time and the space and devote the
- time to have these kinds of things happen in their school.
- And there also is backlash from parents. When you teach about
- sex and sexuality parents get uncomfortable. And so part of the barriers to having this
- kind of wholescale embed in curriculum this material is parents come from all different
- backgrounds and may not want their kids learning that in grade three.
- And also, the structure of the education system is such that each
- regional centre for education and each school is a fairly independent unit. So it's not
- like the Department of Education can mandate that you do this work. And also, while
- there are curriculum outcomes about healthy relationships and violence prevention,
- those outcomes are not measured and so we don't actually know if the teacher who is
- teaching about violence prevention or consent or healthy sexual relationships is actually

doing it in a way that is effective for kids. Many kids tell us it's not. And we don't know 1 if they're actually getting the full scope of material that they need. 2 MS. EMILY HILL: Sue, I'm just going to ---3 **MS. SUE BOOKCHIN:** Ah, I have so much more. 4 **MS. EMILY HILL:** If you want to just make one last point very 5 quickly? I do want to give you that chance. But then we do need to move on. 6 7 **MS. SUE BOOKCHIN:** One last thing about schools is that they 8 often also lack dedicated sexual assault and sexual harassment policies and protocols. 9 Often, these are embedded in a code of behaviour. Again, no protocols, no guidance, no accountabilities. And they're embedded as such as to be not even articulated as 10 what girls in grade eight, for instance, have told us, they experience what amounts to 11 sexual harassment and sexual assault at school at the hands of their peers every single 12 day. But it's not called that and we have no policies and guidance for teachers and 13 principles to be able to respond to those kinds of things. 14 15 Thanks. 16 MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Sue. Kristina? 17 MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Yeah, so I think the biggest impact is 18 going to be the cultural shift. And I think for -- it's the unlearning and relearning process 19 that needs to be happening. And that needs to be led by all of our leaders, people in 20 21 positions of power, that violence is not going to be accepted in all parts of our society. 22 Around recommendations, I want to focus in on, just like Sue said, 23 around schools and children and how much time they spend. A lot of adults spend a lot 24 of time in workplaces. And I do believe that more education prevention and accountability needs to be attached to what is happening in workplaces, along with all of 25 the other things around funding community-based organizations, providing wrap around 26 27 non-carceral approaches, and having a community collaborative response to violence and abuse, and also making sure that individuals that perpetrate violence have access 28

1 to services.

The one size fits all approach does not work for perpetrators of violence and it does not work for victims and survivors. And our funding, funding that's coming both provincially and federally, cannot be reactionary and it cannot be funded with a one size fits all model and we need to be addressing that.

And then I want to talk about the workplace. So one way of doing changing is again for -- through workplace. So through occupational health and safety, violence in the workplace, through harassment and bullying that appears in other policies. We need to ensure that both employers, employees, unions, and processes like mediation and arbitration through the Departments of Labour or Human Rights have a clear understanding of how to respond to violence and ensuring that violence and abuse is not continuing in workplaces.

And I'm going back to the non-disclosure agreements for a second. And, you know, we're seeing this, and I think it's timely for this conversation, with everything that's come out with Hockey Canada, with PEI passing legislation to ban the misuse of non-disclosure agreements in sexual violence, bullying, and abuse cases, that our province here in Nova Scotia needs to be following with that, and giving a clear message to all individuals who are using violence, doing -- you know, sexually abusing or exploiting individuals, that this is not going to be accepted. Survivors and victims should not be left with the message with, "We're going to pay for your silence. You're going to be silenced and you can't speak." We cannot continue to allow this to remain in a silo where the continuum of violence continues to happen.

If that continuum of violence is happening within workplaces, what do we think is happening when people go home? Right? And when people are using domestic violence, gender-based violence, it is showing up in lots of different areas and employers need to have processes, have response and accountabilities in place to ensure that this is not taking place, that they're addressing that and not avoiding that, or protecting individuals within workplaces.

1	And too often, you know, there's individuals that are working as
2	employees in workplaces alongside of their partners, who might be also within the
3	organization, right, or the workplace.
4	And what messages are we giving when employers do not follow
5	their obligations and responsibilities around responding to violence and abuse?
6	And yes, in Nova Scotia, we have violence in the workplace under
7	occupational health and safety.
8	And it's not just about policies and legislation. It is about how that
9	then practically applies to holding individuals accountable, making sure that employees,
10	individuals that experience violence and abuse, or bullying, understand how to report
11	how to safely report that employers are not knowing how to respond, right, or may be
12	part of the revictimization, secondary wounding, and betrayal that happens when they're
13	responding to individuals that do come forward.
14	We need individuals in the Department of Labour who are
15	investigating workplace violence cases to be trained in fully understanding the scope of
16	gender-based violence and intimate partner violence. We need to stop using non-
17	disclosure agreements. They need to end. And we're not talking about ending all
18	NDAs. We're talking about NDAs that are being misused in violence, abuse, sexual
19	violence, and harassment cases.
20	We need to also make sure that workplaces are responding are
21	culturally responsive, both from employers, managers, people in leadership, and
22	employees around being culturally responsive to individuals who experience violence in
23	workplaces and creating safe spaces.
24	Overall, whether it's individuals perpetrating violence or victims,
25	there's not enough safe spaces to come forward. And it all is, you know, based on
26	people be shamed, blamed, right, internalized messages that they're getting from a
27	response, or a lack of a person responding.
28	I do believe in my work, over the last 13 years, there is many

- individuals who have internalized a lot from professionals around where they're
- 2 revictimized and we need to be addressing that. But we also need to be addressing
- that individua's who perpetrate violence, if we use shame and blame and are not able to
- 4 have conversations, or avoid those conversations, that's not helping anyone. It's not
- 5 helping victims and survivors, but it's also not helping the perpetrator of the violence.
- And I do believe that the more education that happens, the more
- 7 resources that we put into our workplaces, including our unions, around process,
- 8 around employees knowing their rights and creating safe spaces with employers, when
- 9 reports of violence, bullying and harassment come forward, we do create safety for all
- individuals and there needs to be accountability, like Katreena talked about, with that
- being built right into our occupational health and safety legislation laws.
- And I know that differs between provinces, but we need to ensure
- that there's accountability around that, around individuals who perpetrate violence as
- well, and what those supports look like.
- 15 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks very much.
- 16 Jeanne?
- MS. JEANNE SARSON: On the issue of torture by non-state
- actors, so my recommendations will be more specific. I think it begins with the right to
- speak the truth. And for the women that Linda and I have supported, it's their idea that
- legally they have the right to also speak the truth and to uphold their human dignity to
- 21 speak the truth, because they tell us all the time that if they're not listened to around the
- torture that they've suffered, they're misunderstood, they're excluded from feeling part of
- society. So our first recommendation really would be to eliminate the discrimination in
- the *Criminal Code* under 269.1 which only identifies torture by state actors and it lists
- the state actors. And that would also fall into line with what the United Nations is trying
- to do, to eliminate that discrimination, because they know in the late 70s and in the early
- 80s, when human right instruments were being designed, that women were never
- thought of as being torture victims by non-state actors. It was just not a reality. So that

would be our first recommendation to make sure there's social inclusion legally and from
 a human right perspective and human dignity.

And that brings in prevention, because once we know a crime is being committed, we have to learn the MO of the perpetrators. We have to understand how those who are being tortured by non-state actors, how they will respond and the type of healing that they need. And what we have found out in our 30 years, that we have to do more than trauma informed, we have learned we had to do victimization trauma informed. So that means the time needed for the women to recover and get back on their feet, they have to have their victimization told. And that's a global reality to state actors when they perpetrate torture, women have to tell their story. We know that through the international criminal court too, that you have to have time. So that changes how healing will occur. It changes the time that is required for healing. So this is prevention. You know, for us, naming the crime of non-state actor torture is a fact of prevention.

In a general sense, I think another recommendation we have is the fact that watching in the last while with the Commission, we have to understand that animal cruelty has to be mentioned because that's an indication. And the women who have been tortured have told us over and over again that they've been forced to harm their pets. I'm watching Emily there because I don't have a watch.

MS. EMILY HILL: About one more minute.

**MS. JEANNE SARSON:** Okay. So I think we have to look at the *Criminal Code*, which was changed, to bring in the whole issue of animal cruelty and beastiality, because if we don't -- if we're not free to speak the words, women are not going to tell us what they've endured.

The issue of I think whistle blowing, I think we have to look at the *Criminal Code* around whistle blowing because that's a tactic, regardless of whether it's intimate partner violence or a torture, a non-state torture. The fact that they use the *Criminal Code* in such a way that they make a woman go back over and over

- again, when women don't have the money, they have less finances, and it just wears
- 2 you out. If you have defamation, you're going over and over again, your job is at risk,
- your identity is at risk, your reputation is at risk. And Canada, in the research that I've
- 4 done, has some of the most limiting, if you will, whistle blowing legislation globally in the
- 5 studies that have been done. So thank you.
- **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks so much.
- 7 Linda?

that Jeanne and I have done, but it applies to anyone, in my opinion, because violence affects so many of us, we have to really stop thinking about, talking about violence in small rooms, isolated with one person. You know, we have to refrain violence as a social reality and stop worrying about the triggering, because in the work that we've done with women and torture, they become untriggered after a while, the more they tell and the more they talk. So if it happened -- if it can happen to them, it can happen to any of us. How are we ever going to be able to talk about it on the street corner, or in the bus, in the beauty parlour, you know, at the dinner table, at a party. How are we ever going to really get cultural transformation if we don't go beyond the fear? That's a really big part of the work that I think needs to be done.

And I'll give you an example. I was on a learning webinar with a policeman from the United States and he'd done research all across the United States. And the two questions that the police resisted asking are have you ever been sexually assaulted by this person that harmed you today, or have you ever been strangled. And so they asked, "Well, why didn't the police ask those questions?" And they said they were too embarrassed. You know, violence is not about embarrassment. It's not about having sex with someone. It's about finding the worst that they've ever done. So with the women that we work with, or anyone I talk to that starts talking to me about their violence, I say, "What is the worst that ever happened to you? Let's not start with the least. Let's start with the worst. Get that over with." And it's amazing how many people

are so relieved to be able to talk about the worst. And that's what undoes the triggering. 1 So, you know, we have to get it out of these little dark corners that 2 we're talking. And it can -- anybody, you know, this doesn't apply to doctors, and police, 3 and to social workers, or nurses, or -- it applies to all of us, you know, wherever we are, 4 in any of our work, at work, or with children, or with our neighbours. That's where the 5 social transformation happens because if we can identify the worst crimes, then we can 6 7 be proactive and prevent them, and that's what we really need to do is start trying to 8 really understand how we can prevent femicide. That's really key. I think it's -- people 9 still have a hard time believing that it's preventable, but it truly is. And we need a crime for femicide in the Criminal Code and strangulation in the Criminal Code, so that they're 10 identified separately. So we refrain. You know, men are killed and so are women. 11 The homicide in our country is going down, but femicide has not 12 moved. And every two days in this country a little girl or a woman are murdered. You 13 know, that's an outrageous statistics in a so-called developed country, and we're not 14 15 going to get beyond it by doing anything but being real and going towards the worst, not 16 run away from it with our fear. That's my simple response. Thank you. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks very much. I'll turn now to Maryanne or 17 Kim. Okay. Thank you. 18 **DR. MARYANNE PEARCE:** Hi, thank you very much for having 19 me. Do I sound okay? Okay. 20 So both from my academic work, my work with the RCMP and my 21 22 volunteer work with women's shelters and animal rescue, I have a couple just thoughts 23 of things that I've seen over the years that might help developing recommendations. 24 For one thing, the idea of the threats or the actual abuse or killing of pets has been identified as a major risk factor. There is an article, I don't remember the author, but I 25 do have it, I can send, is "Not Without my Dog" or "Not Without my Pet". It is a really 26 27 good example of academic research on this issue. So in Ottawa where I'm from at the moment, for instance, Interval 28

- 1 House in the last couple years has allowed for pets to come in, and so that's been
- 2 helpful, but not all women's shelters can do that. There's also an initiative called Safe
- Pet. There's one in Ottawa. It's usually Safe Pet Halifax, Safe Pet whatever city. And it
- 4 is a network of -- so you call, and there are foster homes that will take your pets, take
- 5 care of them for free, vet, food, everything, until you are safely out of the shelter in a
- 6 home and then your pets can be returned to you, so you don't have to have that worry.
- 7 And in more rural areas as well, there's -- I've seen partnerships with -- well, even in
- 8 cities, partnerships with humane societies, but in more rural areas sometimes breeders
- 9 or boarding kennels will partner up with a shelter or something to provide that service as
- kind of a community service, so I've seen that.
- In -- I've seen on reserve where there's a -- because the housing is
- largely controlled by the Band Council -- has instituted a policy where the -- it is the
- offender who is removed from the house and the rest of the family stays. As Dawn was
- saying, so you don't have somebody living in a car or without housing, but also not
- being put into somebody's potentially overcrowded house as well to other vulnerable
- people, so -- but again, that requires a place to go and, in this case, they do.
- 17 And I do have some literature on that as well.
- And I believe in Nova Scotia they have these idea of hubs. In
- Ontario, they call them situation tables. I was really fortunate enough to be able to see
- 20 these in action and -- in Ontario and, you know, all the folks come together stopping the
- silos and social services, the education, everybody together. And the issues of privacy
- around this are well managed through these situation tables or hubs, and I can also
- provide some information on that.
- And since COVID, the child protective services in Texas, all their
- court stuff is online and it's been very interesting, but in that situation they consider --
- they will remove children if domestic violence is happening in the home if it's not --
- there's not a safety plan in place and that type of thing, but before -- even before that,
- before children are removed or afterward, there is a full wraparound services for both

- victim and offender which include social and psychological assessments, very intense
- 2 addiction services, individual counselling, children's counselling, a batterer's
- intervention program and a victim portion of that. So -- and that's all provided, I believe
- 4 except for the batterer's -- offender part free of charge.
- So -- and that -- and they generally try to do that before children are
- 6 removed.
- So there are -- and then through COVID, because in rural setting
- 8 and/or pandemic ways of using technology to provide access to services that may not
- 9 exist in the small town where you live, and that has been very interesting, so.
- And then the last thing I was going to mention is we do have a
- document put together as of 2017 that identifies a bunch of different violence prevention
- programs and initiatives across the country for the RCMP that I'm happy to provide as
- well. If any of this is useful to the Commission, I'm happy to provide it to Heidi to pass
- on so that you can look further if you have an interest.
- Thank you. Migwech.
- 16 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you so much.
- I think one of the papers you've referred to is -- sorry -- was brought
- to our attention by Dr. Doherty, so I appreciate you referencing that, but we may follow
- up with you with regard some of the other resources that you've listed. Thank you.
- 20 And so I'll turn to you, Kaitlin, I think.
- MS. KAITLIN GEIGER-BARDS: Great. So I agree with everything
- that's been said.
- Just to follow up on what Maryanne was saying about technology in
- providing services, we also need Wi-Fi and good connectivity, especially in rural areas.
- to access some of these. I mean, it's great that things can go online as long as they're
- 26 accessible, of course.
- So the big thing that I want to say is that we -- the work has already
- been done. We don't need more recommendations. We just need action, essentially.

1	Katreena Scott has set out her clear recommendations and the
2	perpetrator programs. I'm going to speak specifically about the National Action Plan,
3	which I know has been spoken about by others at the during this inquest.
4	So this was a moment when 40 experts from across the country
5	came together last year to produce a ready road map for the National Action Plan on
6	Violence Against Women and Gender-Based Violence. This roadmap answered the
7	question, "What will it take to achieve a Canada free of gender-based violence?".
8	Different sectors came together to do this, looking at different
9	required responses. The report was a culmination of 10 years of advocacy, so we've
10	been saying the same thing for 10 years and would like to see some action on that.
11	The United Nations had said that every country should have a
12	national action plan, I think, by about 2015 was the year, and we're just getting there in
13	Canada now.
14	So the report for the National Action Plan, the roadmap, set out 100
15	recommendations across four pillars, so 100 recommendations are already there.
16	These were across the four pillars that were enabling environment
17	and social infrastructure, prevention, promotion of responsive legal and justice systems
18	and support for survivors and their families.
19	The NAP talks about it, for it to be successful, there needs to be
20	independent oversight and evaluation. There needs to be billions in investment, not
21	millions of dollars. There needs to be an all-government approach, cooperation and
22	coordination between all levels of government. Of course, the voices and experiences
23	of people most affected need to be at the centre. There needs to be a minimum of a 10
24	years horizon.
25	So the barriers to this implementation, of course, is political will,
26	which we've talked about before, and also partisanship. So we really need to get to a
27	place where it's obvious to every politician and every member of the general public as
28	well that gender-based and intimate partner violence are not are non-partisan issues.

- 1 There's always the fear, for example, regarding the NAP or any improvements made by
- 2 any government that if an opposing party comes in, those gains will be undone. We've
- 3 seen this happen in the past.
- So the political will to invest this amount of money is needed to do it
- 5 properly.
- 6 Women's Shelters Canada is concerned about how the NAP is
- being implemented, so there -- currently there's individual agreements between the
- 8 federal government and the provinces and territories, so it's not going to be this holistic
- 9 response or all of government coordinated response.
- 10 Quebec has already said it won't sign on to the NAP, to our
- understanding, so that is problematic as well. And a line we often use is that the
- services and supports women received should not be dependent on their postal code,
- and we're concerned that that's still going to be the case in the way the NAP is being
- implemented.
- Along with the NAP, we need training, as has been spoken about
- 16 before, so we need training for police, we need proper training for shelter and
- prevention staff, mental health workers, physicians, workplaces, neighbours, friends,
- family, everything that's been stated, especially judges and lawyers.
- So I was going to bring up a case in Gatineau, Quebec that just
- 20 happened where a man who was found guilty of physically abusing his wife was --
- received a conditional discharge because the judge said that imprisonment could put his
- job in jeopardy and that a criminal record would prevent him from visiting his sister in
- the U.S. and could prevent him from volunteering at his church.
- So these are things -- we need more training in all of these
- 25 examples.
- I agree with what Sue was speaking about, and Dawn and others,
- about education. We need coordinated education about consent, about healthy
- 28 relationships across the country.

1	I did do some work about 10 years ago in England with the White
2	Ribbon Campaign UK, and we went into schools and talked about healthy relationships.
3	And just to give an example of sometimes what the misperception among children, so
4	there was this idea that like, you know, if my boyfriend is texting me constantly every
5	five minutes because he wants to know where I am and he cares about me, and that's
6	you know, there's the idea that that's a caring a caring thing. And we were able to
7	say, "Well, if it's every two minutes then, like, that's a controlling behaviour. That's not a
8	caring behaviour". And kind of be able to teach that.
9	I would also speak about gun control. So there should be an
10	automatic suspension of firearms licences upon any domestic violence-related charge.
11	I would personally say any violence-related charge, but I haven't
12	discussed that with my organization.
13	We know that a vast majority of mass casualties involve femicide or
14	familicide, so the killing of family members. We know that more than half or so of the
15	massed shootings in the U.S. that involve strangers or members of the public start with
16	an act of intimate partner violence or violence against a female family member.
17	We know that owning a gun increases the risk of death in a
18	domestic violence setting. In rural settings, especially true. We also know that rural
19	women are disproportionately victims of femicide.
20	In terms of funding, we need more funding, full stop. We also need
21	to stop the per capita funding when it comes to rural, remote and northern areas. These
22	are areas that are most in need of funding, so we need to not do the per capita funding
23	where northern where the territories have the most the highest statistics of violence,
24	where northern parts of provinces have the highest rates of femicide where, as I said,
25	rural women are disproportionately affected by femicide. So we need to put more
26	resources into the rural, remote and northern areas.
27	Those are all my comments for now. Thanks.
28	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you very much.

1	So I'll turn now to you, Katreena Scott, just to offer your comments
2	on these questions. And again, feel free to, yeah, reflect back on what you've heard at
3	this up to this point.
4	DR. KATREENA SCOTT: Well, I'd like to thank you and I would
5	like to share some of the panelists' frustration and reflections that we have had many
6	recommendations made. They are well thought out, they have been consultative, they
7	have brought many voices together in terms of what needs to change, and so we know
8	a lot about what to do.
9	I think what I would like to do is reflect a little bit starting on services
10	and then I'll talk a little bit about training.
11	When we think about services, and what we need to do, is we need
12	to gradually build a more a flexible and responsive system of response to abuse.
13	Perpetrators that, as was said, that kind of echoes and has some of the features of work
14	with survivors and victims.
15	I think in doing that, one of the ways one of the things to think
16	about is we know that different communities across our province and across the country
17	are different, and they have different needs. And I think one of the ways to start to
18	develop flexible services is to really empower communities to build this work. That
19	means bringing leaders of communities together, leaders in the public sector, leaders in
20	the child protection sector, leaders in the justice sector to make sure that they, first of
21	all, have the training on domestic violence and they understand the magnitude of the
22	problem, and then can collaborate to decide what is needed in their community to move
23	the dial.
24	So in some communities that might be housing-based, in some
25	communities that might be developing linguistically and culturally appropriate services,
26	in some communities it may be expanding or working with the mental health plus
27	domestic violence services so that communities can have some power to implement the

changes and gradually build in a way that makes sense for moving the dial in their

communities. And so that requires both at leadership level and, as was just talked

about, the collaboration, the systems level, case level collaboration where people can

3 come together on high-risk cases and discuss what needs to happen.

Because one of the other things is that, you know, many of us in our lives know somebody who we're already concerned about because of the way that they're behaving or because of something that they're experiencing. Many times those individuals who are most high-risk are known by multiple different service and service providers in community, so having situation tables or collaborating tables that can come together and make plans for those individuals that promote accountability and safety is really important.

So that's what I want to say on the service development side.

In terms of for training and awareness, I find myself reflecting a little about, you know, the importance of this Commission and the voice that this Commission has and what might be possible with it. So I am trained as a clinical psychologist. I've been teaching for years, originally at the University of Toronto for many, many years in the Clinical Psychology Program. In the clinical psychology doctoral and masters level training program, the only material that our -- our new clinical psychologists got on gender-based violence was material that they got in a course that they took from me if they chose it as an elective.

In clinical psychology there is no requirement on training in gender-based violence. This is true in most regulated professions. And I know that when you try to advance the need for training in gender-based violence there's a lot of pushback around, you know, we have other things that we need training on, but if we're really going to recognise that gender-based violence is a core issue in child protection, in policing, in justice, in mental health and substance use, we can't allow that not to be taught in our programs. Social workers and colleges of regulation around social work, around psychology, around medicine, around nursing, around law and judicial training is needed to be mandated in gender-based violence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Finally, I have asked to show one slide because the final point I want to make is around recognition of the expertise of those who do work specialist work in gender-based violence. This is the final diagram of a national project that we engaged in over the last couple of years. The report was released a number of months ago, it's referenced in our report, but it shows the expertise that those who have -- that work in gender-based violence as specialists that shows what they bring to the table. I think it's important to better recognise that skill and knowledge, and I think it's important to do that because often the voices of people from shelter, the voices of people who are working with victims of torture, or with children and youth who have been experiencing domestic violence are not given the same weight and value at the table as those of other -- of other professionals, and I don't think there's strong recognition of the really specific and important expertise they bring to the table. This diagram is kind of a culmination of a large document that outlines what it is that gender-based violence specialists know, understand, and are able to do. There is more work to be done. The blank petals represent areas that still need development. For example, we didn't look in this project on sexual violence and the importance and the knowledge of those who provide sexual violence services. But I think recognising that expertise in a very formal way is going to be also important. Thank you. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks very much. I'm not sure, Commissioners, if there are any questions or ideas that you'd like to hear us speak about a little bit more before we -- before we take a break? **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** It's Commissioner Stanton speaking. One thing I would just note for the public is that the diagram that was just shown is in the Commissioned report of Dr. Scott. So it was hard to see on the screen, I appreciate that, so the Commissioned report is on the website, and -- so people can take a look at it there.

1	I did just want to follow up. Dr. Pearce, certainly we would be very
2	interested in the resources that you mentioned, and I'm grateful for that. I'm of course
3	familiar with your foundational dissertation that identified the scale of the Missing and
4	Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls crisis in the country, and so it's good to know
5	that you are continuing work in the area that you're in.
6	I wondered if you could talk a little bit about the unit that you're
7	affiliated with the RCMP? If you could restate the name of it, and just tell us a bit about
8	what it does, please?
9	DR. MARYANNE PEARCE: Sure. It's National Crime Prevention
10	and Indigenous Policing Services Canada. My colleague, she's actually my boss,
11	Superintendent Kim Taplin, is probably she I'm a special advisor, but she's in
12	charge, so perhaps she would be better off to speak about the ins and out of it, if that's
13	okay.
14	SUPT. KIM TAPLIN: Yeah, I'd be happy to. So in my role, I'm
15	responsible for overseeing national policy programs, education, community outreach
16	from the National Headquarters perspective. I am supported by numerous employees
17	who focus specifically on areas, such as, you know reconciliation, victims services,
18	human trafficking, community safety and well-being, youth services, are just a few of the
19	areas of experts of folks that support the work.
20	One of I would I would mention that one of the important
21	factors here that we take into consideration, is that while we may have a national policy
22	or procedures, what's really important here is that we build in the flexibility for the RCMF
23	to work with their communities at the local level to implement strategies that work for
24	that community and that recognise the expertise, the services available in those
25	communities, and also, you know, sort of the size and of the communities and such.
26	So in a nutshell, that's what I do. I could go on for hours, probably,
27	but I hope that that's enough information for you.
28	COMMISSIONER STANTON: I wonder if you could tell us a bit

about whether your unit has remit to review the recommendations made by past 1 inquiries and to ensure their translation into policy for the RCMP, and then how that 2 knowledge gets transferred to members? 3 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** Sure. Yeah, perhaps I would -- I would --4 Maryanne just signalled that she would like to provide some information on that. So if 5 you would. 6 7 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Sure, and maybe just tell me when 8 your unit was established, please? Is it a fairly recently structured one or ---9 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** No, that's been ---10 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** --- it's quite ---11 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** --- in existence for many years. 12 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Okay. 13 **SUPT. KIM TAPLIN:** And it falls under the Contract and 14 Indigenous Policing line. 15 16 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Right, okay, thank you. SUPT. KIM TAPLIN: M'hm. 17 **DR. MARYANNE PEARCE:** As part of -- I was on part of the team 18 that responded to the MMIW Inquiry on behalf of the RCMP, and one of the things that 19 we did is -- now, most of them I had personally knowledge of from my academic work, 20 but I went through them, plus many, many more. We did a mapping exercise, a small 21 22 team of us, on the recommendations and then our policies, both nationally, divisional, 23 and then if there was detachment ones. 24 So we did a huge analysis of that and then as anything came in just make sure that we were either, you know, at level or exceeded, or it wasn't applicable 25 because some things -- for instance, if it's for the Winnipeg police, well, I would still read 26 27 that because it -- take a WPS, and maybe RCMP, maybe it would work, but some of those were very specific to that telecom or something, so not applicable. So we went 28

through that to make sure all those recommendations.

And that's something that we -- you know, we still do this. It's part of our -- it's not just myself and Kim's team but other parts of Contract Policing will, for instance, MCrOps, the National Crime Prevention -- Criminal Operations section would do the same thing, like, when the Toronto -- when the report regarding McArthur came out, you know, that came to me but would also go to MCrOps. And we would all take a different review.

From my perspective, I was looking from a gendered, Indigenous, LGBTQ perspective, as well as just the policy part. So we would all do that. That's kind of our daily business is making sure that regardless of it's our police service, a province, a territory, that we do review that to make sure anything relevant and best practices or you know, systemic issues that were identified. We look at it from our perspective, that's kind of a standard.

**COMMISSIONER STANTON:** That's really helpful. And I just wonder how the knowledge gets transferred out to frontline folks from -- it's good that the analysis is happening. How does the knowledge transfer happen?

SUPT. KIM TAPLIN: So that's a really good question. They're a large organization. So I would say generally that information is shared through our Criminal Operations Officers; for example, through coordination with them, conversations with them. But also at a more, I would say, granular level, each of the units within the National Headquarters that fall under my purview, have contacts in -- within the divisions. And so they maintain regular contact through those working groups steering committees; just regular information sharing.

And so that's sort of at the more granular level, but certainly at the higher level it's shared through, you know, more formal means.

**COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you very much.

I just have one clarification that I wanted to make, in particular for Women's Shelters Canada. You've made a submission a number of times now that

- says that the Commission has essentially added to the problem of the cultural framing
- of gender-based violence. You, each time, specified the remark made by Commission
- 3 Counsel with the first Foundational Document that was presented. I think you've made
- 4 it three times verbally and once or twice in writing.
- We've heard it each time, but I would invite people to know that
- structurally we have independent Commission Counsel, and I would invite you to also
- 7 attend to the very carefully worded submissions made by independent Commission
- 8 Counsel during the two weeks that we spent on gender-based violence, and the way in
- 9 which the evidence was presented at that time, and we can spare you from making that
- 10 submission a further time.
- So we really do appreciate all of the submissions today, they've
- been extremely helpful, and we are very much looking forward to the written
- submissions that we will receive.
- So thank you all so much.
- MS. EMILY HILL: I think the time suggestion is that we take a one-
- hour break and come back. We'll be joined by a couple of more participants and
- 17 continue this conversation this afternoon.
- 18 --- Upon breaking at 12:31 p.m.
- 19 --- Upon resuming at 1:41 p.m.
- 20 MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 21 Participants. We are going to resume proceedings for the afternoon.
- This afternoon's session will be focused on a discussion of equality
- and community safety and wellbeing. And in a few moments, Emily, my co-facilitator,
- will invite you all to reflect on questions that are designed to help the Commission
- understand what resources and supports women, children, and other vulnerable people
- 26 experiencing violence need in their communities to help with safety. And we're
- 27 particularly interested in hearing about the particular needs of individuals in rural areas
- and also the particular needs and particular impacts on members of marginalized and

- racialized communities when we look at possible ideas and actionable
- 2 recommendations for keeping families, individuals, and communities safer in the future.
- We are joined this afternoon by four new faces around the table.
- 4 So I will just quicky introduce and welcome Julia Rustad, who is with "H" Division Victim
- 5 Services; Nick Cardone, who's with Free Range Therapy and has authored a report for
- the Commission and will be offering us a brief presentation in a few moments. And also
- as representatives of Nova Scotia, I'm very pleased to welcome DeRico Symonds,
- who's the Senior Executive Advisor to the Deputy Minister, the Office of Equity and Anti-
- 9 Racism Initiatives; as well as Jill Barkhouse, who is the Director, Child, Youth, and
- 10 Family Supports from the Department of Community Services.
- So welcome to you all, and welcome back everybody else from
- lunch. Hope you all had a chance to get some food and take a breath and engage in --
- prepare to engage in this afternoon's discussion.
- So as I mentioned a moment ago, we're joined as well this
- afternoon by Nick Cardone, who's a therapist in the community and is going to deliver a
- brief presentation to start our discussion this afternoon.
- So without further ado, over to you, Mr. Cardone.
- MR. NICK CARDONE: I think I need to see my slide deck. There
- 19 we go.
- MR. NICK CARDONE: Good afternoon. It's an honour and a
- 21 privilege to have been invited to the Commission to participate, what I believe is my
- scope of practice, my expertise, and the experiences I have a mental health clinician,
- certainly as a male-identified individual, and also as a father.
- My discussion today is a looking at is a brief summary of the report
- 25 that was commissioned by myself and my partner in this work, Brian Braganza. It's
- important to state at the outset that this presentation here is and cannot be a complete
- summary of the report. We simply don't have enough time for that, so.
- I will do my best to summarize and for more details on anything that

1	comes up, please feel free to speak to me afterwards or, if you have a while, you can
2	read the report.
3	Again, my name is Nick Cardone. I am a mental health clinician, a
4	registered counselling therapist in the Province of Nova Scotia. I have a private practice
5	clinic called Free Range Therapy where I work with, almost exclusively, men and boys,
6	male identified folk. That is my primary specialty.
7	The secondary specialty is how I work and how I do the mental
8	health piece, and so I invite my clients into exploring non-traditional or alternative ways
9	to do the therapy or places to do the therapy. And so for example, this past Monday I
10	spent part of my day walking around Point Pleasant Park with some of my clients.
11	There's a longer story to that. I'll get into that a little bit later.
12	My partner, Brian Braganza, he has been working with men and
13	boys for the last 30 years in various capacities. He is an educator and a facilitator.
14	Brian and I, if we could sort of summarize the nature of the work we
15	do is, really, to work to disrupt harmful expressions of masculinity and invite men into
16	exploring healthier versions of themselves as men or boys.
17	The intention here in this presentation, as briefly as possible, is to
18	explore these concepts of masculinity, again, which are complex in and of themselves.
19	I'll do my best to be brief in those descriptions, but also to explore some of the or
20	introduce some of the harmful expressions of masculinity beyond the reason why we
21	are here in terms of the mass shooting and, ideally, invite us to consider some
22	recommendations, solutions, strategies and supports across the spectrum and not just
23	with men, either.
24	In a larger context, what I hope to do here in a broad sense is really
25	try and render visible what, in many ways, is invisible or ignored, this notion of

Thank you again to the Commission. I think it's also important for me to acknowledge that I come to you here on the shoulders of largely women over

masculinity. I'll explain more about that in a second.

26

27

- generations who have worked so tremendously hard, screaming from the mountaintops
- and busting it in the trenches to basically say what I think I'm going to be saying to you
- today, that masculinity in and of itself is not a bad thing, and yet harmful expressions of
- 4 masculinity pervade our society, our community, our children, our schools every day
- 5 and we tend not to see it and the impacts are negative, harmful and unacceptable.
- And I'm here on the shoulders of all that work, and I think it's
- 7 important to explore that, especially those in the room here right now who do that work
- 8 as we speak.
- A couple of quick definitions. You might hear me refer to notions of
- gender, male and female, which sound quite binary. I assure you, I do not ascribe to
- that belief. Gender is expansive in the work that I do and the notion of masculinity itself
- is a multiple concept. I do not ascribe to the singular notion of what malehood,
- masculinity or men is or are. Masculinities are as diverse as gender.
- So before I jump in here, I'd like you to take a moment to think of a
- man or a boy in your life, someone you know, family member, colleague, friend, okay,
- someone who has suffered, someone who has struggled, someone who has been hurt
- in some way, shape or form by another man or boy. And if you feel comfortable doing
- so, I'll take a couple seconds here, please write that name down on your page
- 19 somewhere.
- I will not ask you to show that. I will not ask you to share that later
- 21 as well.
- If you wouldn't mind writing that name down, I'll give you a minute
- to do that, please.
- Okay. Please hold that person in your thoughts as we go through
- 25 this -- the rest of this presentation.
- So the parable of the fish in the water. There is this story, it's in my
- 27 report, of these two young fish who are swimming through the water talking, as fish do,
- and as they swim along, they see an older fish coming in the other direction. And as

- they pass, the old fish says to the younger fish, "Hey, folks, how's the water?".
- And that's all they say, and they swim by each other. And then the
- two younger fish look at each other quizzically and one of them says to the other, "What
- 4 the heck is water?".
- And my invitation is to assert and reassert throughout this
- 6 presentation that notions of masculinity and the harmful impacts of harmful -- of
- 7 unhealthy expressions of masculinity are all around us like the water around the fish.
- 8 And one of two things happens, okay.
- We need to change the water because the young fish don't know
- it's there or vaguely aware of its presence. The older fish knows it's there and either
- plays an active role in doing something about the water, the culture of masculinity, or is
- complicit in those harmful expressions of masculinity.
- And so I was rock climbing with one of my daughters a number of
- 14 years ago and when my kids are climbing, I always make sure to tell them, "Climb as
- high as you want. If you need some help, please feel free to ask. Otherwise, when you
- want to come down, let me know and I'll lower you down".
- And there was a young boy about the same age as my daughter,
- approximately 10 at the time, and his father and they were climbing right beside us.
- And this young boy must have heard us as he was climbing. He was about 10 feet off
- the ground. He looks down at his father and says, "I'm ready to come down now". And
- the father says, almost verbatim, "We're here to climb up, not to climb down".
- And so the boy tries again and he looks back down at his father
- and you could tell his voice was getting animated and he was clearly distressed and he
- wanted to come down. And his father kept pushing and pushing and pushing with an
- angrier and angrier tone until, finally, frustrated, with a loud huff, he lowers the boy
- down.
- And he says to the boy when he comes down, angrily, "How could
- you let a girl pass you?". Okay.

1 And so in that moment, I am, I'll say sarcastically, wanting to say to 2 the dad, "I'll see your son in therapy in about 10 years". And the impact that moment has on that boy about his -- how he is 3 seen in the eyes of his father, his messaging around what it means to be a boy or a 4 man, how it means to compare to girls or women in that moment is transcendent. And 5 it's interesting as I sort of, out of the side of my eye, I just noticed the ripples in the 6 picture on the Mass Casualty Commission. The ripple effect keeps going, and it's not 7 just with that boy. It's his next interaction with someone who doesn't want to climb, it's 8 9 his next interaction with a girl or a woman. It's his next interaction with his father, okay. That's one ripple effect. The other one, which goes ignored, 10 largely, is what does my daughter hear in that moment as well. 11 Masculinity as a social construct, social construct meaning it is a 12 notion, as I said, of guidelines that we as humanity, as individuals create the 13 boundaries, the expectations of what it means to be a man. Masculinity in and of itself 14 is not bad, okay. It's the harmful expressions of masculinity, okay. The water, the 15 16 culture of masculinity. That's where we get ourselves into trouble. And so I made a comment about this earlier, but if we look at the 17 difference between the older fish and the new -- and the younger fish, the old fish, he is, 18 on some level -- he, if I can use that as pronoun -- he's aware of the presence of 19 masculinity enough to be able to ask about it. 20 The young fish, they may or may not be aware, right, maybe on 21 22 some level, like the teenager I'm currently seeing in my practice, who comes to me because he is absolutely sick and tired of all the conversations with his peers, at school 23 24 primarily, right, who ask him, "How much sex have you had lately?" Or they're bullying and taunting him because of the shirt he wears, or because of something that happened 25 last year, where he got emotional about something, and they're still chirping him a year 26 27 later. And so he might not be aware of the water around him, the culture of masculinity,

but it's having an impact nonetheless.

And for those older fish, if I can use that expression, right, those 1 who are aware and still use masculine norms in unhealthy ways, we condone those 2 harmful expressions of masculinity, we promote, they're celebrated in many ways. 3 I use this word "honour" that came up within the context of sort of 4 military culture where, you know, being a man, being a strong man, being a brave man, 5 being a tough man, is an honoured -- badge of honour. 6 7 And men are also compensated for those harmful expressions. And so these unhelpful, unhealthy expressions remain largely 8 9 invisible until we start to shine a light on their presence, their harmful impacts, and what we can do about it, which is one of my hopes with you today. 10 This is a current example that we all see in the media currently. 11 The Hockey Canada stories of sexual improprieties by junior hockey players throughout 12 the years. These are just the stories that have come to light; right? And yet we would 13 be remiss if we don't at least highlight, on some level, that over the years, and things 14 are changing, I'm going to own that, the Board itself, for example, has consisted largely 15 16 of, pardon the expressions, older white men. We can't ignore that. Now, I'm not an expert in all the content around Hockey Canada; 17 okay? But this is what I see when I look at these stories. There's a reference to this 18 fund. Again, I believe it was referred to as the fund for uninsured liabilities or something 19 to that extent. And a good chunk of that money goes toward paying off people, women, 20 21 to maintain their silence. But there is a fund, our tax payers, by the way, our tax payer 22 dollars, that is allocated to paying people off to maintain silence. 23 And so the silence also is what we don't say, but also -- so one example -- so there was a job opportunity that came up recently as a function of all this 24 going on with Hockey Canada, and in almost all of the media stories, I did a search on 25 this last night, almost all of the media stories, in the job description, okay, there is -- I 26 found two small references to the term "masculinity". Toxic masculinity I believe was 27 one of them. I don't like using that term. 28

1	iniy point is that we don't hame what should be hamed.
2	And so, you know, the problems remain largely invisible or
3	silenced. Let alone the impacts. The impacts on other men and boys, but others in our
4	community: women, young girls, families, children, peers, workplace partners, et cetera.
5	So let me just give you a quick overview of what some of these
6	harmful expressions, harmful expressions of masculinity, look like. This is all in the
7	data. There's plenty of data to support this. I picked one set of references here. I'm
8	going to rifle through them quickly, just in the interest of time.
9	So the Conforming to Masculine Norms Inventory has 11
10	characteristics of "harmful expressions of masculinity": this notion of wining at all costs;
11	that I must control myself emotionally; high risk taking, high adventure type behaviours;
12	the condoning or promoting of violence; the dominance over others; the notion of a
13	playboy or having lots of sex; that I am good, I'm fine, I can go it alone, I don't need your
14	help; that work takes priority over all else; that there is some notion of a power structure
15	over women and that men are more powerful; some level of disdain for homosexuality
16	or anything that is not the same sort of sexual identity as a man; and that status is
17	something worth pursuing at all costs.
18	Now, these there's some generalizations in here, I do realize.
19	This is what this particular norms inventory highlights as the top 11 harmful expressions
20	of masculinity.
21	And so what happens here, and this comes from I mean, there's
22	many references to this, but I'm stealing this from the American Psychological
23	Association Guidelines for the Psychological Treatment of Men and Boys, I believe is
24	the reference; okay?
25	And I'll show you the quote in a second, but the nutshell is this, is
26	that let me just go back here is that the more a boy or a man adheres to these
27	norms, and there's ways to measure it, the greater adherence to masculine norms,
28	traditional masculine norms, the greater the likelihood a man or boy will suffer from

1 mental and/or physical illness.

The greater adherence to those norms will negatively influence the mental health and physical health of a man or boy.

And so it comes, to me, it's a large term, I know, but I believe -- I do believe that there is this crisis of masculinity. That's not just in this timeframe now. This has been going on for generations, if not centuries. And, you know, we could have another talk one day about the history of all this stuff. But in our present moment, men are struggling. Men and boys are struggling.

And the ripple effect goes beyond just men and boys.

Here's where we get into some of the harmful impacts. So again, there's a lot of stats here. Please just glance through them quickly. Okay. But if we look at suicide rates, homicide deaths, perpetrators of homicide, incarcerations, mass or school shootings, addictions, and overdose deaths, this is a disproportionate number of men who are leading in these categories. And these are just some of the statistics. I had to shuffle this down from about 50 percent of the stats that I had. The stats also include, you know, intimate partner violence perpetrators, homelessness, struggles in academics, first responder and military mental health and addictions statistics.

So why are we still talking about it? I've been given a five-minute sort of warning, and so I'm going to sort of quickly go through a couple of things, if you don't mind. I do apologize for the repitity [sic].

The older fish versus the younger fish. There is a notion of power and privilege that cannot be ignored by those who stand to benefit from these harmful expressions of masculinity. In doing so, that sets up a culture of dominance that if I show up this way, that I will benefit in some way, shape, or form in my culture, in my family, in my community, in my school, in my workplace. Right? And it's impossible to ignore the connection to patriarchy here.

Habits and patterns are invisible, largely. So without shedding a light, it's hard to change.

1	Here again, I'd love to talk about this more, but language is quite
2	powerful. And so whether it's what we don't say, or what we limit ourselves saying
3	when we don't talk about, you know, harmful aspects of masculinity in the Hockey
4	Canada stories, for example. When we talk about, you know, you know, a woman
5	being a victim of sexual violence, as opposed to a man being a perpetrator of sexual
6	violence.
7	I'm going to zoom through these notions of where do we learn
8	about masculinity because we're aware of all this stuff. This is just sort of media stuff.
9	This influences how a man shows up just as much as this. And these notions are
10	perpetrated in families, especially in father figures, but not exclusively, in our peer
11	community, through the media, and our community. And our community, for me, is
12	where do our children spend the bulk of their time? It's school, sports and socializing.
13	In rural settings, there is almost a magnifying effect because there
14	are more traditional expressions of gender roles in rural settings. The report, by the
15	way, has the some of the data and the research to support these statements. There
16	are fewer alternatives for other ways to show up as a man. There's a social reinforcing
17	and policing of traditional masculine norms that's quite rigid in rural communities, and
18	also, there's less access to gender-sensitive programming.
19	We do need a seismic shift, otherwise, nothing changes, and those
20	stats stay the same or they get worse.
21	So by way of example, I used to be an outdoor educator in north of
22	Toronto, and we used to do an environmental impact assessment of the Humber River,
23	measuring the quality of the health of the river from the north just north of Toronto all
24	the way down to where it flowed into Lake Ontario. And it goes without saying, but I'm -
25	further downstream the contaminants increased.
26	And so I use this notion, Brian and I use this notion of upstream
27	and downstream work to describe the same idea with men and boys. Upstream, how
28	do we work with men and boys at younger ages? How do we work with boys? And tha

- includes, and I'll give you some examples in a second, downstream is how do we work
- with men who are already influenced, who are already, contamination is a strong word,
- 3 but who are already influenced by harmful expressions of masculinity?
- We need to change the culture for youth and children, directly and
- 5 indirectly, and that means getting into our communities, especially our teens, and our
- schools, and our youth groups. Okay? And that's where we get to have positive
- 7 influence for young people by changing the culture when they're young, and this
- 8 includes developmental programming, and media literacy.
- 9 How do we impact the influencers of young people? Parent,
- coaching, and mentoring. Coaches, teachers, and therapists need greater training in
- terms of gender sensitivity, and I believe on some level, we need greater media
- accountability as well. Downstream, we need to find more effective, more resonant
- ways to help men heal and find ways, better expressions, healthier expressions for
- them to be men.

- And in the therapy I do, okay, we talk about this idea that men have
- poor help-seeking behaviours. And I don't disagree, but what I do assert is that we say
- that if a man doesn't go to therapy, for example, that he must have poor help-seeking
- behaviours. What we don't ask is does the therapeutic offerings that we do have in our
- community—private, community-based, hospital-based—do those therapy offerings
- resonate with men and boys, and are they gender sensitive.
- Based on the work that I do, working almost exclusively with men
- 22 and boys, my clinic is busting at the seams. The group work that Brian and I do has an
- attrition rate, and this is unheard of in the mental health community, an attrition rate in
- the group work that Brian and I do of 1 percent. So therapy settings that resonate.
- I believe I heard Dr. Scott earlier reference, these are my words,
- but something of a drop-down menu of options, and this includes with intimate partner
- violence programming, and improved access, of course.
  - The TONE Project is the name of the group work that we do, and

1	we need to create brave and vulnerable spaces for men. Jackson Katz refers to the
2	bystander coaching. How do we support men and boys to stand up in respectful,
3	responsible ways to call each other out in honourable ways?
4	And it's not just about mental health and addictions, it's also about
5	partnering, intimate partner violence, how do we engage in our communities. And we
6	need to train our therapists and other community leaders.
7	The question, and maybe I'll finish on this, just to honour my time
8	here. One of the questions that I received for this afternoon's part of the roundtable; this
9	is a direct quote:
10	"what resources and supports do women, children,
11	and other vulnerable people need to be safe and
12	protected from violence?"
13	Now, I am not an expert in how to support women, children, and
14	other vulnerable populations. I do that, but I'm not an expert in that. What I am an
15	expert at is supporting a healthy evolution of men and boys by shifting the culture that
16	will then have a ripple effect into families, parenting, schools, therapy settings, sports
17	settings, and thus, the water I referred to earlier, the upstream and the downstream,
18	then becomes a cycle. Boys grow up to have healthy expressions of masculinity, who
19	will eventually become fathers, and coaches, and teachers, and mentors. That is my
20	hope of hopes, and I think the river feeds back on itself.
21	I'm going to leave you with this quote. It's an honour to be able to
22	quote to put this quote out to you, but I do believe that in the notion of power and
23	privilege it is the people in positions of power and authority that have the social
24	imperative to change. And if anyone's a Rush fan in the audience:
25	"the men who hold high places must be the ones
26	who start to mold a new reality [that's] closer to the
27	heart."
28	It's not just about men, it's all of us in positions of power, big and

1 small.

And with that, I will say thank you very much for the privilege of being able to speak here today.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you very much for that presentation. And yes, the report is available and goes into some of these ideas in more time and with more depth than we have today. Certainly, what we heard I think echoes a lot of the conversation we heard from others around the table with regard to the change that's needed in the community at large and how it connects to school and media, but also, the important, I think, message throughout the change is possible, and we have to start from that assumption that change is possible.

I'd like to now just move into the -- to discussing the question that we just saw up on the screen a moment ago, which is what specific resources and supports do women, children, and other vulnerable people need to be safe and protected from violence? What is particularly needed in rural areas?

The hope, again, as we go around, is if people can keep their comments under five minutes and think about if you're -- if you're here with another organisation and sharing that time, just to make sure we can get through and hear from everybody. I know that we've already heard some really helpful ideas that can generate recommendations. In our -- at the break, I heard other people discussing this, and certainly we even received a suggestion. Scott McLeod is here with us, someone who has been personally touched by the work -- the mass casualty, and shared his observations about the possibility of a recommendation focussed on linking in Corrections and the work that happens in Corrections with some of the community and other government resources that are available. And I see nods around the table to that recommendation.

So I appreciate the work that's being done here today to offer those practical solutions, and I just wanted to acknowledge that recommendation that came to us.

1	So it you're comfortable, I would start with you, Emily, and ask you
2	to address the first question.
3	MS. EMILY STEWART: Hi. My name is Emily Stewart, and I'm the
4	Executive Director at the Replace Transition House. We serve Colchester and East
5	Hants communities. I'm also a registered social worker, so keep that in mind with some
6	of my responses here.
7	Thank you, Nick, for your piece today. I hadn't planned on sharing
8	a story that I had working with the youth who had been taken into care due to violence
9	in the home. He asked me to paint his nails, and I said sure, but why? And he said "I
10	want to be a girl." I said, "Oh, okay. Why is that?" And he said, "Because girls are kept
11	safe." We're told we teach boys we don't hit girls. So this 7 year old had internalised
12	that he had been removed from his home but his sister hadn't been because he was a
13	boy and he was bad and he was a violent person. And he had internalised that at such
14	a young age.
15	And you know, that always really stuck with me about the
16	messaging we're sending out to generations of people and how that's being heard. And
17	I always heard it in a different way because I am a women and I don't want to be hit, but
18	then there are the flip side to that is boys are seeing violence everywhere, and they're
19	experiencing it themselves, and they're learning at a young age, without understanding
20	the historical context that has made women more vulnerable to violence throughout
21	thousands of years.
22	So I think when we get to the recommendations and the public
23	education piece, this is very important to include.
24	I'd also like to back it up a little bit and deconstruct the idea of what
25	it means to be a vulnerable person.
26	So a vulnerable person in this is somebody that we're saying is less
27	able or unable to protect themselves and needs somebody to intervene on their behalf.
28	What this negates to consider is systemic things that leave people vulnerable. Are

- women inherently weak? Are they inherently submissive? Is that why women are
- 2 vulnerable? Or are there bigger structural elements at play?
- And Bell Hooks is quoted also in Nick's report and talks about how
- 4 these notions get reinforced in the patriarchy and that we need to address those things.
- 5 So instead of looking at people who are vulnerable, we need to look at marginalization,
- 6 colonization, oppression, and exploitation.
- So in this case, vulnerability refers to a higher risk of experiencing
- 8 violence. It can also bring to mind a dichotomy of what a deserving and undeserving
- 9 victim can look like, because if we imagine a vulnerable person who is weak, who is
- easily exploited, who doesn't fight back, the people we serve as a transition house don't
- 11 fit neatly into this perfect victim categories.
- In terms of resources and support, I think it's important to address
- the fact that in a capitalist society, access to money is the most valuable resource. So
- as Dawn spoke about earlier today, my observation had also been quite similar. When
- 15 CERB was around, things were different. Women had \$2,000 a month, which meant
- 16 they could find housing. Right now, you cannot find housing for under about \$1,000 a
- month pretty much anywhere in Nova Scotia. Income Assistance gives you \$975. So
- lack of affordable housing, lack of financial security, lack of affordable daycare are all
- resources that need to be put in place for equity to be achieved and may not, you know,
- be immediately coming to mind when we think about community safety and wellbeing.
- I think it's also important to recognize that in rural communities, we
- kind of have a preconceived notion, especially in Nova Scotia, that's it's predominately
- white and that there's less culturally relevant services available in rural communities.
- 24 And we could definitely use more for newcomers, as well as African Nova Scotian
- communities. And I'm lucky that I'm in Truro, where we do have Millbrook Family
- Healing Centre to serve Indigenous women and that the Resiliency Centre that has
- 27 recently been announced I think will really address some of those core routes for
- 28 Indigenous girls and women and two spirit individuals.

Further, in rural communities, lack of transportation makes it really difficult to court appointments and other essential family errands. And then we also have lots of areas in the community that you still can't get cell service. So when you have a high-risk designation for a woman in a rural area and she is unable to use her cellphone to call for help and the RCMP-provided panic alarms in some cases require service to run off, those are systemic and structural barriers that all influence intimate partner violence and community safety.

Also in rural areas, with the fewer resources available and fewer degrees of separation because people may avoid using certain services because their mom, their aunt, their uncle, their abuser's brother, somebody works there. And in the past 30, 40, 50 years, we've heard a lot of, "Well we don't want to duplicate services. We want to make sure that everyone has their mandate and everyone sticks within their lines." But when you don't have duplication of services and there's no overlay and there's no overlap, that's where the gaps exist. And when you have gaps, that's where people fall through.

So I think there's just a lot of ways we can reimagine what we're doing and recognizing what's already being done and recognize that not all forms of abuse that I would recognize or, you know, a lot of our community partners here, are criminal. So it is important that we invest in community-based resources, because mental abuse, financial abuse, spiritual abuse, those things are -- psychological abuse are deeply impactful, but they're not criminal. They would be if it was a stranger, it would be forms of harassment, it would be different criminal definitions, but when it's an intimate partner context, those things are very difficult to address with the criminal justice system. So they can also be precursors to, you know, moving on to physical abuse. And the earlier we can intervene and get the support for the person experiencing the abuse and ensure that the person who is perpetrating the abuse has adequate resources as well, that will also go toward building a safer community for all.

Yeah, those are my thoughts.

1	MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks very much. I appreciate your comments
2	with regard to vulnerability. I think it helps provides a good context for this
3	conversation.
4	I'll go now to Sue.
5	MS. SUE BOOKCHIN: Thank you so much.
6	And thank you, Nick, for that presentation. I would say, yes, yes,
7	and yes to all of that.
8	And also to ensure that we think about culturally designated,
9	culturally responsive, culturally sensitive work with boys and men, because if you are a
10	Black man in Canada or a Black boy, the pressures, the biases, and the traumas are
11	quite different than if you're a white boy. And the same for Indigenous people, that we
12	always be mindful that we are tending to and carrying for the people who have had the
13	least access to resources like this.
14	I think in terms of other recommendations, I think our carceral
15	response or calling of the police is quite limited. Most survivors do not call the police
16	and we need a range of providers who can help in filling the cracks that people are
17	falling through. I call these people navigators in some ways. So I have sent a proposal
18	that we had crafted about gender-based violence navigators. We know about offender
19	navigators. People who will walk with people who are going through these situations
20	throughout their time, whether they go through the criminal justice system or not,
21	whether they have to go through the family court or not. It's a foreign land for ordinary
22	citizens to go into the criminal legal system to do any of that. And it's very painful.
23	The other thing that survivors need because of that is some
24	accountability for system-induced harms. Many survivors have told us that if they had
25	known how they would suffer going through the criminal legal system, they would not
26	have reported the abuse.
27	We need restorative and transformative options, and those terms
28	are sometimes used interchangeably, but I think there are nuanced differences. And

- because most people want to stay together. Most people do not want their relationship
- to break up. So we need some options for people who need help in that way.
- 3 Survivors also often want the opportunity to contribute to change in
- 4 these systems. We rarely, if ever, invite them to the table. This Commission is an
- 5 example of that. But it happens everywhere at every decision-making table, at every
- 6 evaluation table, at every policy table. We don't actually invite survivors, who are the
- ones who have the lived expertise to tell us what we're doing right and what we need to
- 8 change.
- And I could argue that we need the voices of people who used
- violence as well who are the one third to two thirds of people who use abuse who do
- change. We need those voices too, because they can also inform what we're doing.
- In a research project that we did that interviewed 40 women about
- their searches for justice, it came down to three things: they wanted support; they
- wanted validation that they had been harmed; and they wanted to exercise agency in
- the process.
- And when you go into the legal criminal system, you get, often
- troublingly, very little of that.
- But what we heard is that one person who offers that validation,
- one person who offers you some dignity as someone who has been victimized can
- 20 completely change the course of that experience for you and change the course of a
- 21 life. If you get a judgmental response back, you may completely shut down and run in
- the other direction and carry that trauma for decades.
- The -- somebody had mentioned about collaboration and -- let me
- leave that. I'll leave that for the next round before we leave.
- But also, how do we restore the sense of community that there
- used to be in our communities where we cared for each other? Not only did we know
- each other, but we looked after each other. We knew what was happening. We
- gathered support. Our communities have changed, and I don't know how we do more

of that, but I know that it's critical to human health and well-being and I think it's
worthwhile thinking about that.

Thank you.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks very much.

Kristina.

MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Hello, everyone. So I'm going to take a little bit of a different approach than I took this morning and talk about some work that, as participants -- so Avalon Sexual Assault Centre is in a coalition with Wellness Within and LEAF. And through our participation in this Inquiry, we were noticing some gaps with the work of the Commission.

And it was through wage funding through our navigator, our community navigator, who is directly working in the African-Nova Scotian community, that we were able to bring together a proposal to the Mass Casualty Commission to engage in some community engagement. And this was to create safe space for individuals from the African-Nova Scotian community and indigenous communities who were impacted by the perpetrator.

I know today we're not talking about individuals directly impacted by the perpetrator because we're looking larger at gender-based violence and intimate partner violence, but I think it's important that, through our proposal that we put forward and the community engagement work, that Avalon was taking a position that it's really important that we're getting survivor-led recommendations as part of this work and that recommendations coming from vulnerable, marginalized and racialized individuals is vitally important to the work of the Commission.

And because people were directly impacted or because families were directly impacted by the perpetrator, that by creating safe space, by having facilitators from the African-Nova Scotian community with our worker who is a navigator through Avalon, who is African-Nova Scotian, who's working in the community, it created space to bring recommendations forward.

So what I'm going to do now, and as I continue throughout the day
is talk about what is needed to create safety for women and children, especially from
vulnerable, marginalized and racialized communities. This is direct feedback that has
come from three community engagement meetings that we have done so far, and
there's a few more planned later this month.

I also want to say that there will be a report coming later this month around the work that we're doing and there will be recommendations coming directly from survivors. So I'm doing this all with consent from individuals that have come to the community engagement meetings.

So individuals that experience gender-based violence, intimate partner violence, especially from marginalized and vulnerable communities, do not have any safe space to talk about violence. And because of how violence is normalized from a very young age and how young girls normalize violence because of what they're seeing that they don't know where to go and don't -- they feel that if they do come forward, if they talk to family about it, if they go to school and talk about it, there's no safe spaces for that.

And they're also seeing that if they do report, the fear and the retaliation and violence that comes from police and how their community members, males within their community, will be impacted. I think this is really important when we're understanding -- trying to understand why individuals don't come forward.

And I'm not just saying come forward and report to police, but why do people not come forward, why do people not engage with services or reach out for services? It's because there's not enough safe spaces that are culturally responsive, that are culturally safe, that understand what trauma looks like for marginalized and racialized individuals and how that might look different than individuals that experience violence coming from privilege and individuals that experience violence that are white, or white women.

We need to understand all of this and we need to understand this

- through the lens of survivors that are impacted by violence, and they need to be at
- these tables, at all of these tables, informing recommendations, what services are
- needed, what is lacking within their community, how rural communities, violence
- 4 continues to be even more isolated because of fear and because of close connections,
- family, violence that's happening between family members, between community
- 6 members, people in positions of power.

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fear, retaliation, everything I talked about this morning around

8 victim blaming, secondary wounding, institutional betrayal. And also, if you're

marginalized and racialized, your credibility is even more discounted when coming

forward, and we need to understand that as workers in all sectors and systems, whether

we're a therapist, counsellors, frontline staff working in transition houses, providing

services to males, health care providers, doctors, everywhere across and in our

workplaces. We need to understand this.

We also need and what has been identified as a recommendation is that when funding is coming to work with marginalized and racialized communities that we're not actually reaching out to those communities to actually see what their needs are and we clump everyone together thinking this community is -- every rural community needs the exact thing and this "one size fits all" model does not work when we're looking at rural communities and responding to violence.

And we need to understand the unique differences that exist in communities and between communities and how we're making things equitable. And this morning, you know, when we're talking about whether or not someone has access to services, it should not be based on their postal code. People should have consistent services that they're able to access no matter which part of the province they're in and they should have culturally responsive services that they can access.

And another feedback and recommendation is that oftentimes when individuals coming from marginalized -- when marginalized or racialized individuals are coming forward and engaging with services that they don't see individuals that look like

- them. They don't see workers. They don't see supportive people. They don't see 1
- people -- if they're going to report a sexual assault or report to the police about intimate 2
- partner violence, they don't see indigenous, African Nova Scotian, other individuals, 3
- people of colour, right. They're not -- they're seeing white individuals. 4

members, the males, are treated with violence, that is a huge barrier.

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

And because of the amount of violence that has been perpetrated 5 by white individuals, this is further a barrier in regards to creating safe space and having 7 individuals come forward, which further isolates and creates a silo and keeps the abuse within the home. And if that has been reinforced and told -- if young girls are told from a young age that what happens in the home stays in the home and then they're seeing this, there's no safe space, no safe people, individuals that do not look like them and 10

they're seeing the violence through media in their communities where their community

And I think another important recommendation that came forward that I know that all of us that had been involved in the Avalon work and the community engagement work is when individuals engage with service providers and professionals, they often go into offices, you know, if it's community based or if it's in hospitals or if it's going to the police station, they see, you know, a statement about your behaviour will not be tolerated, violence will not be tolerated by you, harassment and, you know, like a code of conduct as a person coming into a service. But the feedback that I think is really important for all of us who are attached to professional bodies, the regulatory bodies, individuals in positions of power, is that there is transparency for individuals that are engaging with service providers about if violence, abuse, harassment, or bullying, sexual abuse is perpetrated by an individual in a position of power such as a doctor, a social worker, therapist, a nurse, that individuals know how to report what that looks like in regards to making a complaint. Why are we not transparent -- why is there no transparency about our regulatory bodies, our registration, our numbers as, you know, I'm a registered social worker, so I have a number and there should be transparent to all people about if there's violence that happens, this is how we create safety. That

- individuals see that this base that I'm coming into has an understanding that violence
- and abuse should not be happening and that if it does happen, there needs to be
- transparency and there's going to be accountability and there's safety in that by seeing
- 4 that when we engage with service providers at all levels.
- And we also need to reduce the barriers that all services provide
- and that's what creates safety is that individuals, both survivors and individuals that
- 7 perpetrate violence, needs to be able to access services that work with, you know, them
- 8 using substances, right, and that they're not further isolated and told they don't fit. I'm
- going to leave it there.
- MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Kristina. I know that you're bringing
- forward messages on behalf of the work that you're doing on behalf of a coalition, so
- thanks for -- thank you for your comments.
- 13 I'll turn now to Jeanne.
- 14 **MS. JEANNE SARSON:** Thank you. On the issue of naming,
- which has been mentioned even earlier today, I'm still mentioning the issue of torture.
- 16 It's a different crime when it's perpetrated by private individuals than abuse. And if
- we're looking at the issues of support, if we don't name what it is we're dealing with,
- we're at risk of not giving the right support. So naming is critically important.
- And with the families that we have come to know in Nova Scotia
- and elsewhere, the issue of the perpetrator's also different. They have an MO that is
- 21 quite different. We have found that it's quite complex. And when it comes to the issue
- of children, we have -- the women have told us repeatedly that when they were young
- that the little boys were taught to be perpetrators, if you want to use that word.
- 24 Intentionally they were taught as very young children to engage in so-called -- it wasn't
- called rape, but that's what they would grow up to know, to be aggressive -- sexualized
- 26 aggressing -- aggression against children and sexualized aggression against their
- siblings. And actually, one of the women told us that if their brothers brought girlfriends
- into the house, it was not surprising that the girl would be raped right there because that

was their normal behaviour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

So naming is critical. The issue of understanding the MO, the continuum of violence that starts with physical, it can be burning. And we had a woman say, "Well, I was burnt with a hot lightbulb." So does that become sexualized? Yes, the hot lightbulb was inserted into her vagina. And then you talk about the psychological,

so that is that continuum of their MO.

We also found that human trafficking or sexualized exploitation was a common occurrence. So that means there's an informal network because you cannot engage in exploitation unless there's a formal network. And that brings me to the issue of rural areas, because not only can it be a crime site, it can be a destination site, because some of the women were taken to farms. They were victimized on farms. They were tortured on farms. And they were treated like they said like animals on the farm. So we have to look at our society and exactly understand what is happening.

So when you talk about marginalized populations, if we don't understand the MO, we're going to have groups of marginalized populations. And even though I'm talking about Nova Scotia because it happened in Nova Scotia, actually, 30 years ago this month, we have become global and it's a story that's repeated in many countries on this planet. So I would really ask that we hear what the women are telling us to talk about.

The other issue I'd like to talk about is the fact that when we are listening to women tell the truth, we have to have the language that we understand and the culture that we're dealing with. And for Linda and I, we ended up calling it a coculture because all of a sudden we were emerged in a way of understanding genderbased violence and intimate partner violence in a way we had never ever imagined. And for us to provide the resources and the support, we have to be able to go there and walk with the women through their victimization of torture. So thank you very much.

**MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you.

Linda? 28

1	MS. LINDA MacDONALD: Thank you. I was looking at an idea for
2	resources and support for women, and girls too because I think some centres work with
3	girls. I know that some of the centres we know in Ontario they work with young girls as
4	young as 12 who've been tortured. To make sure that on the assessment, the
5	assessment tools or whatever you're using, women and girls can see the language of
6	torture, because now it's you know, it's assault or it's abuse, but they never see the
7	word torture so they're not going to start thinking in that language. And we have a
8	questionnaire that we use with the survivors, and they can list many different forms of
9	torture. So in the London Abused Women's Centre they have an assessment tool that
10	does include torture and they identify an average 67 women a year that are tortured just
11	by using that tool. So if we start to imagine what it's like all across Canada in different
12	centres, we'd start to really understand how much torture is happening to women and
13	girls.
14	And aside to that, I know you mentioned I'm terrible with names,
15	but you mentioned Hockey Canada, and you mentioned Hockey Canada. And, of
16	course, Hockey Canada talks a lot about gang rape, and gang rape is a form of torture.
17	It's a known form of torture at the UN because it is so spirit and self shattering because
18	you have such a large group of people attacking one individual and it really takes them
19	beyond themselves. So in our everyday reality, we're hearing about torture. We're just
20	not thinking of it as torture. So it's a way to reframe the different crimes that we're
21	hearing about.
22	And I think I'd recommend on the assessment tool that women, just
23	like Katreena mentioned about asking questions that help women identify the crimes
24	that they're enduring, is to ask whether they feel that their life is at risk, do they feel that
25	they're going to be murdered by the person that they're living with or escaping from, so
26	that we can start tracking those femicides. And always ask the question have you been
27	strangled.
28	Also, whether you are involved in an informal network of organized

- crime. That's one area that women are very terrified to talk about, most people are very
- terrified to talk about. But we -- you know, I've heard of at least four or five organized
- 3 crime gangs in this province. I was shocked to hear that there's that many in this
- 4 province. I know of two. I didn't know that many. And that's the ones that are named.
- 5 Then the ones that Jeanne and I hear about, they're informal and they're not -- they
- don't have a name because they don't want to be that visible. So there's much more
- 7 organized crime in society than people are willing to deal with. And yet the young
- women and girls who are trying to escape from this, they know that there's organized
- 9 crime because everywhere they turn, there's someone stopping them. And if you start
- to think of the women that you've helped to escape from violence, you know that they're
- in organised crime because you know who you've come across and them trying to
- escape as well. So we have to start naming the crime. And educate young children. I
- mean, a teenager should know that there is organised crime around. Hopefully they'll
- be less apt to get pulled into it.
- One of the things I'm really thrilled about is the -- how many people
- have mentioned patriarchy here today, and you know, it's just -- it's so -- it's like the
- water again, we live in patriarchy.
- So if -- patriarchy was named by feminists, and I think we have to
- really start acknowledging the work of feminists in Canada, in global reality, because it's
- 20 -- feminist theory, it's feminist work who is going to get us out of all this violence. And if
- we start naming it, and naming it with children and being proud talking about feminism,
- then you're not going to get the backlash that we get now.
- I mean, Jeanne and I have had backlash about being part of this
- 24 Commission because we're feminists and be accused of all kinds of things that I won't
- get into here now. But it shouldn't be the day -- there should be a day where feminists
- don't have to deal with backlash. It's just not right.
- So I -- as you had mentioned, I acknowledge, Nick, all the feminists
- who came before us because that's why we're here today talking about patriarchy.

1	Thank you.
2	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you.
3	I'll hand it over, I'm not sure who on the who is here on behalf of
4	RCMP wants to speak to this question of resources and supports that are needed to
5	help women and children be safe, and thinking particularly, if possible, about actionable
6	recommendations and practical solutions.
7	SUPT. KIM TAPLIN: I think for time, we're just going to pass on to
8	the service providers, if that's all right, just to allow then a little more time.
9	MS. EMILY HILL: Yeah, that's fine.
10	And if it's okay with you, Nick, I think you've answered this question
11	at your presentation, so I'll just jump right to Kaitlin if that's all right?
12	MR. NICK CARDONE: That's fine.
13	MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks.
14	MS. KAITLIN GEIGER-BARDSWICH: Hi. For those who are new
15	here, I am the Director of Communications, Grants, and Development at Women's
16	Shelters Canada. So we're a national organisation that brings together shelters across
17	the country.
18	To answer this question, the first thing we thought of was the
19	continuum of services, especially in rural areas, and particularly, the importance of
20	providing those services to women who choose to stay with their abuser for whatever
21	reasons. I'm saying choose, but be it that they choose to or are forced to due to lack of
22	capacity and shelters or affordable housing options.
23	Outreach services are so so important. We know that shelters
24	serve way more people outside of the shelter wall than within. A number that we have
25	used in the past is that for every two women served in shelter five are receiving
26	outreach services.
27	Through the program that was developed in Prince Edward Island
28	that Women's Shelters Canada has taken national in rural areas of Alberta,

- Saskatchewan, and the Yukon, called Circles of Safety. A circle of safety is a trauma-
- 2 informed, survivor-centred, collaborative approach to safety planning that brings
- together a survivor, service providers, and informal support networks to generate
- 4 creative, holistic solutions for safety. And this was a program that was funded by
- 5 Women and Gender Equality of the federal government.
- Transportation is a huge issue. I would echo exactly everything
- that Emily said. Affordable housing is a big thing. So this often creates a bottleneck
- when we're talking about people staying in shelters. There are very -- no affordable
- 9 housing options basically across the country in both urban and rural -- urban and rural
- areas, so when women come into shelter they're staying for a lot longer than the
- shelters were meant for them to stay in initially, and then they can't move out, so no new
- women can move in, creates the bottleneck, it creates a lot of capacity issues.
- Funding has been mentioned before as well. So we have
- completed several studies on emergency and second stage shelters that show the lack
- of funding. And I'm just going to read a couple of those statistics.
- So the majority of VAW shelters, 64 percent, do not receive an
- annual cost of living increase from their main government funder; 1 in 5 indicated that
- they had not received a funding increase in 10 years or more. More than 50 percent of
- shelters could not meet their operating expenses without fundraising; and 10 percent
- could not meet their operating expenses even with fundraising, but the vast majority do
- 21 not have fundraisers on staff. So it's people who are doing this work, and I'm looking at
- Emily nodding, you are doing this work outside of your desk to try to fundraise to keep
- 23 your doors open, which is problematic. One-third of respondents indicated that they did
- 24 not receive funding from their main funder to do any prevention or awareness work.
- We can, of course, provide the Commission with any further
- information on the programs or reports that I mentioned there. As I said this morning,
- we need a national action plan that will help. We also need to increase capacity
- everywhere. We need to get to a place where no woman is turned away from a shelter

due to lack of space, and no man is turned away from a perpetrator program.

We also need to take care of the staff and the professionals who are doing this work. There's a lot of burnout, a lot of people are leaving the sector, a lot of turnover. We can't do this work without properly trained, properly paid people to do it.

I refer back as well to my comments this morning about needing a cultural change. Women and children need a cultural change in order to be safe and protected from violence. And on that, I just want to quickly end by addressing the Commissioner's statement just before the lunch break to Women's Shelters Canada.

I was unprepared, and frankly quite uncomfortable that Women's Shelters Canada were singled out for bringing up a Senior Commission Counsel's problematic wording when these previous submissions had been made by our coalition consisting of Women's Shelters Canada, the Transition House Association of Nova Scotia, and Be the Peace. It is our coalition's work for these connections to be made. This Commission is meant to be operating from a trauma-informed perspective, whereas I felt that aspects of my submission were being silenced and dismissed.

This is the first time anyone from the coalition has been invited to appear in front of the Commission. It is my first time here. I prepared these statements last night, after receiving the questions a few hours before. My main point was about the need for a cultural change and a shift in how we understand these issues. I felt that the example I used was the perfect example, among many examples I used, of how longstanding and ingrained these misperceptions are. Thank you.

commissioner stanton: Thank you for that, and you're quite right, I should have properly given that direction to counsel who has appeared on behalf of your coalition, as opposed to you. I didn't realise that you hadn't been apprised of the prior times that that same example has been put to us by your coalition. I think what I'm getting at is that we have so little time and it's so precious when we do have you here, what we're really interested in are making use of the time for things that we haven't already been provided. And so the submissions, I assure you we do carefully consider

- and we do review them, and so we're just so keen to have what it is that you have to tell
- 2 us that we haven't heard at this point. So thank you for that, and we -- we've -- we do
- 3 appreciate what you have to tell us. So thanks.
- 4 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Now, is it yourself, DeRico, who's going to be
- 5 addressing this question? Yeah, thank you.
- 6 **MR. DeRICO SYMONDS:** Hello everyone, and before I begin, of
- 7 course, just would like to acknowledge, we are in Mi'kma'ki', ancestral and
- 8 unsurrendered territory of the Mi'kmaq people; as well, recognising the contributions,
- 9 four hundred plus years of the African Nova Scotian community.
- So there's a couple of things that I want to address -- I want to
- address in this question. So a few things I'll sort of say off the top, and then I'll get into
- 12 answering the question.
- So the first thing that sort of came to mind when reading what we're
- discussing was thinking about the intersectionality for members of the 2SLGBTQQI+
- community. Because we're talking about, you know, men, women, and gender binary, I
- iust wanted to make sure that we're sort of the table just thinking about this may
- intersect with folks who do not subscribe to the gender binary of men and women.
- And so for context, I work for the Office of Equity and Anti-Racism
- 19 Initiatives. I have a background working in community, community crime prevention, as
- well as persons experiencing homelessness, as well as mental health challenges.
- So the first thing I just sort of want to point, just even in regards to
- 22 the title that we're discussing, I think it's -- I think it's important. It's not necessarily a
- criticism at all, but just -- I think the details are important. So equality and community
- safety and well-being, I think we're talking about equity because when we say "equality"
- we're inferring that everyone is the same -- of the same, and equity is talking about
- 26 meeting people where they are to ensure that everyone has what they need where they
- 27 are.

And so the first sort of thing is I believe that we're connected but not

- coordinated. I think that there's a lot of coordination that needs to happen regarding the
- 2 particular services. I think that this can be a very nuanced conversation if we're talking
- about particular subgroups of the population, Mi'kmaq, Indigenous, Black and African
- 4 Nova Scotian, members of the 2SLGBTQQI+ community. And then as well, there's
- 5 many questions that come to mind when thinking about this; where do these behaviours
- 6 begin; what are youth growing up learning; how is this bred in society; and how do we,
- as a society, continue to perpetuate these particular behaviours within our systems?
- 8 And if you're old enough to experience it, I think that you're old enough to learn about it.
- 9 And this is going into a point regarding embedding these types of learnings into our
- 10 curriculum.
- And last point I wanted to make before I answer the question is, it shouldn't matter which government is in power, who's in. It's really about the work that needs to be done. And my concern is that this type of work and these types of
- conversations continue to become politicized and we're talking about real lives and real
- people here. So it shouldn't matter which government is in. It's about the work that
- 16 needs to happen.

- And so when I talk about -- when I get into the question, I think
- about root causes. So I go back to some of what Nick was talking about, having that
- upstream conversation. So how do we get there? And thinking about poverty, mental
- 20 health, education, certainly housing, as well as increasing protective factors for folks,
- and unemployment. Looking at addressing these things holistically, rather than sort of
- Band-Aid or singular approaches. We need to look at the entire system in terms of how
- folks are falling into that river and having that upstream conversation.
- The next piece is around -- so a therapist roster. So we understand
- 25 the model of sort of a youth advocate worker. We understand the model of social
- workers, where they have a roster of folks that they continuously work with. But can
- they have the same thing for therapists?
  - And so imagine folks like Nick Cardone, or folks like who have that

- particular background, who are trained therapists, who then have a roster of people that
- they can work with in community and it's no cost to community. Simply put, if I am
- someone that wants to get, you know, my sports funded, I can fill out a form, Kids Sport,
- 4 boom, and I got it. But what about therapy? And how can people access that? And so
- 5 if we had mobile therapists the same way as we do youth advocate workers or social
- 6 workers, I believe that can make a difference. I also believe in culturally relevant and
- 7 responsive mental health supports. And this is when I talk about this could be a very
- 8 nuanced conversation, depending on which subsection of the population we're talking
- 9 about.
- I think awareness and education around what is actually available.
- There's often a poor job done in terms of advertising the actual services that are
- available to folks. And when we get into different communities, different levels of
- income, rural communities, a lot of it is online. A lot of it is on the internet. How are we
- getting it in the hands of the people who actually need it so that they know that these
- 15 services are available?
- And then how can somebody use that service if they don't have
- reliable internet, they don't have reliable phone connection, speaking about rural
- 18 communities.
- I believe in embedding relevant information at a school-based level.
- 20 Oftentimes, kids are spending more time in school than they actually are at home in the
- run of a day. And so things around gender sensitivity, sexual violence, healthy
- relationships, all of the above, all of the things that Nick had talked about regarding toxic
- 23 masculinity. So I understand and I know that there are groups who are in and out of the
- schools. I used to be one of those folks. But what about putting it in the curriculum
- itself? So kids are learning that information while they are there.
- The other thing around -- so transformative over punitive, simply
- 27 ask the question, how do we think incarceration and punitive jail approaches are
- working to actually help or solve these behaviours? I think we know, you know, the

- answer to that. Is it really truly rehabilitative? I'd rather look at transformative
- 2 approaches. I'm not throwing away jail completely, but I think that we know the answer
- 3 to the question if we ask how is that working.
- 4 And so specifically sort of rural communities, some of what has
- been said in terms of reliable internet connection, 2022 and everyone does not have
- 6 reliable internet connection, and that's become, in some cases, a sole source or a
- 7 single source of how we are getting information out to folks. It creates a very
- 8 inequitable circumstance for people.
- 9 Also, phone connection. Many of our rural communities, if you're
- driving, you don't have a connection or it's a very spotty connection. And as somebody
- mentioned earlier, if somebody is in danger and they are looking to call, how can they
- do so? Having a reliable phone connection can save a life.
- Infrastructure, simply infrastructure and investments to rural
- communities so that they're not simply pass throughs, they're destinations that people
- will go to, people will move to. And in my experience, when work -- when I was working
- in rural communities and doing work in communities, they were -- folks were saying that
- they're often forgotten about, especially comparing to sort of city-center work. Folks
- feeling as though when things are happening in city-center, that they are forgotten
- about. And the advertisement or what is happening does not make it to them, because
- 20 of where they're living.
- And I think an obvious one, transportation and bus services. And
- really, my answers are all around building social capital, social infrastructure places,
- 23 spaces, and conversations, education, and awareness so that folks do have a social
- safety net. Folks have awareness, they have education, and understanding of the
- things that are around them.
- And, you know, in terms of big impact, a pointed plan involving
- 27 relevant levels of government, and it's funded and led by the non-profit groups in the
- 28 community.

1	Non-profit groups in the community are, in a lot of ways, in more
2	ways than one, leading the charge in this work, and therefore it shouldn't be a funding
3	fight every year for these folks to receive funding to continue doing the good work within
4	the communities.
5	And so a pointed plan. You know, we could say a five or a 10-point
6	plan, but involves and is led by those organizations who are at the forefront, and a
7	coordinated approach within that particular plan.
8	As I said, we're connected, but we're not coordinated. There's
9	many groups who are doing great work in communities. They don't know about each
10	other. Government doesn't know about them. They don't know about us. And so in a
11	five/10-point plan, in terms of making big impact is coordination, true coordination.
12	And thank you.
13	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you so much for that. You really took us
14	through a lot of material in a very short period of time.
15	I don't know, Katreena, if you have any last thoughts on this round
16	of questioning?
17	DR. KATREENA SCOTT: If it's okay, I would share some. Is that
18	all right?
19	MS. EMILY HILL: Yeah, that's fine.
20	DR. KATREENA SCOTT: I in this question, and reflecting on
21	what are the resources and supports that are needed for women and children, and
22	particularly in rural areas, I also agree that one of the important things to do is to talk to
23	women and children. And so I wanted to tell you a little tiny bit about some work we've
24	done as part of the Canadian Domestic Homicide and Vulnerable Populations
25	Initiatives. Some of this will echo themes that others have said. I will be brief in terms
26	of describing it.
27	The Canadian Domestic Violence Homicide Prevention Initiative
28	was a multi-year project national in scope around thinking about what we needed to do

- to prevent intimate partner homicide. The third phase of that project involved in-depth interviews with 129 participants. Thirty-eight (38) of those participants were loved ones of those who had been killed in a domestic homicide. The remainder were women who had survived lethal or near-lethal or very, very serious forms of domestic violence. Interviews were around what their thoughts and feelings and recommendations were for change. There's a recently released report by the Domestic Violence Homicide group on survivor voices around navigating risk and moving to safety. And there are three themes that are important in terms of survivor voices. One is understanding. Survivors talking about the need for
  - One is understanding. Survivors talking about the need for professionals to understand that leaving is a process that is not easy, it's not yes or no, it is a process.

The importance of listening and having less judgement, which is something that has been repeated and mentioned by many people here.

A third theme that we haven't talked as much about is understanding -- the survivors talking about the importance of understanding how abusers use systems against survivors. Examples of that include things like threatening to report a survivor or reporting a survivor to child protection, threatening to have a survivor reported to immigration or deported, using the family court system against survivors. So thinking about how perpetrators of violence actually make use of our institutions and systems against survivors is another theme that was important.

There -- Dr. Diane Crocker is also a partner on this grant and is doing more in-depth work, in particular around survivor voices in Nova Scotia, but in this broader report there was some specific analysis of the voices and experiences of survivors and proxies in rural areas, and there were a number of things that came out in that.

One -- three of them had to do with, really, just understanding the unique experience in rural areas. That includes survivors having to cope with a delay in

- help, so understanding and what the experience of violence is like when you know that police won't necessarily get there quickly or emergency services won't necessarily get
  - there quickly and how that influences all aspects of what's needed to promote -- or to
- 4 keep oneself safe.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Another thing that was mentioned as being particularly important in rural communities was having to make a decision about reporting meaning that that would be a loss of privacy, recognizing that word spreads quickly and so that also is an important influence that survivors and proxies identified.

And finally, the importance and increased acute judgment and blame that survivors and proxies described in rural areas.

In terms of recommendations, the -- in addition to some of the other recommendations, three that were highlighted were the importance, especially in rural areas, of survivors being able to stay in their homes. And that recognizes things like, you know, there's not -- you can't -- if you don't stay in your home, you can't actually have your child stay in the same school because there's not another school that is accessible, necessarily, in a rural area, wherein sometimes in an urban area you can do that, so the importance of housing and being able to stay in your home was really highlighted.

The importance of having shelters with harm reduction rather than no tolerance policies around substance use was identified as critical.

And finally, women and proxies talked about the importance of colocation of services within rural areas, recognizing the large distances that might be needed to travel and the importance of being able to access those services, so having some of those services co-located, including employment services and help for women and survivors who are escaping in those locations was identified as important.

- Thank you.
- MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks so much.
- Are there any questions or areas of further discussion that we

Т	should delive into from the Commissioners point of view before we take a break?
2	COMMISSIONER STANTON: Just really appreciate the focus on
3	the rural context. It's been a bit of a theme for the whole Commission in terms of trying
4	to really focus on the tendency to have policies mapped onto the urban setting or
5	designed for the urban setting, and those often simply are ineffective or unhelpful given
6	the rural context that we, of course, are focused upon, but which our recommendations
7	are going to need to take into consideration given that it's a joint federal-provincial
8	inquiry.
9	So thank you very much for framing the question to include that,
10	and really appreciate the constructive and concrete recommendations that reflect that
11	reality of the different rural contexts, so thank you.
12	MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks.
13	COMMISSIONER FITCH: I was just going to say that I will reserve
14	my comments and observations to the end of the day.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. EMILY HILL: So I think we'll just take a 10-minute break, so I
17	see 3:08, so if we come back at 3:20 and we'll resume the conversation taking up the
18	second question that was shared around.
19	Thank you so much.
20	Upon breaking at 3:09 p.m.
21	Upon resuming at 3:29 p.m.
22	MS. EMILY HILL: We're going to get started, and we have one
23	more question, so we'd like to make sure we have everyone have a chance to address
24	it.
25	We are we have one hour left in our time together today.
26	So I'll start just by saying that the question is that, "What do service
27	providers need to do or better understand in order to contribute to community safety in
28	rural areas? How can we account for the needs of vulnerable or marginalized

- individuals and communities in designing and implementing responsive policies,
- 2 program and interventions?".

- So you've certainly spoken about some of these themes already,
- 4 but again, recommendations and ideas for practical solutions are the focus of our time.
- 5 So I'll hand over to Emily Stewart.
- **MS. EMILY STEWART:** Thank you.
  - First I thought I should start with just saying a bit more about the work that transition houses do. So we provide shelter and outreach services to women and children leaving abusive situations or considering doing so.
  - So I think what's important for us to do, and we've heard around this table today, is not to shy away from the hard conversations, not to decide not to talk about a topic that could be uncomfortable, could feel like blame is being assigned, but to really address things as directly as possible.
  - In our line of work, what we see and hear is just a snapshot of all the worst things that are happening in the homes in our communities. We walk around with this knowledge that around any corner a victim's partner could be. You could only imagine that for the victim, every trip to the grocery store has the potential to elicit mortal fear. And what we to do, and as Dr. Scott mentioned earlier, is build that web of accountability.
  - So women are being held accountable for the violence they're being experienced, whether it is through the systems, so here in Nova Scotia, exposure to intimate partner violence is a form of child abuse. So women are at risk of leaving their -- or losing their children if they are experiencing abuse and not taking enough protective steps.
  - I think it's also important to realize that violence can happen to anyone, however, there are factors such as gender, race, class, age and ability that make an individual more susceptible to violence, which is why employing an intersectional feminist lens and utilizing the social determinants of health while exploring

what gender-based violence looks like and is experienced in the community is

2 important.

I'd also like to comment on what Kaitlin mentioned about staff burnout and turnover. I would -- I don't have a statistic on this, but I think it would be a worthwhile study to look at individuals who come to this work, violence against women, gender-based violence, and understand how many of them have their own lived experience and how being exposed to similar situations impacts on the workforce.

And I will speak from my organization that we have had staff turnover and as an organization that calls themselves trauma informed, it's been difficult for me to listen to my staff say that they love this work, they would love to keep doing it, but the impact on them is so great and I, myself, am leaving my role soon because it is a lot to carry and it is -- I'm grateful that there's men around this table, but it has been carried by women for too long and it needs all hands on deck.

Earlier, I spoke about the messaging we're providing in schools, and it should have a gendered lens, but I think the message is quite simple and quite clear. Everyone deserves a life free of violence. And if we introduce that from primary onward, we will hear more from children who are disclosing abuse at home by their parents or children understanding relational violence in the context of bullying instead of just saying these are natural phenomenons (sic).

Violence is a global societal issue. We see it. It's so pervasive. We are so deep in the water that we see it as natural. But when we see it as natural, it's unmoving, it's unchangeable. So once we understand and lean into the fact that it is a learned behaviour as we've been talking about, we understand that there are ways that we can change it. And I think earlier mentioned that I don't think anyone goes to jail and comes out less violent. So if that's our only option to hold people accountable, things are not working, and we do need to look at various forms of intervention. And whether it be focussing most of our resources on violent offences within the criminal justice system and moving away from some of the victimless crimes, I suppose we could call drug

offences, and consensual sex work, I think would allow for resources to be redistributed to address real harms and problems.

I grew up in rural Nova Scotia, so I think, you know, it's an important thing to consider in the rural context that firearms are a natural part of life in terms of protecting, you know, your family pets or your livestock from predation, from hunting, and so that everyone -- or a lot of people in rural communities have a different relationship with firearms; however, the presence of firearms in a house is an indicator and a higher risk for lethality for women and children. And people use violence who feel that they have no further response and, you know, the Desmond inquiry I think was a very difficult example of how those situations can play out. So we need to understand that gun culture comes from our shared history and growing up on rural communities with bears, coyotes and those things, but also, when we enact legislation that it should be informed by research and evidence based, but also from survivor experiences who are most impacted.

And I think it's also important that we approach the work from a multiagency, multidisciplinary team, so that we have different perspectives at the table. So social workers, men's support workers, transition house, whoever, all working together to resolve the problem. I think another recommendation would be the inclusion of mental health services and therapy under MSI, so that anyone who needs help and feels that they want it, that cost is not a barrier. And by making it built into our normal healthcare system, it normalizes that it's okay to ask for help and that mental health is just as integral to your wellbeing as physical health.

And I think it's also important to recognize that there are individuals in the community that you can't -- there are men that it's difficult to say no to because violent responses, and that it can feel as though that their bad behaviour doesn't get accounted for or they're never held responsibility because nobody will come forward. And it's kind of that vicious cycle is nobody will say anything, so nobody could get -- nothing gets done, but nothing gets done because everyone's too afraid to say

- something about it, so I do think we need to really think critically and intentionally about
- 2 how we can redirect resources to respond to violent criminals or people who use
- violence more directly because sometimes it's a small -- as we heard earlier today, 20
- 4 percent or less of the population of people who use violence are quite possibly
- 5 impacting at home, at work, at the bar on a Friday night, that some individuals are just
- 6 responsible for a disproportionate amount of violence and we need to address those
- 7 individuals. Thank you.
- 8 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks, Emily.
- 9 Sue?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MS. SUE BOOKCHIN: My one-word answer for what we all need to do is collaborate. We talk about collaboration. We say we collaborate. We don't know what collaboration looks like and we don't do it. If we do it, we do it off the side of our desks. And so the idea of building an infrastructure so that collaboration is an

essential part of all that we do I think is the next thing we need to be doing.

When issues are so complex and so intractable, we need to have the most accurate version of reality before we start creating solutions. And the most accurate version of reality requires all the vantage points at the table. It needs to be 2SLGBTQ people, African Nova Scotians, indigenous people, men. As someone said, the bulk of the responsibility for this work over decades, maybe hundreds of years, has been on the shoulders of women. We need men to step up, like the men in this room. No one organization, no one government department, no one person can fix this. We need each other, but we need to build an infrastructure where we can learn together continuously, where we can mobilize the knowledge and the research that's being created, where we can evaluate the things that we try on an ongoing basis and collect data about it, so that we can take innovative and wise action without creating more problems because we didn't actually get the most accurate version of reality. It needs to involve government, academia, community-based services and survivors.

When I mention survivors and their urge to contribute to social

- change, the House of Commons Standing Commission on the Status of Women just 1
- completed a study on intimate partner violence. I was amazed how many briefs they 2
- received from survivors. And if you want to hear the stories over and over again about 3
- systems harms, about the ways that systems have failed people, it's instructive to read 4
- some of those briefs. 5
- And someone also mentioned hubs. You know, we -- I sit on two 6
- 7 hub tables. The hub tables that we have here tend to be information sharing, which is a
- 8 first step. We need to know each other. We need to put faces to names. We need to
- 9 know who's doing what and who we can call on. But this is about beyond hubs. Is how
- will we take innovative action together, based on what we are learning together, so that 10
- we have these constant feedback loops. 11
- The other thing I would just say, because it's come up a number of 12 times about, we have was it 79 reports in the environmental scan that Jennifer Cox 13 presented the other day, that all have, I don't know, a total of hundreds or 14 recommendations, some of which are repetitive, year after year, decade after decade. 15 One of the problems in complexity is that the people who are immersing themselves in
- 16
- understanding the problem from all the vantage points are not the people who are 17
- responsible for the implementation. So you all -- and I just want to acknowledge the 18
- three Commissioners, I can't imagine sitting through this day after day after day, trying 19
- to focus and integrate and connect dots. I just want to honour the work that you're 20
- doing. But that -- and then I lost my thought. So the people who are here and part of 21
- 22 this Commission, who are immersing themselves in understanding it, the people who
- 23 are going to be handed the recommendations to do something with them have not.
- 24 They have not had the benefit of this immersion experience, and so they will pick and
- choose what seems like the right thing to them from their vantage point. They're not 25
- going to read thousands of pages of documents. They're just not. And I wonder also in 26
- 27 those reports, I have often seen reports with recommendations, but they don't come
- with an implementation plan. How is it going to be implemented? Who are the people 28

or departments who are accountable for doing things? What are the milestones that need to be checked? Where's the report card?

So I'm hoping that with the recommendations that you will be making in the report, that will be similar, I'm sure, and repetitive, but maybe some new ones, and so I appreciate the request to say when we put in our written submissions, say who do you think is accountable for getting this done so that those accountabilities can be assigned, and we have some hope that in 10 years we're not sitting here again going through the same thing over and over again.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to be here today.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Sue.

11 Kristina.

MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Okay. So I think that it's really important that when we're looking at what needs to be understood in building community safety, especially in rural areas, is that to build safety there needs to be visibility and there needs to be trust amongst workers that are working in gender-based violence organisations and all other services.

And I believe -- I'm going to share something. Since my time working at Avalon in, you know, kind of this re-imagining services, this unlearning and relearning process that we should all be engaged in and having open and honest conversations about that as service providers, as therapists, whether it's police responding, teachers, Department of Community Services, is that because so many people don't trust, and because individuals, there's no visibility a lot of times in community.

So there might be organisations and community organisations in community, but is there visibility on the ground in communities. Is there workers working outside of, you know, transition houses? And I know transition houses because I worked with one for a very long time. They usually have one outreach worker, right, and they're responsible for all the services, you know, for individuals not coming into the

transition house, and that makes it near impossible for them to have visibility because of

the nature and demand of the job and the waitlist and -- with individuals who might not

want to come into shelters.

Avalon, so I know we're providing lots of different services, trauma therapy, supportive counselling, legal advocacy, SANE program, is that when we have navigators and outreach workers working on the ground in community, who already have trust with the community, that creates pathways, safe pathways for individuals who would not normally engage with services because of violence that has built in the state, violence that they have witnessed, situations where they have experienced revictimization, secondary wounding, and institutional betrayal. When they have trust with key workers working on the ground in communities in rural communities that are part of that community, that is fundamentally important in creating safer communities and making sure that our most vulnerable marginalised and racialized individuals are able to connect with the services and supports in community.

Because we cannot assume that all individuals are aware of those services, feel that they fit in those services, they often don't see culturally responsive messaging, no visibility in situations. And because of you know, what Emily and Sue has just talked about, you know, we need to be collaborating, we need to be working together, we need to be working as allies together and moving this work forward, social justice and advocacy work around gender-based violence and intimate partner violence. We also need to be talking about the need for services for individuals that perpetrate violence,.

And what Emily had said around everyone deserves a life free from violence, we also need to -- individuals need to know at all parts of our community structure systems, and like I talked about earlier, about in workplaces, in schools is that violence will not be accepted and there will be accountability. And that doesn't mean that it needs to come through carceral approaches, through the police that there's

- accountability and there's that web of accountability that Katreena has talked about, and that's really, really important.
- And we need to have navigators and outreach workers that work

  outside of their traditional eight to four and nine to five. Individuals who need -- who are

  experiencing violence need to have access to individuals that are connected to

  community.
  - So yes, we have transition houses, but not all individuals engage with transition houses, just like not all individuals engage with police. We need to have those connections that trust build with key workers in community that have that visibility and trust, and they need to be coming from individuals who are African Nova Scotian and Indigenous and people of colour.
  - And we need to always be centring the voice, and I think that if there is the more opportunities where survivors, where victims, or individuals that perpetrate violence, where they have can have spaces, safe spaces to talk, that this is all part of, they need to be, you know, they need to be able to speak to people in positions of power, including, you know, our politicians our leaders, and ---
- MS. EMILY HILL: Kristina, I'm just going to ask you to wrap up.
- 18 We ---

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 19 **MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD:** Okay.
- 20 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Perfect.
- MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: And just one more thing. When we talk
  about designing and implementing policies, programs and interventions we need
  individuals coming from marginalised and vulnerable communities and we need more
  diversity. Because we cannot inform polices, practices and implement programs if it's
  all being built from individuals that are white and individuals that have never had
  presence or stepped into the communities, especially rural communities in our province.
- 27 Thank you.
- 28 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks.

Jeanne?

2	MS. JEANNE SARSON: Thank you. I think my first
3	recommendation would be to ensure that the Judges Act in this province is indeed
4	followed because I think that will have the conversation about the decisions that are
5	made that are misogynistic it will bring it out into the open and make it more transparent.
6	The other recommendation the RCMP are responsible for teaching
7	on human trafficking. We know that the from Linda, my experience that women in
8	intimate partner violence can be exploited. And the UN Office of Drugs and Crime have
9	identified that indeed sexualised exploitation is an issue in intimate partner violence.
10	And the third point would be on the education of children. I taught
11	children in school, designed a program, and they told me that the most important thing
12	was understanding they develop a relationship with themselves. I had over a thousand
13	evaluations that said that.
14	And the other comment around education in the school is that the
15	women who were tortured and trafficked as children they have told us that maybe if the
16	education was open around violence they might have known what was happening to
17	them was not normal. And we can learn by the MO a simple thing, like disassociation.
18	A child might say "the hand did this" instead of "my hand." So we have lots that we can
19	learn about the MO of perpetrators. So thank you very much.
20	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you.
21	Linda?
22	MS. LINDA MacDONALD: Well, to build on what Katreena said
23	about perpetrators manipulating all those around them, the ideal place for a perpetrator
24	to manipulate is a rural area because it's small, everybody knows one another. Women
25	that Jeanne and I have worked with, they were literally surrounded by everyone who
26	were pulled in by the perpetrators; that includes the police.
27	And a person I'm thinking about, a woman I'm thinking about right is
28	the Nova Scotian, Jane Hurshman. Thirty years ago she was murdered, and she was

really abandoned by her community and by our province. And she was begging the 1 police for support and was murdered, or not murdered, but she was -- she was so 2 abandoned that she felt that all the recourse she had was to kill her own husband. She 3 ended up having to fight for justice for that, but I don't think she ever really, really ever 4 got over the abandonment and she ended up dying by suicide. But in my opinion it was 5 more of a suicidal femicide because I think that she was really abandoned by our 6 7 province. And now we have Susie Butlin, 30 years later, we're talking about her. And 8 the perpetrator manipulated the community. The police, the RCMP, when he got drunk, 9 they drove him home. You know, they didn't arrest him when he was accused of 10 assault. They just drove him home. So the police are a specific population of misogyny that from John 11 Sewell says in his book on crisis in police, he talks about how education will not change 12 the misogyny of the RCMP or any policing. There has to be more accountability. And I 13 think that that's what we really need for the police in particular. I mean, education will 14 15 change our culture, because children do not need to be held accountable. They need to 16 be educated. But the police need accountability. And that means they need to lose their jobs, they need to lose pay, they need to be suspended without pay, they need to 17 reprimanded in ways that it makes it a deterrent for other RCMP or other police to act in 18 that misogynistic way. We have to become very serious about this. I really believe that. 19 And the misogyny in the police is not going to change by education. It's been shown 20 over and over. And to come and say that they'll do better is not enough. Not enough. 21 22 Not in my mind, anyways. And especially in rural areas where women and children 23 depend to be protected against men who are violent with guns. 24 Thank you. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you. Looking over at this side of the 25 table, I don't know who would like to address this question? Go ahead. 26 27 **DR. MARYANNE PEARCE:** First, I wanted to just mention about

Nick Cardone's paper too. I thought that the diseased tree, I love the fish, but the

diseased tree analogy I thought was a really good starting point. It had the story telling 1 element, which I could see actually being, you know, taken into a -- for children. We 2 keep talking about education. That's a really nice -- and it could be a nice graphic too. 3 So I just really liked that. So thank you very much for that. And I 4 hope that it expands and grows. 5 And the other thing is a tree is maybe not in Nunavut, but in 6 7 Canada, it's a very universal symbol. So it's very, very accessible. Because another 8 thing we keep talking about is the -- you know, the need for cultural relevant, cultural 9 safety, and the different masculinities, the different femininities, the different identities. One of the things that I kept thinking, I go back to the research I 10 read where, you know, perceptions, and this could be police, service providers, anyone, 11 the perceptions of victims and victimhood and what it should look like and what it does 12 not look like. And that could be very, very different cross-culturally, different religions, 13 and different sociological statuses. If you're from a very, very rough neighbourhood 14 15 where showing any kind of weakness walking down the street makes you further 16 vulnerable to outside versus -- never mind domestic vulnerability, you're not going to show maybe the same victim face as someone who has never experienced -- a first 17 time victim or the -- you know, the classic, you know, cis-white upper middle class 18 privileged victim. 19 And so that, I think, is something we need to keep in mind, is that, 20 you know, there is a lot of differences between perpetrators and victims and their 21 22 backgrounds. 23 And the -- now, Nick's paper, he mentioned about, you know, the 2SLGBTQ and -- aspect has to be included. And I think that's really important. And 24 also, I was really glad that you talked about the idea of colonialism as well. I think these 25 are all elements that need to be, you know, in our mind there. 26 27 Mr. Symonds, DeRico, had mentioned meet them where they are.

And I had written that down before he had said that and I was smiling because I think

- that's really important. And it's not just the victims as well. It's the offenders as well.
- 2 And there has to be ways of being able to address what is going on, not what we think
- is going on or what we hope is going on. We have a program for this, but not this. But
- 4 if it doesn't match, then it's not going to do anything. And I think that flexibility that
- 5 Nick's paper was talking about was really interesting, just the different, you know, you
- 6 know, what -- sorry, what the -- this basic idea of group therapy or therapy itself may not
- 5 be the way to do it. and we heard this earlier today as well. So this flexibility, creativity,
- 8 meeting people where they are, and providing, you know, solutions that are culturally
- 9 safe, physically safe, I think that's really important.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

And the last thing I wanted to mention is I just -- I think it's important, we've heard about it all day, but I was thinking about this later -- earlier this week, preparing. I think it's important that everybody recognizes the importance and the impacts of vicarious trauma and compassion fatigue on service providers, first responders, you, yourselves, the Commissioners. These are important things that I hope everybody keeps in mind, the need to keep physically, mentally, and spiritually well, and how to help their colleagues' family, who are providing, you know, all the Commission lawyers and everybody behind. This is something I hope everybody keeps in mind. And my thoughts are with you all in your very important work.

Thank you so much.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks very much.

21 Pass over to you, Nick.

MR. NICK CARDONE: Thank you very much. I hope, in the grand scheme of the Commission, there's a number of recommendations and supports and resources that are offered to support women, children, and vulnerable populations. My greatest hope is that we don't forget men and boys in this larger conversation and we hold space for a both/and kind of sentiment.

For example, wouldn't it be lovely if there were more male-identified folk around this table, in this room, attending these roundtable discussions or

- 1 Commission proceedings? There's a larger story about why that's the case, and we
- can talk about that another time, but for the shifts that we are hoping for to truly take
- place on a grand scale, we need more men and male voices as well, and supports.
- Some of those supports in my hope of hopes, again, look at the up
- 5 and down stream. And I'll just give a couple of quick suggestions.
- I made a comment to my desk partners over here earlier around a
- story at school where one of my other daughters was playing in the playground and she
- went to one of the teachers saying there's a group of boys that were stealing the
- 9 basketball from them, and the teacher said, more or less, "Boys will be boys" and
- 10 sluffed it off.
- And so one of the, sort of, greatest upstream, I think, supports we
- need is greater education and training for educators. Community leaders in general, but
- 13 educators as well.
- And as someone mentioned earlier, that's where our -- I think it was
- DeRico, that's where our kids are spending the vast majority of their days anyways.
- Similarly goes for coaching environments where coaches are role
- models and what they say and what they do has a great influence on the young people
- and the young minds and the young lives.
- DeRico also mentioned curriculum that is long overdue to address
- any one of the number of issues that are brought up at this -- that's been brought up at
- the table here.
- And lastly, coaching, parenting, mentoring support for parents.
- In a downstream capacity, it sounds like Dr. Scott mentioned this,
- 24 and the language I use this notion of a culture class. There's this culture of masculinity,
- which I described earlier. There's also a culture of therapy. And generally speaking,
- therapy more or less looks like 50 minute/hour, sitting in an office in a confined space,
- 27 generally talking about your feelings. And that tends not to resonate. And there's lots
- of research that says that the traditional notion of therapy tends not to resonate with

- most men and boys. And so to sort of shift the ability for men and boys to heal from
- anyone of a number of things, doesn't matter if it's violence that's been perpetrated
- against them, or the traumas they've experienced doing something to someone else,
- 4 that we need to find ways to invite them into a therapy space, headspace, heart space.
- 5 And that doesn't meant hat they just need to sit in an office.
- And so the work that I do, individually and for groups, is to invite men and boys to see that there are other ways and other places that we can do the

8 therapy.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

There is plenty of research that has been coming out in recent years that demonstrates quite unequivocally that men and boys tend not to either show up in therapy, adhere to therapeutic work, or just drop out simply because the therapy is not the right approach and the relationship with the therapist is just ineffective. And so we know that men and boys need therapy supports. We just need greater resonance, gender-sensitive therapy approaches for men and boys to help them heal.

And there's my pie in the sky. Thank you very much for the time.

**MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you.

Kaitlin?

MS. KAITLIN GEIGER-BARDSWICH: So I did forget to mention in the last question specifically about second stage shelters and I just want to take a moment to talk about that. Second stage shelters are a form of transitional supportive housing for survivors of intimate partner violence, who are at risk of danger post separation and need additional time and support to heal from their trauma and rebuild their lives. They're often created out of a need seen by an emergency shelter.

And a note as well, I've been saying shelters throughout this, recognizing that they're called transition homes in this province and other parts of the country. Shelters and transition homes are used interchangeably, but when I'm speaking about shelters, I'm speaking in this province about transition homes, just to make that clear.

1	Second stage shelters are basically not in existent (sic) in rural,	
2	remote and northern communities. We Women's Shelters Canada has been doing a	
3	large-scale research study about second stage shelters, the first of its kind in this	
4	country, brought out a recommendation that we really need to increase the number	
5	second stage shelters in these regions. They are limited due to often the critical lack of	
6	affordable housing, additional costs to build in the north, fewer opportunities to partner	
7	with housing organizations, and barriers to local fundraising. Second stage shelters do	
8	not receive any government funds in some provinces such as Saskatchewan and	
9	Newfoundland. Quebec recently started funding them and that was only after a	
10	concerted campaign by the second stage coalition in that province.	
11	Women's Shelters Canada is also working on a new second stage	
12	shelter program that will work with 14 emergency shelters to build second stage	
13	shelters, and we're particularly looking at rural areas, to providing these supports	
14	including some funding to navigate the systems needed to get these shelters operatin	
15	But as I mentioned before, the grant to do this is from a private foundation, not from	
16	government sustainable funds.	
17	Now to answer this question, the simplest and most important	
18	response I think is that we need to have vulnerable and marginalized individuals and	
19	communities at the table when we're designing those policies, programs and	
20	interventions. I wholeheartedly echo everything that Kristina and DeRico have been	
21	saying this afternoon and this morning particularly about that.	
22	Service providers need to better understand accessibility issues. In	
23	the shelter and transition house sector, many are not physically accessible because	
24	their buildings are old and falling apart. It's not because of a lack of desire to be	
25	accessible, but a lack of being able to. I recommend connecting with our reading the	
26	work of DAWN Canada specifically on accessibility.	
27	Additionally, for rural and particularly marginalized individuals, the	
28	Commission should I'm not sure if you are doing this, but I recommend seeking out	

- recommendations from indigenous organizations. Our sister organization for on reserve
- shelters is the National Aboriginal Circle Against Family Violence, so they could give
- 3 specific recommendations for that.
- 4 Service providers outside the IPV, intimate partner violence sector
- 5 need to better understand the realities of the people in the shelter system. For
- 6 example, in some provinces, the housing authority and Child Protective Services will
- only allow a woman to have her children returned to her if she can find housing that has
- a separate bedroom for each child over the age of five. As we mentioned regarding the
- 9 housing crisis, that is impossible for many women seeking to leave a shelter.
- We've talked about education for children. Service providers need
- to provide trauma informed services for children and youth who have witnessed or
- experienced abuse. Women's Shelters Canada is also taking a BC Society of
- 13 Transition Houses program, the PEACE program, national, which does this work with
- children and youth and is focussing on training shelters located in rural, remote and
- northern communities outside of B.C. But again, more funding, more of that would be
- 16 really useful.
- 17 Service providers also need to understand technologically
- facilitated abuse and how it particularly affects rural women. Through a federal
- government grant this time, we are scaling up another BC Society program on tech
- violence and bringing training to shelters across the country over a two-year period.
- And again, these are all sort of, like, *ad hoc* grants based things and there's not really a
- concerted core effort to get all this happening where we don't have to keep applying for
- 23 money to have it happened.
- As Katreena mentioned earlier regarding low barrier, trauma
- informed services, there needs to be more supports for services to operate this way and
- more funding to do so. This is especially true in rural areas where a woman's shelter or
- transition house is often the only place to go. They can have people referred to them by
- police or by hospitals if they do not necessarily have the services to adequately serve.

1	In a report I referred to earlier, about 80 percent of shelters have
2	served women with substance use or mental health concerns, but 80 percent of those
3	reported that this was a major challenge for shelters.
4	Again, through a corporate donation, we are creating a community
5	of practice working with 40 to 50 shelter staff from across the country to identify ways to
6	better support women with substance use and mental health challenges. However, the
7	applications we received were three times the number of slots we had, which again
8	shows the demand for that training and increased training on that issue. Thank you.
9	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you so much. Just before we move to
10	Nova Scotia, I want to just check in. Julia Rustad, did I I may have skipped over you
11	inadvertently. Did you have anything you wanted to add?
12	Okay. I just wanted to make sure. And go ahead, yeah, DeRico.
13	MR. DeRICO SYMONDS: Thank you. The first thing I wanted to
14	say as well is just a thank you to the ASL interpreters in the room. I just appreciate that
15	this is as accessible as it can be.
16	Just three observations and then I have a bunch of things I'll get
17	through very quickly. So one, I just want to point out how different the question was
18	present in question one. So just doubling back is what resources and supports do black
19	women or do Mi'kmaw women or and you could add on. And I just want to bring home
20	how nuanced the conversation can become if we structure the question differently.
21	And then observation number two is that listening to all of the
22	answers, nobody mentioned sort of police, sort of in what they may need more of or
23	what police can do. I'm not going to go into sort of qualifying that particular observation
24	but I just wanted to point that out. So I think it's noteworthy especially if we're talking
25	about community safety.
26	And then the other one is around I also sort of wonder if we have a
27	sort of generalized understanding of what safety means because I think it's also
28	different, especially if we're talking on the binary with men and women, because me

- being safe at nighttime walking down the street would not be the same safety in the
- 2 same situation for a woman. And I think that it's important to understand when we're
- 3 talking about safety what are we talking about, and do we have a working definition that
- 4 we're qualifying for what we mean when we say safety.
- And so a question I'll kind of lead with to start in is will you do what
- 6 is easy or will you do what is right? And the easy thing, of course, is to do nothing,
- 7 which I've heard so many mention about reports. And so will you do what is easy or will
- 8 you do what is right.
  - So I have maybe about nine things. I'll get through them. Five
- 10 minutes is enough.

- So we need a systemic approach. We need systemic solutions for
- systemic issues. We know that this is something that has been going on on the
- shoulders and the backs of women, I think someone pointed out. We know this. And
- so why continue to create best practices for issues that we know exist rather than let's
- look at eliminating the issue. And one of the things that Nick had talked about is
- certainly more men around the table, and I would take it a bit further in terms of, you
- know, this particular space you would need an invite, but rather than men being invited
- into spaces, men creating spaces and/or tables for themselves to talk about this issue.
- Two, so looking at the question, so, you know, what do service
- 20 providers need to do, I'm sort of thinking, well, what do -- what does society need to do,
- because I think that there's a bit of a sort of indirect implication that the onus or the
- blame is on service providers by asking them what they need to do to better
- 23 understand.
- And then I think that we're stuck in a perpetual motion of
- intervention. And so desk colleagues, as Nick referred, is that, you know, what I was
- saying is that we'll have no education about any of these subjects through elementary,
- through junior high, through high school, and then we'll be surprised when something
- happens or when someone commits gender-based violence, but we've provided no type

- of preventative education along the way, and we're catching folks on the other end and
- 2 intervening rather than looking at preventative measures directly from the beginning.
- And so that conversation that Nick was talking about where, you know, what the teacher
- 4 said and what his daughter was experiencing, that could be completely different if that
- 5 curriculum or that conversation in that school had some of this stuff embedded into it.
- 6 And accountability, so accountability in action, so who's held accountable if nothing
- 7 happens? Because, again, we talked about -- I know there was a comment somewhere
- around there about reports, reports and reports and reports. So who's held accountable
- 9 if nothing happens?
- I think that certainly diversity, diversity of opinion, diversity of
- people. When we have the most amount of diversity we can have in any conversation, I
- believe we come to the most well-rounded answer that we can get to because we have
- the most lived experience, different cultural background, et cetera.
- And then as well, I think that some of these things become
- normalized in community. Rural, small communities these particular behaviours
- become normalized and then when folks step out of those communities, this behaviour's
- 17 not acceptable. And so I'm not saying it's right. I'm just saying that it becomes
- 18 normalized within those particular communities.
- And yeah, that would be it. Told you I would get through.
- Thank you.
- 21 MS. EMILY HILL: I didn't think you could do it, but I can confirm
- that you did, so thank you.
- And I should apologize. Jill Barkhouse is here, I understand, with
- quite a different mandate than her other colleague here from Nova Scotia. She's the
- 25 Director of Child, Youth and Family Services within the Department of Family -- of
- 26 Family Supports within Department of Community Services.
- And so I should have given her the opportunity to respond to the
- 28 first question and didn't, so I would invite you now, Jill, to address both questions and I

1	won't be quite as heavy with my hand on the buzzer here.
2	MS. JILL BARKHOUSE: It's okay. I'll try to make it quick, yeah.
3	So thank you very much, first of all, and a lot of what I would have
4	shared in response to the first question I'll keep it really concise because a lot's been
5	shared already.
6	I do appreciate having space to talk about the needs of children in
7	response to issues of violence and community safety.
8	So we'll just kind of recap some of the thoughts that have come
9	from our team with the Department of Community Services specifically around well-
LO	being of children in families and in communities.
l1	In terms of resources and supports, coordinated responses to
12	family violence that have a whole of family approach with increased emphasis on
13	responses and interventions for children. Experiences including direct exposure to
L4	violence in childhood is traumatic and, really, education about healthy and safe
<b>L</b> 5	relationships, personal safety, community supports is essential and helps to reduce the
<b>L</b> 6	likelihood to be harmed or harm others as an adult.
L7	It's critical that there is equitable access to direct interventions for
18	children and families when safe to do so as a whole family, with children in the centre.
19	Supportive services should seek to connect people and wrap around those affected
20	when safe to do so, with less focus on division, separation and stigmatization, which
21	can cause further harm to all.
22	There's been lots of mention, and just to reiterate, focus on safety,
23	support and accountability for all affected. Services for male identifying individuals and
24	people using violence should include services that promote healthy community
25	engagement and address gender-based norms and traditional ideas of masculinity.
26	Just also around the coordination of response that are relevant and
27	timely in terms of information sharing and collaboration with considerations for informed

consent, autonomy and safety being paramount.

1	Communities themselves need to be supported to establish plans,		
2	services and programs that ensure safe spaces, networks of trust and support as well		
3	as navigation services, which has been mentioned, that are readily available to all and		
4	destigmatizing.		
5	Resources should be formal and informal, varied to support		
6	accessibility in person, in office, in community, virtually, groups, peer led using onlir		
7	platforms to keep to connect with people where they are to provide information and		
8	support.		
9	In terms of rural areas, I just wanted to mention aspects around		
10	social isolation and geographic isolation. So social isolation, of course, is a risk factor		
11	for family and interpersonal violence, and community inclusion is needed. Oftentimes,		
12	marginalized families are not included or engaged in the larger community. Barriers to		
13	participation often include transportation, childcare, financial resources. Community		
14	development approaches should have a particular emphasis on engaging vulnerable		
15	populations.		
16	There are also multiple barriers for people affected by violence in		
17	terms of geographic location, housing security, limited employment opportunities,		
18	access to supports and services, transportation. All of those have been shared today.		
19	Services, again, need to meet people where they are.		
20	Consideration for enhancing community hubs and networks at sites		
21	for possible support and engagement using existing resources and sites in our rural		
22	centres in Nova Scotia.		
23	Also mention about public and individual safety can be enhanced		
24	by ensuring all of rural Nova Scotia have basic utilities, have basic needs met, have		
25	internet connectivity and cell service capability. I think that's been mentioned as well.		
26	Ensuring so biggest impact in terms of addressing violence,		
27	ensuring a coordinated approach, and collaboration is key, with no one system being		
28	responsible to address the safety and support needs of children and families. This		

- coordinated response should engage all and shared principles that guide responses to
- violence, things like respect, inherent dignity, inclusion, autonomy and no wrong door.
- Ensuring community members, including Elders, leaders, youth,
- family members are included in identifying the issues, impacts and developing their own
- 5 solutions. The largest impact and lasting effects will come with a child and family-
- 6 centred approach that is embraced by all in policy development and delivery of
- 7 programs and services.
- In terms of service providers and what is needed to better
- 9 understand to contribute to community safety in rural areas, understanding the
- community context and needs, seeking and building a network of service providers and
- community leaders engaged in the work of safety, provide trauma support for service
- providers specific to addressing violence and harm, focus on early education,
- prevention and community engagement.
- Also, some consideration for recruitment and retention strategies to
- address and attract the level of services required in rural areas in holistic ways. Ensure
- information and transparency in recruitment activities for service providers about the
- challenges and chronic responses to hurting children and families. Support staff and
- their families holistically with wellness plans and goals aimed at retaining them as
- 19 healthy members of community.
  - I just have a couple other notes around vulnerable or marginalized
- 21 individuals and communities.

- So emphasizing engagement of vulnerable and marginalized
- 23 populations and focus on inclusion and barrier-free access, accountability for diversity
- 24 and equity in all aspects of policy, programs and services.
- 25 Programming for male-identifying individuals, that's been
- mentioned a number of times this afternoon. Need to enhance what already exists to
- 27 ensure preventative outreach before violence occurs or as soon as risk factors are
- 28 present. Enhance supports specifically for African-Nova Scotian and indigenous male-

1	identif	vina	folks.
_		<i>ງ</i>	

We have experienced changes in terms of our child welfare service delivery in terms of new Canadians, so I also wanted to recognize unique needs there, especially those who arrive in Nova Scotia and in Canada with experiences of trauma and violence requiring culturally-informed and safe supports, may need consideration for translation, literacy, orientation to specific laws and norms and differing gender perspectives.

I think addressing violence will be most impacted if we're curious together, if we're intentional and if we inquire of community members around their own needs, their expertise and leadership in the development, implementation and delivery of resources in their communities.

12 Thanks.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks so much.

Katreena, do you have any -- a few brief comments based on what we've heard in this last round?

DR. KATREENA SCOTT: Well, just very briefly say that one of -there are two things that really stand out for me in terms of the comments that
everybody's made. One is the really clear understanding of a gender-based and
intersectional analysis and how lovely it is to hear that repeated over and over again.

And then the second is, you know, I -- we've come through many years where the idea of accountability has somehow been made synonymous with the idea of punitiveness. And it's not the same thing. And I really appreciate the way people have been able to speak about accountability in a way that is also healing, that the idea of being accountable and also healing is not -- they're not mutually exclusive that you can hold somebody accountable for their behaviour, you can help them change, and you can do that in a way that doesn't necessarily mean that you're being punitive.

And finally, I just want to reiterate some of the things that Nick said

and others said about the importance of meeting people where they're at and thank 1 people for their many examples of the ways in which we can rethink the way we meet 2 people and address their needs. 3 Thanks. 4 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks so much. 5 I look to the Commissioners to see if there's any questions for 6 7 further comments. 8 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Thank you, Emily. I just want to express my gratitude for each and every one of you 9 around the table and everything that you've brought to the discussion today. I was 10 sitting here doing the math in my head and realizing that I'm getting close to the four 11 decades than the three decades of interest and commitment to dealing with intimate 12 partner violence, family violence, domestic violence, all the various names that we've 13 attributed to violence in our communities over the years. 14 15 You know, I know that we're here united with a mission to make 16 things better going forward, to find opportunities to prevent, and to intervene, and educate, and respond effectively when response is required. But as often I've 17 experienced, as I'm sure all of you around this table have over the years, is that 18 oftentimes we're preaching to the choir. We're the same people in different provinces 19 pulling up to the table. There's pockets of amazing work being done everywhere, you 20 know, around the world on this very, very complex -- these complex issues. 21 22 You had mentioned that we're connected but not coordinated. But sadly, there is a lot of times that we're not even connected. You know, It's -- we talk 23 24 about the silos and the difficulties with, you know, breaking down those silos. And Sue, when you were talking, I was smiling because the one 25

answer back to myself or my fellow commissioners, but as a takeaway question for you

to ponder when we talk about what is collaboration. So like not what is collaboration,

question that I actually had was a question I was going to pose to you, not for an

26

27

1	but what does it actually look like, what does it feel like, how do we know when we're
2	successful?
3	You know, I would dare guess that probably in this room, nobody
4	knows about an initiative that was launched with the Canadian Association Chiefs of
5	Police back in 2016 on the police collaborative action to intimate partner violence. And
6	we talk about systems and programs to support male offenders. It's in there. We talk
7	about community wraparound services. It's in there. We talk about intervention,
8	prevention, communication. All of those things. But sometimes the left hand doesn't
9	know what the right hand is doing.
LO	So my question to you folks is what does collaboration really
l1	mean? You know, we've been entrusted with coming forward with recommendations,
L2	and I'm sure my fellow commissioners will talk about this, but we don't have the ability
L3	to actually make the implementation. That goes back to community. That goes back to
L4	the people on the ground to hold each other's feet to the fire, to make sure that these
L5	great recommendations actually get lifted off the page and get put into action.
L6	So what does collaboration look like when it's in action, when it's
L7	being applied, when it's actually making change and not those of us talking into a bowl
L8	to one another?
L9	And if I would be so thrilled if at the end of the day, our
20	communications team came to us and said, "You know all those online viewers that we
21	had through the first phase of our work when we talked about what happened in those
22	terrible 13 hours?" That, "We had the same number of people tuning in to this
23	presentation today because they care enough to know about some of the root cause
24	issues that got us to where we are and why we're here."
25	But I do want to say, just on a personal note, and then I'm going to
26	turn it over to my fellow Commissioners.
27	Nick, you'll be happy to know that one of my most delightful

moments in policing was when I was giving a talk on the steps of City Hall in

- 1 Fredericton, and it was on one of the White Ribbon campaigns, and I looked down into
- the crowd and my nephew and most of his rugby team was there. And I also want to
- 3 say that I think he actually met his wife when they were studying in the women's --
- 4 sociology of women's issues.
- So to say that men need to be at the table, it takes everybody.
- When we talk about community, community policing, police have to be a part of that;
- 7 right? But they can't do it alone. And NGOs can't do it alone. Government can't do it
- 8 alone. And we really need people in communities, individual human beings stepping
- 9 up.
- So thank you. Those are my comments and my one question back
- to you guys about what successful collaboration actually looks like. Thank you.
- 12 **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** I'll just, very briefly, offer a huge
- thank you to all of you. I mentioned this earlier, but for me personally, this has been
- enormously helpful and insightful and I state with humility that powerful men have to
- step up. I heard that. Thank you very much.
- 16 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** I really appreciated the submissions
- as well, or the discussion.
- Further to what Emily said about there being individuals in rural
- communities who are responsible for disproportionate amounts of violence and people
- are too afraid to do -- to say anything or do anything about it, the web of accountability
- that you referred to in your paper, Dr. Scott, is, I think, what we're all kind of talking
- about. And Commissioner Fitch has said this with, you know, what does collaboration
- look like? When you mention a coordinated community response in your paper, it would
- be very helpful in the submissions that we'll get to have an understanding to address
- 25 that question that Commissioner Fitch has asked of, "Okay. How do we coordinate that
- community response?" Because it can't be just one agency, or on -- and it can't all be
- on the shoulders of the women's organizations that are doing so much heavy lifting, or
- on any one person, obviously.

1 And then I just wanted to say to Sue's point about the environmental scan and the over 2,000 recommendations that we've logged that are 2 relevant to this mandate from previous inquiries, some of which have been 3 implemented, we'll say, but many of which have not. And so our framing and the 4 reason why we asked for that environmental scan early on was to say, "Okay. We 5 recognize that our mandate will generate recommendations, some of which will be new 6 7 to -- because of this mass casualty and what it evokes, but some of which will be ones 8 we have seen many, many times before." 9 And so, again, in submissions, final submissions from Participants, it would be very helpful to identify what have been the barriers to implementing some of 10 these recommendations that we've seen time and time again so that we can actually 11 identify the locus of responsibility for those recommendations and say we understand 12 that you would be the responsible party for implementing this and we see that this has 13 been recommended many times before, and we suspect that here might be the barriers 14 to implementation and figuring out how to -- what is the lever to press to remove those 15 16 barriers so that implementation can happen, so that we aren't all back here in 10 years having that same discussion. So that really has been our thinking with respect to that 17 environmental scan is not just to say, oh, look, this has all been said before, but to 18 identify clearly it has been said before. Why has it been said repeatedly and not been 19 addressed? And I think that's the critical piece that we really do need to sort through. 20 So that's been a preoccupation, for sure. 21 22 So I have a few closing remarks at the end of the day, Emily and 23 Gillian, but back over to you to close. Thank you. MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you. Just very briefly on behalf of Gillian 24 and myself, the Commissioners and the whole Commission, I just want to thank all of 25 you who came today. I know this came together on very short notice, and so I know 26 27 that that meant rejuggling your schedules, leaning on colleagues, perhaps family members to make the space to come and devote a whole day here. I also know it 28

probably meant prep time after hours and so I just want to acknowledge that and thank 1 you all very much. You have seen us all taking notes. There is also a transcript that will 2 be available to the Commission, and certainly, I want you to know that what we discuss 3 here goes beyond just the Commission staff that you see here. We have a research 4 and policy team, other members of our legal team, who review these transcripts to 5 make sure that we're getting everything that we can from these conversations. We also 6 7 do have many, many viewers who watch the webcast, both in real time but also later, 8 especially those who are really doing in this work. We've heard from them that they are 9 finding these webcasts to be a really important resource for their work. So I just want to really let you know that what you have shared with us has been really helpful, and thank 10 you for your time, and I look forward to continuing to work with you as Participants 11 through phase three and right through our final report. So thank you all very much. 12 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And thank you, Emily and Gillian, 13 for your facilitation today and for acknowledging the tremendous work that Participants 14 have put into assisting us in this process. And so thank you on behalf of the 15 16 Commissioners to all of you, the representatives of gender-based organizations and governments and institutions who have assisted us today with this thoughtful 17 discussion. Participant consultations like this are an important way for us to hear from 18 knowledge keepers and experts on potential ways to implement changes to help make 19 our communities safer. 20 As noted by Dr. Pearce, it's important to attend to your mental 21 22 health as you're listening to these discussions, so please, if you're in the room, we do 23 have mental health support team in the room. And if you're attending virtually, please 24 do see the supports page on the website. And I do want to express gratitude to all the service providers who have bake sales and bingos in order to raise the money to do life-25 saving work. We really appreciate what you do. 26 27 Tomorrow morning we'll hear another Participant consultation with

representatives from police related organizations. And as a reminder to the public,

tomorrow is the final day to submit academic or technical research related to the 1 Commission's mandate through our public submissions survey on the website. 2 Throughout September we'll continue to accept suggestions for changes or ways we 3 can strengthen community safety. You can submit your suggestions through our 4 website, or over the phone, by email or letter. The Commission has developed a 5 discussion guide to help make it easier to share your suggestions for change by 6 7 introducing issues analyzed by the Commission and asking questions to help you think 8 about potential recommendations. The discussion guide and other useful resources 9 can be found on our website. Thanks again, everyone, and we'll see you tomorrow. 10 --- Upon adjourning at 4:39 p.m. 11 12 CERTIFICATION 13 14 I, Wendy Clements, a certified court reporter, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be 15 16 an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so 17 swear. 18 Je, Wendy Clements, une sténographe officiel, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une 19 transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et 20 je le jure. 21 22 23 24