

The Joint Federal/Provincial Commission into the April 2020 Nova Scotia Mass Casualty MassCasualtyCommission.ca

Commission fédérale-provinciale sur les événements d'avril 2020 en Nouvelle-Écosse CommissionDesPertesMassives.ca

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioners / Commissaires

The Honourable / L'honorable J. Michael MacDonald, Chair / Président Leanne J. Fitch (Ret. Police Chief, M.O.M) Dr. Kim Stanton

VOLUME 71

Held at :

Tenue à:

Dartmouth Hilton Hotel 101 Wyse Rd Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B3A 1L9

Thursday, September 15, 2022

Hotel Hilton de Dartmouth 101, rue Wyse Dartmouth, Nouvelle-Écosse B3A 1L9

Jeudi, le 15 septembre 2022

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. <u>www.irri.net</u> (800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Ms. Emily Hill

Ms. Gillian Hnatiw

Commission Counsel / Conseillère de la commission

Commission Counsel / Conseillère de la commission

Table of Content / Table des	matières

ROUNDTABLE: JUSTICE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS	1
Facilitated by Ms. Emily Hill	1

PAGE

IV Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

None entered

1	Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
2	Upon commencing on Thursday, September 15th, 2022, at 2:02 p.m.
3	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Bonjour and bienvenue. Hello and
4	welcome. We join you from Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the
5	Mi'kmaq.
6	Please join us in remembering those whose lives were taken, those
7	who were harmed, their families, including those here in Nova Scotia, across Canada,
8	and in the United States, and all others affected by the April 2020 mass casualty in
9	Nova Scotia.
10	(SHORT PAUSE)
11	COMMISSIONER FITCH: Today, our public proceedings are
12	virtual and form part of our ongoing participant consultation process. These are
13	opportunities for organizational participants taking part in the Commission's work to
14	discuss potential recommendations.
15	We will hear from justice-related organization, including the BC Civil
16	Liberties Association, the East Coast Prison Justice Society, and the Nova Scotia
17	Department of Justice. We will also hear from the Avalon Sexual Assault Centre as
18	they share a report and inights from a community outreach work with marginalized
19	communities.
20	I will now ask Emily Hill and Gillian Hnatiw from the Commission
21	Council team to begin the session. Thank you.
22	ROUNDTABLE: JUSTICE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS:
23	MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you, Commissioner Fitch. My name is
24	Emil Hill. I'm one of the Commission Council team members and, as you noted, my
25	colleague Gillian Hnatiw and I will be facilitating this afternoon's session.
26	I just want to start by saying good afternoon to those of you who
27	are attending and those of you who are watching on the webcast.
28	Today's consultation with justice participants are part our phase 3

1	proceedings which is a part of the work with the aim of helping Commissioners develop
2	meaningful recommendations to improve community safety for everyone.
3	The conversation today will build on consultations we've held with
4	other participants and it will also build on some themes that have been explored through
5	the roundtables last week and this week focused on policing. And so I would encourage
6	those of you who are joining us today to pick up on ideas or questions that may have
7	been touched on in those other sessions but perhaps would benefit from further
8	conversation today.
9	I'd like to begin by introducing those of us who around the virtual
10	table and then I will hand it off to Gillian to introduce the first question.
11	We are a small group and so our thought on how we would proceed
12	is will start each question by asking one of you to start our conversation but, after that, if
13	individuals want to just raise their hand to indicate that they've got something they'd like
14	to say, we'll just call on you and have the conversation proceed that way.
15	And we may just keep a bit of time to make sure that we get
16	through all the questions in the time that we have and also to ensure that everyone's
17	had the chance to share their comments.
18	So we're joined today by Meghan McDermott, who's the Policy
19	Director at the British Columbia Civil Liberties Association; Sheila Wildeman, who's Co-
20	chair of the East Coast Prison Justice Society; Harry Critchley, who's also a Co-chair at
21	the East Coast Prison Justice Society; and Hayley Crichton, the Executive Director of
22	Public Safety and Security with the Department of Justice, Nova Scotia. Thank you all
23	very much for being here today.
24	Gillian?
25	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you, Emily. I'd like to start with my
26	welcome to everybody around this virtual table today. And we'll just dive right into the
27	discussion.
28	So the first question that we will be discussing this afternoon is

justice organizations such as the CCLA and East Coast Prison Justice have 1 2 experienced working with marginalized persons and groups who have come into conflict with police as well as with the wider justice system and I'm wondering if you can reflect 3 upon what connections there are between the invisibilization and exclusion of 4 marginalized groups and the causes, contexts, or circumstances of the mass casualty. 5 6 And for this question, I believe we're going to start with Sheila. And as Emily mentioned a moment ago, after that, we'll sort of go around the table based on 7 8 hands, virtual hands or, if you're like me and often can't find the virtual hand button, I'll try and keep my eyes open for real hands on the screen as well. 9 So over to you, Sheila. 10 MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN: Thank you. And I just wanted to say, 11 others -- I think perhaps everybody here has appeared at the Commission before 12 13 except me and so I just wanted to start as -- with Harry, representative of East Coast Prison Justice, by marking the horrific losses of life and expressing my own and, of 14 course, East Coast Prison Justice's deepest sympathies to the families and the friends 15 of those whose lives were taken. So that's just to start off. 16 And so you asked -- let me just refresh -- what connections there 17 are between the invisibilization and exclusion of marginalized groups and the causes, 18 19 contexts, circumstances of the mass casualty. So let me just frame my response briefly about the aspirations of 20 21 our coalition with BCCLA, and certainly, the East Coast prison justice in particular. And one of our aspirations is to help the Commission in hopes that 22 the Commission will ensure that in making its recommendations and its analysis of this 23 tragic event, to help prevent similar incidents in the future, the Commission does not 24 inadvertently cause further harm to marginalized people and communities. And in this 25 ambition, we're concerned also to inform what we aspire hope maybe a profound 26 redirection and reorganization, and rethinking of priorities on how to promote public 27 28 safety, and indeed, a rethinking of what public safety is, so public safety as speaking to

a public that is inclusive, and a safety that is not inadvertently corrosive of precisely
safety and justice for all.

4

So in short, we again, as framing, we hope to help avoid a response that moves from constructing this as a problem of order or law and order to a response that is a law and order based intensification of investment in policing and in other what we will call carceral responses to disadvantage and marginalization.

7 And our worry is that that path could worsen the violence that many 8 communities, Indigenous, Black, disabled, LGBTQ, women, so communities are experiencing precisely from police as well as from the wider community at large, and so 9 they are -- I -- you know, want us to be mindful of the constant surveillance, the threats 10 and facts of interference, and the episodic lethal force that is added for members of 11 these communities to the slow violence of ongoing disproportionate incarceration, and 12 13 with that, community and family dislocation, perpetuating intergenerational trauma and 14 deprivation, and leading ultimately to a profound corrosion of public safety and safety in society. 15

16

28

So that's kind of an opening frame.

But then I want to zero in on a core point that's come up and a 17 theme that's come up among many of the folks that you've heard from, and that 18 19 includes many of our allies and colleagues across other non-profit organizations, and that's the theme of lack of trust on the part of folks who you're referring to as invisibilized 20 21 and marginalized groups, lack of trust in state institutions and in the police in particular. 22 And that's obviously a really important precedent fact in the failure of state institutions, to be protective and preventive of the violence that erupted in the mass casualty. 23 So that lack of trust is something that's been on my mind as I've 24 watched the proceedings and listened to folks, Emma Halpern, talking about here 25 constituencies at E. Fry; folks representing the women's shelters and transition houses; 26

27 and so on.

So we're talking about a lack of trust on the part of communities

that have been subject to state institutions and to state interventions as expressions of 1 2 or reinforcements of misogynist and racist, colonialist and ableist state power, so expressions of state power falling disproportionately on those communities. 3 So just to put it simply -- and I think this is something that you've all 4 heard in really powerful ways already, so I don't need to belabour it too much, but if the 5 6 state is understood to be a source of harm, a source of violence, a source of deprivation, including referring to work that East Coast Prison Justice does, deprivation 7 8 of social contact and the ability to live with family and community, if that's how the state has primarily asserted its presence in the lives of those affected, then one is, you know, 9 logically going to be reluctant to reach out to the state whether for social assistance or 10 for child welfare, you know, child -- or for, in this case, public safety responses. 11 And so let me just conclude by putting, if I have a moment to do 12 13 this, by putting a slightly finer point on what I'm saying with reference to the facts at 14 hand. So I'd like the Commission to think about the actions of the 15 perpetrator, not as -- and this is -- it's a difficult thing to say -- but not as socially deviant, 16 but actually as expressive of the kinds of stratified power relations that were pre-existing 17 in our social structures, and here, I mean stratified power that the perpetrator leveraged 18 19 in order to acquire and assert dominance over marginalized individuals in particular. 20 So a moment -- sorry. 21 And to this point -- so the facts, as they've come out to the 22 Commission suggest that the perpetrator used his social position, so his position as a White male of a typically well-off and able-bodied person to cultivate relationships with 23 police, and those are relationships -- both the relationship we've heard about from Cst. 24 Wiley as an informal informant, and to cultivate sort of symbolic relationships and 25 alignment with police in ways that reinforced his exercise of power over vulnerable and 26 marginalized persons. 27 And I won't go into the details of the testimony that you've heard, 28

2 was the way that the perpetrator exercised his role as a denturist to cultivate relationships with poor and otherwise marginalized women in particular in order to 3 manipulate them for sex. 4 And there are other examples where the perpetrator singled out --5 6 we've had evidence of him singling out racialized and poor men for beatings, for the doing of violence. 7 And the testimony that I have seen on that from members, I 8 believe, of marginalized communities, if I'm reading the testimony correctly, is that the 9 perpetrator understood that these were disposable and discredible -- discreditable 10 persons, that they were persons who would not have an easy time, for all the reasons I 11 have said, reaching out to the state for support and for safety. 12 13 So when I say that the perpetrator's trail of coercive and violent practices was, in a way, expressive of state stratification of power, I mean that it built on 14 and leveraged the kinds of harm and violence, slow and fast, that police and actually 15 other organizations have done to those same populations and communities over time. 16 And the last thing that I'll say -- because I know we have so many 17 others here who have so much of importance to say -- is that it's not only police, you 18 19 know, the background sort of reinforcement and reproduction of socially stratified power that I understand to have alienated invisibilized and marginalized communities, but I 20 21 asked the same questions of the Social Assistance system and the College of 22 Denturists, so the Denturist Licensing body that has a complaint mechanism, right, and the Social Assistance caseworkers were making the referrals, as I would understand it, 23 24 or at least approving, you know, those practices of referring poor persons to the denturist, they were not reached out to either on these points, or if they were, they were 25 not responsive, and I have no information about that. 26

But I'm concerned about the way that the Social Assistance system that the Denturist Licensing body was similarly inaccessible in some deep sense to

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

6

1

but one of the things that stood out to me as so shocking and profoundly disappointing

individuals that have experienced, from the record that I've read, profound threats and in 1 2 some cases physical harm by the perpetrator prior to the events. And what would have happened if there had been an outreach and if there had been, you know, a space for 3 .folks to register their concerns? If there had been trust with the institutions charged 4 with public safety? I wonder what would have happened. 5 6 So sorry, that was a long bit, but that's just speaking from, again -- I should have perhaps said East Coast Prison Justice itself and working with criminalized 7 8 and incarcerated persons in communities it's profoundly concerned with the kinds of alienation and dislocation that are, you know -- that are deepened and sort of elicited 9 through state carceral systems. And we can talk more about that next as we go on. 10 Thank you. 11 **MS. GILLIAN HNATIW:** Thank you, Sheila. I don't think that was 12 13 long at all. I think it sets the table for us well, and all of the different connected issues 14 and topics in relation to just this one question that arises from the Commission's existing record already. 15 Is there anybody else who would like to offer comments on the 16 connections between the experience of marginalized groups and some of the causes, 17 contexts, and circumstances of the Mass Casualty? 18 19 They have asked us not to used the -- Harry, I was just going to say that they've actually said, "Don't use the electric hands because I think it's going to 20 21 potentially disrupt the broadcast feed. So we will perhaps try and use old fashioned 22 hands and Emily I and will eagle eye for them. So to you, thanks. 23 **MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY:** Thank you so much. You know, I echo 24 a lot of what Sheila said. 25 And I want to thank the Commissioners for their time today and 26 also for the invitation to speak last week as part of the roundtable on the structure of 27 28 policing in the province. And really to me it's a great honour to be able to come and

provide related experiences and provide testimony. It's really valuable. And my hope is
that, you know, as has been front of mind, I think, to all of the Commissioners especially
recently is that recommendations will come out of this process and will be acted upon in
an equal way.

8

And I think I want to make just two brief points. The first is maybe 5 6 just to echo a lot of what Ms. Wildeman said and just put a sort of finer point on the points as it related to the ways in which the perpetrators were surrounding themselves 7 8 with -- in terms of cultivating police relationships. And I think there -- you heard testimony obviously from Constable Wiley that there was a number of visits in that --9 there was this idea that this was someone in the community who was perceived as 10 being pro police. I think that was the phrase that was used. And for that reason he was 11 a sort of reliable source of information for the police, you know, a sounding board in the 12 13 community, someone who could provide them with information.

And you know, I think Sheila has provided some really powerful points about the manifold ways in which that's a problem. But I think sort of the flip side of that is that if we relate that back to the governments and the police investigative techniques, employed by the police, the manner of the police operations, and how the police are conducting themselves in the community.

19 This idea that this would be a sort of appropriate way to receive information about a community, to find certain people who are in one way or another 20 21 perceived as being pro police, and then acting on that information when it's so received, 22 I think is itself troubling, and it speaks to kind of larger concerns that, you know, being before the Commission recently -- the relation things like police culture. And you know, 23 I think a piece of that police culture to me is related to sort of an "us and them" 24 mentality, right? And that's something that manifolds in a number of forms. 25 And last week I spoke about it in terms of reticence or reluctance to 26 share information and I'm hoping to speak about that a little bit later on. But simply as a 27

28

manner of nothing in intelligence gathering, right, as an investigative technique this idea

of maintaining a relationship with pro police members of the community, I find a very
 concerning, something that to apply to culture.

And then one other point I want to raise. So in order for -- and I think everyone's acknowledged we've heard from various chiefs and various. you know, senior police management. There is a desire both through advisory boards and through police boards to ensure that community stakeholders are faulted, there's community engagement and ultimately kind of the co-creation of policing priorities.

And I think there's for various reasons these boards have not been seen historically as a source of government oversight, particularly by marginalized communities for very obvious reasons. You know, I noted, for instance in the report prepared by Professor Murphy and Mr. Corley that there was concerns there that the Police Advisory Board in Colchester County hadn't met in over a year in the lead-up to the mass casualty.

No, I would suggest that, you know, even before we get to the ways 14 in which the views and perspectives of marginalized communities are not reflected in 15 policing priorities, policing governance, you know, our governments mentioned to the 16 police that attributes that was somehow a state of affairs that was allowed to continue. 17 And I would suggest that was despite the fact that, as the 18 19 Commissioners well know, the minister has general supervisory authorities for ensuring adequate and effective policing in the province. And then the minister has a variety of 20 21 powers under section 6 including the ability to assume the administration of a, you 22 know, a Police Board or a Police Advisory Board in any municipality. So I wouldn't suggest that there was concerns there about the 23 24 extent to which the government comes in place, even if people want to engage with them on a good faith basis. Are they there to do something? And you know I think, you 25

know, you've heard from my friend and colleague, Smith, Wendell Smith from the Police

27 Board talking about the handling of street techs and concerns there about kind of the

inefficacy and the inaccuracy and the force response there.

1	And so I think that's another way in which, in a kind of larger sense
2	but also particularly in the context of Colchester County, they started to atrophy and the
3	government's mechanisms for the police, I would suggest plays a role in creating
4	barriers to, you know, members of the community having real engagement with the
5	police services. And you know, I would suggest that that engagement would be a
6	precondition for the trust that I think only people are before you saying that they want to
7	encourage when I call.
8	MS. GILLIAN HNATIW: Thank you very much. Interesting
9	reflections.
10	Is there anybody else who would like to reflect or contribute on this
11	question? Meghan or Hayley?
12	Now I can either invite the Commissioners to raise any questions
13	they may have at this point in time. Or to hand things back to my colleague, Ms. Hill.
14	Seeing no hands, and not having the advantage of being in the
15	same room as you all, to watch your body language, I think that I will pass things over to
16	Emily to continue our discussion.
17	MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Gillian.
18	And I think it's I think, Harry, you've given us a good segue
19	because I think your comments with regard to sort of the links between the challenges
20	or the barriers to have folded in the experiences and knowledge of marginalized
21	communities into policing, and how that may relate to what you described as the
22	atrophy of these oversight organizations and the kinds of connections between those
23	two, I think leads well into the next question
24	Which is, we've heard a lot of discussion, especially over the last
25	few weeks but even right through the Commission's work, about changes that may be
26	needed in the area of policing and public safety in Nova Scotia. And so we wanted to
27	draw on your knowledge and experience as individuals who have worked in this area
28	and thought about this a great deal to understand a little bit more about what might be

barriers to this change. And so the question that we've asked you to take up, is drawing
on your experience. What are some of the institutional barriers to achieving structural
change in policing and public safety in Nova Scotia? And I would just say that that -you know, about structural change can be a big change but it can also be a small
change in terms of scope and in terms of thinking about what might be needed to make
it happen,

11

So I think to start off this discussion I'll turn to you, Meghan, if that's
all right, just to sort of offer your comments about institutional barriers to achieving
structural change.

MS. MEGHAN McDERMOTT: Thank you, Emily. I'm Meghan, the Policy Director with B.C. Civil Liberties Association, and although we have the province of B.C. in our name, we're actually national in scope, and -- so we have appeared before national inquiries and we do have a history of trying to hold state agencies in Nova Scotia accountable too. So I'm going to draw on some of these experiences as well and not just those from my own backyard here.

Thank you so much for the question, Emily, and it's really an invitation to discuss so many different aspects and I think a lot of our answers and discussion here will have overlapping features because of all the intersections.

19 So one of the core institutional barriers from our experience in engaging with all different levels of government and in trying to promote public safety 20 21 and enhancing the rights of individuals in communities has already been touched upon 22 many times. And one of them is just this very kind of -- well, first of all, that the government is kind of very traditional, I would say, in terms of always kind of deferring to 23 24 police and policing agencies to be the main kind of institution to be providing public safety. So I think that's just like a cultural fact of our governments. It's part of Canadian 25 culture I would even say and it's just kind of like this conservative traditional way of 26 doing things and we're very encouraged by discussions now in looking at alternatives to 27 28 the way that things have been done, just because, particularly around issues of

1 criminalization and potential decriminalization.

2 We've seen now for the first time next year the Province of B.C. is going to get an exception to some parts of the Controlled Drugs and Substances Act. 3 So that's just to say that, you know, people involved in the decriminalization of the drug 4 realm, which there's a lot of evidence about the harm that the policing of substance 5 6 does across Canada, and how devastating it is for communities and families, that voices are starting to be heard. But, again, this kind of just stigma or wanting to stay with 7 8 traditional structures is something that we come up against over and over and over 9 again.

And I think part of that too, or what's particularly frustrating in the Canadian context, and the Commissioners have all heard about this a lot, and Hayley I'm sure is extremely well versed in this -- actually I've heard Hayley talk about this too, it's just the notion of federalism, right, and how complicated that can be to inspiring change and transformation change or just getting people out of tradition or what we've done or just to think about new ideas.

It's really difficult I think for a lot of people to even understand what
level of Government does what and how they contribute to public safety, municipal,
province, federal and then of course over top of that are all the international treaties that
legal practitioners will bang on about and point to about our rights.

So we end of having this labyrinth of governance structures and 20 21 contracts that make it really difficult and inaccessible to really talk about public safety 22 sometimes in really plain language, and it ends up getting into a conversation that's really, again, inaccessible, about jurisdictions and mandates and who can do what. And 23 that can really bog down a lot of conversations when we engage with institutions or 24 what institutions to do things. There's a lot of pointing fingers, who has the power to do 25 what? And the backdrop to a lot of this is again looking to the Ministers really who have 26 the mandates to, I would suggest, cut through the noise and be able to provide more 27 28 streamlined services, more streamlined accessible education to the public about how

the world works and about how public safety is delivered and cultivated in our
 communities.

So you know -- I mean even when we -- we've talked a lot about -or I've heard a lot of witnesses talk about the issue of street checks, but I think that that's just such a good example, both in Nova Scotia and across Canada, of where people have been asking for change or trying to even just figure out what is being done by police and who is authorizing it and for what purpose, like what's the value or benefit?

And we heard, for instance, that it was -- I think it was, you know, 9 over a decade after the Human Rights Tribunal in Nova Scotia recommended a study. 10 We're in a similar situation right now in B.C. We're actually out here envious of what 11 Nova Scotia has done. It took a long time to act but when it did, the government 12 13 provided clear direction to the Community and to public safety agencies about what was 14 acceptable and what wasn't, and that was after a very thoughtful review of the evidence about how it was impacting communities and harming people, and also wasn't even 15 legally authorized. And in our advocacy around similar issues in other parts of Canada, 16 we consistently come across this finger-pointing about who can do what, and again, a 17 real deference to the way things are -- a deference to police agencies investigating why 18 19 they do it themselves in providing reports and then police boards will just take them at their word and not dig any deeper. 20

21 All right. I also -- instead of just talking about barriers which people 22 have gone on and on about and, you know, highlighting things that are difficult, there are also some opportunities that we see on the horizon for transformative change. For 23 instance, I don't think Nova Scotia has tabled anything, but definitely at the federal level 24 the U.N. Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Persons has been enacted into law. 25 It's still unclear how that will be implemented, but that theoretically has massive 26 implications for how public safety could be delivered in Nova Scotia. It could inspire 27 28 transformational change. Again, it remains to be seen whether or not the province, and

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

even the municipalities would endorse the path that the Federal Government has taken, 1 2 but we're starting to see other provinces adopt that and it's going to be very interesting given that that U.N. Declaration provides that indigenous people have control over 3 internal governance, including community safety and well-being, I think -- and we're 4 excited about opportunities and conversations for that to maybe overcome some of 5 6 these institutional barriers that are familiar to organizations like ours. And -- I have a whole bunch of notes about this because I can go from the macro to the minor level as 7 8 well.

14

9 One aspect of our work at the Civil Liberties Organization too is to 10 look into matters of privacy and national securityand surveillance, and so we're also 11 really interested in and like to figure out and evaluate government secrecy.

And so something else that I can point out that's not necessarily at issue in or -- yeah, issue in the mass -- the events of this mass casualty itself but that I think is really, really important to issues of public safety and how state agencies are working, and also how our society is developing, is that there are a lot of tools now from private industry that could be made available to the state for public safety purposes.

So as an example -- and -- sorry, my point is that we don't -- it's very disturbing to us, and to other organizations -- I think, like, East Coast Prison Justice -- that we don't know about this. A lot of the acquisition of very powerful equipment that's supposed to be for public safety purposes and that the public doesn't find out about it until after the fact, and that gets at this kind of opaqueness that public safety institutions in Canada and in Nova Scotia will use.

So for instance, we find out through the media that the RCMP or our municipal policing agency is using a tool that could potentially be infringing on people's rights. And on example is, a few years ago, we found out that the RCMP in Nova Scotia had actually acquired a tool to kind of get around privacy tools in social media and that they were able to see a bunch of information about people without having to get a judicial warrant first.

ROUNDTABLE: JUSTICE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS

And as a civil liberties organization, we're finding more and more 1 2 that there are a lot of partnerships like this that policing agencies -- but I shouldn't just say "police" but -- although there's such an issue in terms of police being able to acquire 3 new types of equipment without any kind of democratic oversight. And the fact that 4 we're finding out about it after the fact -- and the tools that we have that have been 5 6 provided to us in terms of privacy Acts and access to information Acts, they are not updated. They're very out of step with how guickly this technology and the provision of 7 8 these kind of tools are being made available.

9 So yeah, that's just another area I wanted to highlight where there 10 are a lot of barriers to even just civil society, let alone marginalized communities and 11 people who have historically been erased, that were just unable to know what is 12 happening in our name and for our safety. So I'll end it there because I think I've been 13 going on for a while.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Meghan, for, I think, pointing out some really complicated -- some very complicated barriers, you know, that we see in -- and with regard to a number of, you know, public police challenges, certainly in Canada, things like federalism but also your identification of resistance to new ways of thinking about community safety and public safety. So thank you for sort of setting that up for us.

20

Harry, I saw your hand, so please go ahead.

21 **MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY:** Thank you. I want to just make two 22 points, though, two points with respect to barriers. So I think there's, you know -- and 23 this is not going to be anything new. You know, these are points that you're hearing 24 over and over again.

But I think there's fundamentally two types of barriers that are particularly relevant in the Nova Scotia context, the first being in relation to capacity, and the second being in relation to culture. And so I'm going to talk about the first of those because, in terms of capacity, there's been lots of testimony provided so far and - - and I wish I'd come up with it myself. You know, my colleague, Professor Perryman,
counsel for East Coast Prison Justice, provided this phrase, "We're funded to fail," this
idea that oversight agencies in this province, you know, when you compare the budgets
for such agencies to the budgets of other types of oversight and, importantly, to the
budget of police forces, you know, it simply couldn't possibly achieve the mandate set
out for them.

16

You know, Commissioner MacDonald obviously made headlines talking about his concerns in relation to the CRCC and their ability to engage in systematic reviews, right, where there -- if the budget is so limited that there's a need -and provide a business case to the minister before doing that, you know, in what meaningful way are these system -- you know, there needs a really systemic analysis to be done, right, for the purpose of a meaningful policy change.

So, you know, part of that speaks to this issue of financial capacity, right. So I find it a little strange that a board with the lofty duty of ensuring that the delivery of policing services in a municipality is in keeping with community values, needs, and expectations, whatever that might mean, would be expected to do on a volunteer basis. I don't think that in other kinds of oversight settings that we ask people to volunteer to fill such a weighty role, particularly in relation to a well-funded and very complex area of our society.

So I would such that financial capacity is one piece but it really to 20 21 the larger issue of what I would call "governance capacity". And so, for instance, I 22 believe there was a question that Dr. Cunliffe asked last week in relation to what steps are being taken by, you know, municipalities and that province to respond to calls for 23 justice for Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls Report. You know, and I 24 made known that there was very, if anything, being done at a municipal level. And I 25 was interested to hear, at the provincial level, you know, Hayley made mention of the 26 auditing function of the minister, right. 27

28

So the minister has this ability to audit municipal police service,

audit, you know, both the RCMP to ensure compliance with standards as their set and 1 2 to provide training. But I think, like, one thing that's important to keep in mind is that, you know, they have to be realistic about what the capacity of those auditors are. And 3 so, for instance, I would note that just earlier this year, one of the senior staff from the 4 province testified at the Desmond Inquiry that between 2003 and 2022, the number of 5 6 full-time auditors employed by the province reduced from eight to one. There's now just one auditor employed by the Provincial Minister of Justice -- unless there's been change 7 since that testimony was provided -- who's tasked with doing that. And although the last 8 such audit occurred in 2019 -- asked how these agencies were responding to intimate 9 partner violence -- that auditor testified at the Desmond Inquiry that it was unlikely that 10 any further audits would be occurring in the near due to a lack of capacity. 11

So again, I would really, you know, stress strongly for the Commission that the minister has important and weighty duties in respect of policing related to funding and ensuring adequate and effective policing. And I don't think that's -- you know, here, I'm speaking as respectfully as possible, I wouldn't suggest that in recent years the minister's made good on that. So again, that's the point I would make with respect to capacity.

You know, I think yesterday you heard Professor Roach speak 18 19 about a distinction between kind of ex-ante and ex-post governance. Or he says, "When it comes to the police, a lot of times we're interested in, ex-post governance," 20 21 right. We're interested SIRT-type bodies. We're interested in police-complaint bodies. 22 And those are tremendously valuable but what the -- you know, in order to really galvanize culture change, there needs to be sufficient ex-post -- you know, proactive 23 24 policy-making and proactive governance. And I would suggest that that's really quite limited in this province. 25

You know, we know that the policing standards haven't been updated since 2003, although there have been subsequent one that have been put together like the Policing Standard on Conductive Energy Weapons, you know,

following from the Hyde Inquiry. So at the provincial level, there's concerns about 1 2 proactive governance. We know that boards have weighty responsibility in respect of proactive governance but I will tell that a major in that respect is the provision of policy, 3 provision of policies to police services and a review of existing policy. 4 5 And I think this is tremendously important because, you know, you 6 heard Professor Roach mention yesterday -- you know, he gave the example of the Golden decision from the Supreme Court which set out new requirements in relation to 7 8 strip searches. And, you know, as many people know in 20 -- just recently, the YPRE did an analysis on how police agencies on how these agencies in the Province of 9 Ontario were complying with *Golden* policy level. They found that only 10 of 53 10 agencies in the province even had the correct definition of a strip search in their policy, 11 you know? 12 13 And partly, this related to an advocation responsibility on the part of the province. The province there hadn't updated their policing standards since the year 14 2000. 15 But again, there's really no mechanism in place, given the way our 16 Boards are currently functioning, to ensure that decisions from the courts, guidance 17 from the courts, guidance from other kinds of exposed oversight agencies, are making 18 19 their way into prospective policy. And I'm just going to give a very personal example in relation to 20 21 some of my work on the Halifax Police Board. And so for many years before I joined the Halifax Police Board, I 22 worked -- and we have an individual named Corey Rogers. And Corey died in the drunk 23 tank in 2016 in Halifax. 24 And his treatment by police was the subject of an Nova Scotia 25 Police Review Board decision. And at the very end of -- you know, and there were 26 some disciplinary findings in that case -- at the very end, the Police Review Board said, 27 28 "We know it's not our role. We know that we can't impose this or direct this occurs, but

we feel, based on this hearing -- " now, remember, this was a very extensive hearing; it 1 2 was about two weeks -- "that we want to make these recommendations to HRB for training and policies and implement." 3 And thankfully, you know, all credit to Chair Smith (phonetic), you 4 know, he identified that and said, "Look, you know, we really need to ensure that this 5 6 happens." 7 But that was -- that's one individual playing that role, and doing so, 8 you know, while juggling a lot of other responsibility. So there's not, I would suggest, consistent energy or consistency across these different kinds of agency. That's one 9 example, and I could provide more. 10 But as it relates to ensuring that there's sort of some level of kind of 11 unity and coherence of the governance structures for police, that's currently missing in 12 13 the province. So that's one point with respect to capacity, and I'm happy to 14 answer any questions about that. 15 The second is, you know, relationship culture, and here, I sort of 16 want to make three very brief points. 17 So the first is that you've heard a lot so far about tasking, 18 19 particularly in relation to things like mental health crisis. And there is a suggestion that, you know, all of this is going to have better outcomes for the individuals who police 20 21 interact with; also better for the police. It is also going to be better for the police, right? It's going to allow the police to go back to what's often called "core policing". 22 And I think one point that I want to echo is one that Professor Goold 23 raised yesterday, which is that there's, in Canada, not a long of understanding about 24 what police do on a day-to-day basis, how the police actually spend their time. A lot of 25 our conceptions of how the police are spending their time are just that, you know, 26 they're not based in fact, they're not based in any office of the number and types of calls 27 28 that the police are responding to.

1	You know, there's in chronological literature, there's a long-
2	standing idea that 80 percent of the stuff that the police are doing isn't in their law
3	enforcement capacity, right? They're not enforcing laws. They're resolving disputes
4	between neighbours, you know, they're acting they're acting as community liaisons,
5	only that remaining 20 percent, right, that's the law enforcement function. And then
6	within that, there's a very small component that is confined to for violence.
7	So I would suggest that at a fundamental level, that we don't really
8	have much of an understanding of how the police are spending their time, and whether
9	they're adequately trained, in light of what they actually do.
10	And you know, I think this comes up in a lot of different ways, so
11	some people might know I was one of the lead authors of the report for the City of
12	Halifax on defunding the police. And not a lot of people know where that report came
13	from, which was that we were actually asked to provide a definition of defunding the
14	police, because the City of Halifax had proposed their own definition and had three
15	bullet points.
16	And the first bullet point said, "Police should perform policing
17	function."
18	And the second one said, "Non-policing agencies should be
19	adequately funded."
20	And we sort of said, "Well, you know, isn't that a little bit circular,
21	that police perform policing function? You know, for the longest time, we thought that
22	responding to drunk and disorderly individuals was a policing function."
23	Now we know that in light of you know, of new understandings of
24	addictions and mental health that that is not appropriate to have the police respond to
25	the same individual with substance use disorder (audio failure) over the course of the
26	year. Well, maybe that's not a good thing for them to do.
27	
	So I think in terms of I would not want you to be guided by

Goold suggested, there's a need to actually do research in that area, and that's an area 1 2 where the United States, there's been significant amount of research, you know? In the defunding report, we talk about that a little bit. 3 The second point with respect to culture relates to the issue of 4 information sharing, you know, and I spoke to it at some length, and perhaps for too 5 6 long, about information sharing, when I appeared last week. But I would suggest that, you know, I'd kind of adopt the points I 7 8 made last week in relation to information sharing between police agencies and police oversight agencies, that there's really not, you know, clear expectations about what sort 9 of information the police should be providing to oversight bodies, in particular, advisory 10 boards and police boards. 11 And you know, I think this manifests in a variety of ways, right? So 12 13 in that report by Professor Murphy, there was a concern there from the Colchester 14 Council that, you know, not only had the Board -- the Advisory Board not met in a year, but there was always at the same questions that they asked the RCMP that weren't 15 answered, right? 16 And what mechanism was in place for them to, you know, compel 17 an answer, right? 18 19 You know, you heard Professor Cunliffe talk about this idea from -about operation responsibility, right, that sure, the police have independence as you 20 21 know, confirmed by court with respect to investigative decisions, you know, the laying of 22 charges and the like, but they're ultimately responsible to the public, right, and to these Boards, to provide information about that. 23 24 And I don't think that's something that's historically gone on. There's not a clear understanding or expectation about what are the sorts of information 25 to be provided. And I would suggest sort of in keeping with Justice Epstein and Justice 26 Morden that there's really much more information that should be provided than is being 27 28 provided, you know?

1	So both those justices in their various reviews talk about this idea of
2	critical points, right? So this idea that really, anything that engage kind of a senior
3	management level for the purpose of making decisions, some kind of operational plans,
4	you know, major incidents, that's something that the Board should be receiving
5	information about because of the possibility that those kinds of plans or incidents could
6	have policy implication.
7	And that simply doesn't happen. That's not something that occurs,
8	and when there's questions are asked, there's often a sort of reticence to provide
9	answers about these sorts of things.
10	So I would suggest that, you know, this information sharing is a
11	huge issue, and I mean, I know it's one that's been talked, mind, mission. I wasn't in on
12	the environmental scan. There was concerns there with respect to even issues around
13	disclosure, right?
14	So I would suggest that there are sort of cultural issues there in
15	terms of what is the information that oversight agencies are entitled to? What is the
16	information that the public is entitled to, you know? And on the flip side, what are police
17	comfortable providing, right?
18	And (audio failure).
19	MS. EMILY HILL: Harry, I'm sorry to I know you've got one more
20	point you want to make. I'm just going to ask you if you could just make that point,
21	because I did see Hayley's and Sheila's hand go up on this question, and I want to
22	make sure we get a chance to get to them.
23	MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY: For sure, yeah. I just the third and
24	final point is just coordinating the information sharing point, which is that oftentimes,
25	there's a lack of willingness or a lack of desire to engage in critical questioning to ask
26	uncomfortable questions. You know, nobody likes conflict, but I would suggest that in
27	this context that there's really strong culture underpinnings of our desire to not to
28	question the police or to be too demanding with respect to information and this is

22

23

something that, you know, I find comes up quite a bit. 1 2 And so I would just suggest that as well, that there are also these culture underpinnings in terms of to what extent are we, as the public, have 3 expectations of ourselves in terms of you know, information (audio fail), and you know, 4 the extent to which we're willing to question them for that information. 5 6 So sorry for prattling on so long. **MS. EMILY HILL**: No, that's okay. Thanks so much. And I hope 7 8 that we're going to pick up probably on some of these ideas in our next question, so I appreciate you sort of taking some time to explain that. 9 Hayley and Sheila, I think I saw both of your hands go up. 10 Hayley, your hand was first. I don't know if that works for you just 11 to perhaps offer some comments now. 12 13 The question we started with was the barriers, institutional barriers 14 to achieving structural change around policing and public safety, but please feel free to comment on that or anything you've heard so far. 15 MS. HAYLEY CRICHTON: Thank you very much, Emily, and good 16 afternoon, Commissioners. 17 I'd like to frame my response, actually, first, in responding to a few 18 19 comments being made by Mr. Critchley, and I'll kind of move to my broader answer to this question. 20 21 So I appreciate Mr. Critchley bringing up the policing standards and audit functions and for brevity I won't go into too much detail because I recognize we've 22 spoken about these two topics quite a bit at the Commission, but I just wanted to 23 provide the information that we are indeed updating the provincial policing standards; 24 that is an ongoing process to ensure that they remain in line with the current societal 25 context. So that's an ongoing project that we are looking to complete. 26 But, secondly, the audit modernization project is also a similar 27 28 project that's acting in tandem with the standard update as well. The new audit regime

will actually look to measure compliance with the provincial policing standards. So the
new audit function will indeed occur cyclically to ensure that it happens consistently over
periods of a couple of years. So I just wanted to provide that information, that we very
much do intend to audit all police agencies in Nova Scotia; that's RCMP and municipal
police agencies and that there is an audit regime modernization project under way at
the moment to ensure that that project -- program sorry is updated and refreshed as
well.

24

8 More broadly-speaking though, I think two areas that I will speak to, and I feel really work in tandem together and are very much inextricably linked to make 9 structural change slower. And the first that I'll speak to is that policing can never really 10 be looked at in isolation. And this has been a really fundamental frame of the 11 conversations at the Commission thus far. I know it was talked about quite a bit last 12 13 week as well. But a reform in the eco-system – so I'll use that word, the eco-system is 14 really required such that police reforms are also made within a changing eco-system as well. 15

And so there are finite resources, guite frankly, in dealing with a 16 response to community concerns related to the police role, police visibility and 17 relationships of trust, so I think when we look at the question of reform associated with 18 19 what police should be responsible for, what should police be doing, how are we newly defined in core policing because I appreciate Mr. Critchley's comments and some of the 20 21 Commissioners comments in previous roundtables that what constitutes core policing is 22 mostly certainly changing the definition of changing and needs to change. But as we're looking at that, I think we also need to consider what are other areas of the eco-system 23 able to take on at this time? Because that's an imperative question to be asking as 24 we're looking at these functions, we need to say look at other transformation that's 25 occurring in other sectors supporting each other. 26

27 So I'm putting mental health calls aside for a moment, just because 28 I think we've discussed them quite a bit, but there are lots of tasks for which police are the agency of default, and I'll kind of use that terminology, the "agency of default" such
as acute crisis. Medical transport when an ambulance is not available, police are often
responsible -- or the only available response to acute medical crises outside of mental
health call, things like property disputes, non-court order custody disagreements,
enforcement of various provincial regulatory statutes and the list goes on.[

25

6 So while we're turning our minds to this broader eco-system, in an 7 emergency at 1:00 a.m. quite frankly, or when another response is not obvious because 8 there hasn't been a transformation in that sector, police are still called. They're still the 9 agency of default, and in part because they're often the only 24-hour service provider 10 that's visible, especially in rural communities where service provision isn't as robust or 11 does not operate 24-7.

And so while Meghan had previous referred to this challenge in 12 government culture, I think it's also very much a broader culture outside of just 13 government as well. So I'm using the word "eco-system" because I want to refer to the 14 breadth of sectors that are affected. And if we agree police should not be the agency of 15 default for specific areas, then I think we need to look at what areas are more 16 appropriately identified and a broader societal shift needs to occur to gradually shift the 17 expectation as well, so even in an emergency at 2:00 a.m., because that's really the 18 19 landmark test of whether or not that cultural shift has occurred.

I think one way of working towards that, I think Ontario has 20 21 mandated community, safety and well-being planning. It's a really interesting approach 22 to starting to grapple with the unanswerable questions that we have. Looking at an ecosystem in totality option can appear very daunting, it's an overwhelming task to look at 23 24 its eco-system in totality, but mandating communities to look at it was a really interesting approach that they had taken to kind of establish the current state of the eco-system 25 within which all of these factors operate, police being one of them, of course, but one of 26 27 many.

28

And the second -- and I'm sorry, I'm trying to be a little brief to allow

others to participate as well, but I think that this is also inextricably linked to this
question of eco-system ensuring that transformation is happening throughout the ecosystem. But that is -- and it's also been discussed in -- it's top-down cultural change in
policing.

Police culture drives police behaviour. And so addressing the 5 6 cause and not the symptoms result in looking at policy and procedural change as secondary to the importance of cultural change, despite the fact that policy change is 7 8 often perceived as a cleaner resolve when we're looking at police reform discourse. It's often the first component of reform that's discussed. But I think policies exist but it 9 takes people to operationalize them, it takes the police resources to operationalize 10 policy. And so overarching culture in a policing organization is largely reliant on how 11 each individual officer, civilian staff or leader, has come to internalize assumptions 12 13 about what behaviours are acceptable within the institution, or within the agency.

14 So transformational change is really complex but I do think police 15 leaders have the most integral role to play with respect to police cultural change. I think 16 it's a perpetual and exhaustive process of changing those internalized assumptions and 17 setting new expectations.

18 So I think while policies and directives are still a critical part of 19 reform -- so I don't want to be mistaken here by saying that they're not important; I 20 absolutely think that they're fundamentally important, but I think that culture of an 21 agency or an institution is what's needed so that those policies and procedures will be 22 operationalized.

I do know that police leaders in Nova Scotia have all come to the table with a willingness to be open to these conversations and want to meaningfully engage in what transformation they look like. And this includes being open to having some quite difficult conversations and also being at the table where they do not lead, ie. being a participant in a broader process about eco-systems. I think we're in a position that they have sat at the table and at least that's from my perspective in the work I've

27

1 completed. So I don't want to speak for anybody else.

So those are my two points that I wish to make, and, again, I think they're inextricably linked, the need for an eco-system reform and then also a need for a cultural shift as well. Thank you.

5 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks very much. Sheila, I think you have a 6 chance to now respond to the question and/or to what you've heard so far.

7 **MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN:** Thanks so much. And I'm really glad 8 again to be here and to be able to respond. The themes that have come up are all 9 themes that I'd like to kind of pull together, so the themes of culture and capacity. And 10 I'd really like to sort of push the focus back to where Meghan started, particularly with 11 her reference to (inaudible) and other sort of deep transformative approaches to the 12 work of revisioning public safety.

13 And so I just want to start with the depth of the challenge when we 14 talk about shifting police culture or cultures because I -- the penetration of systematic misogyny and racism, as well as classism and other forms of discrimination in the very 15 -- in the culture and in the practice of policing, is something that I think we have to 16 recognize has gone deep enough that that is going back to, you know, invisibled 17 marginalized populations. That is what policing is as it's been constructed in the 18 19 relationship between communities and the state. And so I'd like to just give a couple of 20 comments on that.

21 And I want to remind you, for instance, of the Bastarache Report 22 on the RCMP, you know, speaking to the depth of toxic misogyny within that institution. But I want to also refrain from overly vilifying one expression of policing and policing 23 agencies because I'm also mindful of, for instance, the Toronto Police, you know, review 24 of strip searching which again, you know, disproportionate targeting and doing a 25 violence, I would describe it as, on marginalized communities. And I don't think it's too 26 far -- again, on this theme of culture and the depth of the infiltration of the cultures we're 27 28 talking about into policing. I don't think it's too far to reach to go back to a guote, a

1	statement from Constable Wiley from this interview that was provided in the
2	foundational documents prior to his appearing as a witness last week.
3	And he said this, I quote:
4	"When you go out on the job and you have a uniform on
5	you're going to be a magnet for poor people's negative
6	emotions." (As read)
7	And I say that in, you know, in the context of my earlier statements
8	about marginalized persons who the perpetrator cultivates relations with, knowing that
9	they were discreditable and disposable. And the second statement he said was, "I hear
10	all about the systemic racism in the Force" and added that every time he had witnessed
11	racism on the job it was "coming back at us" at the police, saying, "I've been the
12	recipient of racism. And as a police officer I have never bee the racist."
13	And of course, he concluded that set of statements with a comment
14	on Critical Race Theory and said:
15	"With all these programs coming in and stuff to address
16	systemic racism, it divides people rather than unites
17	people." (As read)
18	And I understand that in quoting one individual officer, I may be a
19	part of what I would really like to avoid which is a possible isolation and vilification of the
20	bad apple. You know, the bad seed within the bad apple, the badder apple being, you
21	know, RCMP versus other policing
22	But I want to go back to some of the possibilities that are before us
23	in taking seriously the invitation to rethink public safety in a way that is responsive to the
24	experience of policing, of the institution that we call the police, and that through history,
25	as Robin Maynard's work points out, for instance. Through history has been used to
26	reinforce dominant social strata over against back to Harry's "us and them" over
27	and against subordinate social strata.
28	How do we detach this and today we call the police from that. And

so my remarks, although I welcome and support everything that Harry in particular has 1 2 said, and also that Meghan has said, I want to go beyond the focus on, you know, sort of community engagement, this kind of -- we want to have more throughways for 3 community conversation in order to shift culture. And I even wat to go beyond the focus 4 which is so important, but go beyond the focus on police oversight and come back to 5 these questions that Meghan put on the table -- and I'm sure we can come back to --6 around alternatives. What would it mean to shift the locus of public safety and 7 8 resilience?

And there, one of the barriers -- you asked whether some of the institutional barriers to achieving structural change -- one of the barriers goes to an ethos that's described as the carceral ethos or the carceral logic. And just what is that exactly? It's something that encourages us to think beyond police, much as Hayley was saying, to think in an eco-system informed way, but to think of our eco-system more broadly, not just the policing function as a carceral system.

And what do I mean by that? Well, scholars have used this word "carceral", you know, logics and carceral structures as a way of getting at the violence that is done, the structural violence that is done to marginalized and oppressed communities, expressive of white supremacist colonialist patriarchal and class supremacy.

20 And I start to feel like an -- you know, I'm just telling you ideology 21 here. But these are very concretely expressed, the us-them divisions, done not simply 22 through policing but through a whole set of state institutions. And what the central logic is is a logic of responding to social structural problems and their consequences, so lived 23 24 deprivation and need and trauma, and poverty in, you know, racism, responding to these through strategies of isolation and confinement and control. So that's kind of the 25 definition. Responding through isolation, confinement, and control. 26 And so a question for us is, you know, what do we even have to 27

envision as the problem beyond policing in order to respond to this problem of public

safety which is a problem that I'm suggesting is worsened, exacerbated through our
reliance on what I'm calling these carceral responses.

Another way to put the problem, sort of the culture, the endemic 3 culture I'm getting at, is a culture that's predominantly focused on risk prevention and 4 risk containment. And that goes beyond policing. It actually extends to some of the 5 institutions that we're looking at, almost reflexively, when we talk about alternatives to 6 policing. And we can come back to this but I'm including here the health and in 7 8 particular the mental health systems and the social assistance system itself which is also reliant on surveillance, on punishing forms of administration, on you know profound 9 destabilization of the lives of those who rely on social assistance. 10

So let me just put a concrete point on the concern, as I talk about, 11 you know, institutional barriers to structural change, and I link this up to what I'm calling 12 13 carceral predominantly sort of overwhelmingly risk preventative approaches to the problem. We're calling the problem of public safety. And I want to use the example of 14 remand, the high rates of remand, persons remanded to custody pretrial in Nova Scotia 15 provincial jails, because that's something that east coat prison justice, you know, has 16 been preoccupied with for some time and we work with many of the folks who are 17 remanded in custody right now. 18

19 And this is my example to help us think about how the risk containment isolating, confining approach to public safety is not just a problem of what 20 21 the police do and how the police are overseen, but it's a deeper problem in our wider 22 criminal justice system and correctional systems as intersecting, interacting, mutually supportive systems. The police are but a throughway. Yes, the police are potentially a 23 source of violence and harm and risk to marginalized and invisibalized communities, but 24 one of the greatest risks of encounters with police -- and here we have to think, what 25 would an alternative to police be -- is the risk of incarceration. And in Nova Scotia we're 26 talking in particular about a great risk of being remanded to custody pretrial. And it's 27 28 something I could pick up on later.

But let me just say to conclude. What do I mean or what am I getting at? In 2020-21, 76 percent of all adults in provincial custody in Nova Scotia were remanded to custody pretrial. Only 17 percent were in sentence custody; 7 percent of the others were in immigration detention. And what we know as we dig down into that 76 percent remanded is that Indigenous persons and African Nova Scotians continue to be over-represented in significant ways in our provincial jails.

So in fact, disproportionate representation of Indigenous persons remanded to custody and in Nova Scotia it's getting worse. In 2019-20 Indigenous persons were 6 percent of the Nova Scotian population but accounted to 13 percent of remand admissions, 13 percent and that's an increase of 5 percent over the 2018-19 figures. Indigenous women were particularly over-represented in remand in provincial custody in Nova Scotia, making up 23 percent of female identified remand admissions to Nova Scotia's correctional facilities. That's in 2019-20.

And in sentence custody as well, Indigenous persons were overrepresented 11 percent of those admitted to sentence custody.

And African Nova Scotians who make up about 2 percent of the Nova Scotian population in 2019-20 represented 10 percent of remand admissions and 11 percent of those sentenced to custody.

19 So I tell you those -- you know, these are -- I worry that in a way 20 these are sort of familiar refrains. You're likely all too aware that in the federal system, 21 you know, Indigenous women now make up nearly 50 percent of those in sentence 22 custody among federally incarcerated women in particular and Indigenous persons in 23 general make up over 30 percent of the federally incarcerated population.

And the point I'm not just going to, you know, conclude is the one that -- again, I think it's very familiar to all of you, the thesis which has much evidence behind it, that prisons and jails do not rectify problems of social instability and public safety; they intensify them, at the very least -- and these are things that we witness at East Coast Prison Justice -- by disrupting already tenuous access to social and health

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

supports by disrupting relations to community and family, and by subjected those who
are incarcerated to routine degradation and violence, both sanctioned and
unsanctioned, so back to sort of the routinization of the strip search for some members

32

4 of our community.

5 So I say this to remind again of the concrete, I guess, expressions 6 of what I'm saying when I talk about the basis of the lack of trust and of the rift between 7 the marginalized and often invisibilized populations that -- that we started our 8 conversation speaking of, so invisibilized in a sense but invisibilized, in part, through 9 containment, and isolation, and subjection to what -- you know, what's called "carceral", 10 very controlling behaviours over the long term, not just through the police encounter. 11 And I'll leave it there an perhaps we can come back to some of

these things.

13 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thanks, Sheila. Yeah, I hope -- I think what 14 we'll do if -- the next question, after I turn to the Commissioners, and perhaps after we take a break, is we will pick up with the next question and I hope we can focus -- you 15 know, we've talked a little bit about barriers and you've articulated, all of you, you know, 16 some of the really complex and challenging, deep, long-lasting, you know, barriers to 17 change, and so I hope in our next sort of round, we can focus on the need for change. 18 19 We've focused that question on looking at oversight but I think with regard to all of the barriers that have been identified, thinking about concrete ideas for change and sort of 20 21 the pathway to change as we focus on our -- on our mandate which, of course, is 22 forward looking and trying to improve public safety and improve the institutions that protect and lead to public safety. 23

But before we sort of shift to that conversation, I wondered if we've heard anything so far or there's any questions or comments that Commissioners would like to make at this point.

27 COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: I'm fine. Thank you so much,
 28 Emily. Just to express my thanks to all the presenters so far for some very thought

1 provoking and helpful interventions.

2 Commissioner Stanton? **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. 3 Just I wondered if -- Hayley, could you just confirm if -- I mean it's 4 good that there's an audit modernization project underway. Is Harry correct that you 5 6 staff of auditors has gone from eight to one and, if so, what -- how will that project be operationalized and will it be properly resourced to achieve that end? 7 MS. HAYLEY CRICHTON: Thank you very much. So yes, he is 8 correct in stating that we originally started with eight auditors that were specifically 9 trained to perform the audit functions, so the old kind of version of the audit program. 10 There now remains on staff person who maintains that specific training for the old audit 11 regime. 12 Part of the new modernization and the work we're doing, actually, 13 14 with a hired consultant group through the modernization is to identify what capacity is needed to properly do this work, and we will be responding by ensuring that we are 15 staffed appropriately to do the work effectively. So part of that modernization project is 16 to understand what capacity is needed and then to respond appropriately to that 17 capacity requirement. 18 19 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** And what is the timeline for that project? 20 21 **MS. HAYLEY CRICHTON:** It will dove tail with the completion of 22 the Provincial Policing Standards to ensure that they align because the -- the approach taken is that a new regime will audit the new complete standards, so by the end of the 23 fall. And then there's the process to formalize the policing standards which will take 24 some more time but for the audit modernization, that is the timeline. 25 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Okay, thank you very much. And 26 just briefly, I wanted to thank Sheila for her discussion around the perpetrator's use of 27

privilege and perhaps just ask her to expand a bit on what she meant when she said

that it was used also in a symbolic sense. I was wondering if you were referencing
police paraphernalia there or if my inference is incorrect. Thanks.

MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN: That's exactly what I was referencing. 3 And so I want to be clear that I'm -- you know, I'm hypothesizing as to intentionality, but 4 it certainly seems like a reasonable read of the effects. In fact, one of the deponents, or 5 6 one of the witnesses, did speak to, as you well know, you know, having seen a couple of unmarked cars in the driveway and having heard him refer to his relationships, sort of 7 vaguely or not, with police, and it seemed to me that -- I mean the symbol is really an 8 important thing because it gets back to the point we're making about culture. You know, 9 this was not a person who, as we understand it, was a member of the police service, but 10 it was an individual who was very attracted to a certain understanding of masculine 11 domination -- white, masculine, I would say, ableist domination. And I say that because 12 of my understanding of the perpetrator on the record, not what he did in the last, you 13 14 know, days of his life, or not solely that.

And yeah, I think that that -- the culture -- the response of the 15 cultural symbol speaks to more than just the peculiarity of one mind. It speaks to a 16 certain power that that symbol holds culturally for all of us, and a special salience of that 17 symbol when dealing with people who that individual clearly had a pattern of targeting 18 19 and seeking to dominate, possibly humiliate, use, abuse individuals, that -- my understanding is that there was a pattern there and that others in the community have 20 21 come forward to say that. And that pattern for -- on my read, is very much centred and 22 expressed through the deep connection that the perpetrator for policing.

And I know that that's a harsh and hard thing for the many good people who are engaged in policing as a vocation, the many good people who are there to try to help, and to serve, and all -- you know, I want to recognize that because I'm talking about systems and structures and patterns of behaviours and ways that culturally and socially -- recognizing the stratification of our society. And who will feel comforted when the police car comes down the street and who will feel terrorized is

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

something we have to be absolutely mindful of when we say in an almost cavalier way, 1 2 "Let's change the culture." Let's change the cultures much more than having some meetings 3 between, you know, upper and lower, you know, representatives of policing. It goes to 4 a long, long history and deep -- yeah, deep reasons why those symbols have the 5 6 resonance they do, and they can be leveraged by police and non-police the way that they clearly can and are. Thank you for the question. 7 8 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thanks very much. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Commissioner Fitch, did you have any 9 question? 10 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Not at this time. Thank you, Emily. I'm 11 very much appreciating the wisdom around the table that is being shared. Thank you. 12 13 **MS. EMILY HILL:** And I want to make sure, Commissioner Stanton 14 -- it is hard when we're not in the same room together -- had I cut you off or did you have another question, or did you get your questions answered? 15 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** No, I was going to turn it back to 16 you, Ms. Hill, to perhaps take us to a break. Thank you. 17 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Okay. So thank you very much, everyone, for 18 19 your contributions so far on all of the questions. And yeah, I would propose we take a 15-minute break now and come back at 3:45 to pick up on the third question around --20 21 about oversight and about what we need to change to make oversight mechanisms 22 effective. Thanks so much. --- Upon recessing at 3:28 p.m. 23 --- Upon resuming at 3:49 p.m. 24 COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Thank you. And welcome back, 25 everyone. And over to you, Ms. Hill. 26 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you so much. We're going to pick up our 27 28 conversation on one of the same themes that we were discussing just before the break

which was really about thinking about police and about improving police organizations 1 2 and the oversight of police organizations. And this is something I know was the subject of a roundtable yesterday and has come up a great deal in our work and especially in 3 the past few weeks. 4 5 And I think what we would like to hear especially from this group 6 today on is about what do we need to change for police oversight mechanisms to succeed? We're kind of thinking some sort of concrete or focused solutions. Gillian 7 8 and I have sometimes talked in other sessions about magic wands and if people had magic wands, what they would do to try to make change for the community that they 9 work in and that they're speaking for today. 10 So I think we'll start, Harry, with you leading us off on that question. 11 **MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY:** Thanks, Emily. 12 13 I'd like to make two basic points with respect to possible changes. 14 So the first point is that I think police oversight in Nova Scotia needs to be made more democratic. 15 And the second point is that police oversight in Nova Scotia, more 16 aspects of it need to be made mandatory. 17 And so with respect to this first point about democratic 18 19 accountability and democratic engagement within terms of police oversight, this is an argument that I've developed in a much greater length than I can get into here in a 20 21 paper that I provided to the Commission. And I hope I -- my hope is that that will be 22 helpful for the purpose of your deliberations and for the purpose of the report. And so I'd really only like to make one point right now with respect 23 24 to democratic engagement. And that's with respect to the ongoing standards review at the provincial level. And I think, you know, when you've heard a tremendous amount 25 about the standards review so far, as far as this Commission, but I guess I would ask 26 the question, to what extent there has been engagement with sort of civil society groups 27 28 like our own, and public engagement as part of the standards review. And I'll just

ROUNDTABLE: JUSTICE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS

provide one anecdote. 1 2 Earlier this week I had the opportunity to speak to a good friend of mine, Brandon Rolle, the senior legal counsel, at the Justice Institute, the African Nova 3 Scotian Justice Institute. And I think it goes without saying that EPAD, the forerunner to 4 the Justice Institute is the civil society group in the province that is, I think, the largest 5 6 role in terms of galvanizing police reform and police policy reform in particular in the province of Nova Scotia in the last decade. 7 8 And I asked Brandon Rolle about this policing standards review and I said, "What involvement does your organization have in this review?" 9 And he indicated that he had no involvement himself and the 10 organization didn't either. 11 And so I was really encouraged and I know that there's 12 13 membership on various police boards around the province in the standards review but I would say if there's a desire to move forward with new standards that, you know, there 14 really needs to be engagement with civil society groups and with the public more 15 generally. 16 So I think the first point I want to make around kind of them making 17 our police oversight more democratic. And the second point I want to make is about 18 19 making more aspects of our police oversight framework more mandatory. And so you know, I actually agree really strongly with Ms. Crichton that the community safety and 20 21 wellbeing plans contemplated in Ontario are a really positive thing. I think it's important 22 that things be mandated but I would also commend the Commissioners to remember Professor Roach's point that reviewing those plans, they're often the same difficulties 23 we've heard a lot about just in terms of a lack of clarity and who's responsible for what, 24 so jurisdictional issues, and a lack of enforcement attached to those plans, and finally a 25 lack of funding attached to those plans... 26 While I think that's very important, you know, I would commend the 27

28 Commissioners to really consult with Professor Roach on that point.

There's other things that I think could be made mandatory as well. 1 2 For instance, in Ontario under the *Comprehensive Police Service Act* there's a requirement there that a police force be required to create certain policies and that's 3 provided for in the Regulations and in the Act. That's not a requirement that exists 4 under our Act; there's no requirements for required policies that Police Boards create. 5 And there's actually, under the new -- the Act has not yet been 6 proclaimed -- a requirement that the Board engage in consultation with the community 7 8 and then publish the findings of that consultation. Again, that's not something that goes on. You heard me say last week that although the board is statutorily responsible for 9 approving the budget annually, it is required under 56.2 of the legislation to ensure that 10 the budget is in keeping with the duties of the board which includes the duty to act as 11 the conduit for the community. 12

Before last year there was no process in place to engage the public 13 14 or consult with the public about that budget. If members of the public had the wherewithal they could sign up and attend a meeting or speak for five minutes. But 15 there was no efforts made to act on those duties for the purpose of making it accessible 16 to the public to provide their views until this year. So that's a very very new change. 17 So I think there's things that can be made mandatory there. And 18 19 I'm going to speak about one last thing that I think is absolutely essential to this Commission and is directly relevant to the facts of this Commission. And something 20 21 that needs to be made mandatory in this province because the fact that it's optional in 22 our province is actually a tremendous aberration from almost every other province in and outside the length of Canada. 23

And that I'm speaking about the issue of fatality inquiries in the province. This is the point I was able to make very very briefly the other day and I'm hoping to just speak out at a little more length about it.

27 So as I mentioned, you know, I became really involved in police 28 policy work through working with the family of Corey Rogers And Corey's mother,

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

Jeannette, and I actually presented to the Board in 2020 this idea of creating a sobering 1 2 centre, a civilian alternative to the drunk tank. And I think you've heard about that as part of some of the work that the safety strategy complex is doing. But unfortunately, 3 Corey's death was not unique. In the province of Nova Scotia, there's been four such 4 deaths in drunk tanks since 2009. So there's the death of Victoria Paul known in Truro. 5 6 There was an individual named John Burke died in the -- after being detained in the Halifax drunk tank in 2013. In 2013 a 40-year-old man died after 7 8 being detained in the drunk tank in Liverpool by the RCMP. And in 2019 a man died

9 after being detained in the drunk tank in Amherst. And I'm talking about that one in
10 particular because in that case the officers had only received basic First Aid training.
11 And as a result the officers did not have necessary training to identify the symptoms that
12 the man was exhibiting which were actually the result of him having had a stroke and
13 not him being intoxicated. The fact that this man had a stroke was missed by the
14 officers and unfortunately he died shortly after being detained.

And these are not the only such police involved deaths in the 15 province. And what I would suggest is that in any other province outside of Atlantic 16 Canada these deaths would be subject to a mandatory coroner's inquest or a fatality 17 review as overseen by the medical examiner and undertaken by a judge. And our 18 19 Fatality Inquiry Act in the province differs in that there are no circumstances under which a fatality inquiry must be held, and rather the discretion of holding the inquiry 20 21 rests entirely with the chief minister -- sorry, the chief medical examiner, which is a 22 political appointment, and/or the Minister of Justice. And this is different from every province west of Ontario. 23

And as a result of the fact that fatality inquiries in this province are optional, there have only been two such inquiries in the last two decades. There was the Hyde Inquiry and the Desmond Inquiry. For comparison, in British Columbia the Office of the Chief Coroner has the power to call an inquest themselves and there are mandatory circumstances under which they must be held. And in that province there

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

ROUNDTABLE: JUSTICE-RELATED ORGANIZATIONS

were 12 inquests conducted in 2018 of which seven involved either individuals who had
died when they were in police custody, or as a result of police use of force. So again,
this is a huge discrepancy between our province and effectively every other province in
Canada.

5 And I would note that the former Chief Medical Examiner of Nova 6 Scotia, Dr. John Butt identified this troubling issue with the legislation. And what he said 7 is:

8 "What you're seeing here is a bit of Nova Scotia politics at
9 play. Some of the politics are a bit self-serving to favour
10 the government so that it avoids scrutiny." (As read)

And what I want to suggest is that this change, in particular, needs to be made mandatory so that we can learn from our mistakes. I would suggest that's not happening currently because in addition to those four individuals who died in police custody that I've just mentioned, there's a number of other police-involved deaths resulting from the use of force in Nova Scotia that also haven't been subject to fatality inquiries.

So in 2019 that was the shooting Mitchell Speight in Truro; in 2020, there was the shooting death of Richard Wheeler by the RCMP in Eastern Passage. He was a man who had been described by his neighbours as having significant addiction and mental health problems; and then death Bradley Clattenburg in Westphal; and then, just two weeks ago, the shooting death of a Dartmouth who had barricaded himself inside his home with a weapon.

And I think the point I want to mention here is that, you know, you've heard a tremendous about the need for greater openness and transparency as part of this inquiry and I would suggest that this an area in which our province, unique amongst most provinces in Canada, is actually moving in the opposite direction. So in 2019, the province made changes to the legislation that allowed for the creation of what are called "Death Review Committees". They created two such committees, one to deal with child -- you know, the deaths of children, and
another to deal with deaths -- domestic homicides, intimate partner homicides. And I
would suggest that these committees are sort of fundamentally flawed in a number of
respects, including that their use continues to be discretionary.

5 And I would, sort of in closing, that the province took the 6 extraordinary step under the legislation of isolating the work of Death Review 7 Committees from the *FOIPOP Act* and from *PHIA*, and so you can FOIPOP the work of 8 Death Review Committees. There is no requirement that any information from the 9 Death Review Committees, whether they're findings, they're recommendations, that 10 they have to be made public. And since those legislations -- that legislation has come 11 into force, that's been the trend with our province.

And I would note, also, that this was an amendment to legislation 12 13 that the Privacy Commissioner strongly resisted and wrote a letter to the lawmen on this committee at that time saying that this was inappropriate. And so again, I want to close 14 on that point. I know it's perhaps a fairly narrow one but I think it's highly relevant to the 15 work your Commission -- of this Commission, in particular how this Commission came 16 about. There was, at one point, before this Commission was created, discussions as to 17 whether the Chief Medical Examiner might instead call a fatality inquiry. And it's 18 19 tremendous that in our province, you know, in the year 2020, so much power would be left with one individual to make that decision, and that individual being a political 20 21 appointment. So I'm going to close with that.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks very much.

22

23

I see Meghan's hand and Sheila's hand.

Sheila, do you -- and Hayley. So I'll just perhaps call on you in the order that you've raised your hands, but if you think it would be more appropriate for someone else to go first, feel free to say that. So Sheila, I think, that was you.

27 **MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN:** I was going to yield to Meghan but I 28 would like to, if -- with your permission, Meghan, follow up because I wanted to follow up specifically on the fatality inquiry piece that Harry's just raised, which is something
East Coast Prison Justice has spent some time thinking about and looking at.

So as Harry and I are both, you know, with East Coast Prison 3 Justice, folks who work with people in Nova Scotia jails, I feel compelled to add that the 4 call -- that calls for fatality inquiries beyond the police-involved deaths that Harry 5 6 mentioned, calls were also ignored in the following other cases: So one, the death Gregory Hiles at the East Coast Forensic Hospital in 2019; second, the death of Joshua 7 8 Evans who was a young man with intellectual disabilities. He died at the Central Nova Scotia Correctional Facility in 2018; Jason LeBlanc dies at the Cape Breton Correctional 9 Facility in 2016; and the federal correctional environment but located in Nova Scotia at 10 the Nova Institution in Truro, Veronica Park and Camille Strickland-Murphy died each in 11 2015; and last, in 2014, Clayton Cromwell died at the Central Nova Scotia Correctional 12 13 Facility. And in each of these cases, as I said, there were calls for fatality inquiries and 14 those didn't happen. So this is just continuing on that theme of oversight that Harry raised. 15

If I can take a moment, I'll just pick up on -- further on the question
that was raised which goes to oversight and challenges, and I think you've also asked
us to be more forward-looking and thinking about alternatives in this answer so I'll give
that a go.

So there's three sort of levels of responsiveness that I want to think about. And in a sense, I'm building on the idea of, "How can we limit and discipline police discretion?" which is one of the key, you know, preoccupations of this case. And we've heard a lot about how culture and all kinds of unwanted forces are acting through, intentionally or not, police officers when they exercise their discretion, including to arrest, to strip search, and to become the throughway for the rest of the sorts of carceral responses that the state potentially has in store.

And my last example is going to be one that goes a little more directly to discipling or overseeing the exercise of police discretion but I want to start by

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

actually, you know, moving way, way ex-ante, to go back to the ex-post -- no, sorry,
well, before -- I want to go way, way upstream, is what I'm saying, and think about
changes that might be made by reinvesting in community and, specifically to start, in
preventive community supports.

5 And here, I'd mentioned that I was at the Standing Committee on 6 Community Services last week and speaking they were speaking to Department of 7 Community Services about the slowness, so of the closure of large institutions for 8 person with disabilities in Nova Scotia and why that is and wanted an update. And one 9 of the things put before government at that time -- and my theme here again is investing 10 in preventive, supportive mechanisms to strengthen community.

One of the things put before the committee was that almost a 11 thousand people are unnecessarily in large disability institutions -- large, midsize 12 13 disability institutions. And that's contrary to a ruling of the Nova Scotia Court of Appeal that said it was discrimination to force people with disabilities to be, you know, 14 insupportable choice of living in an institution far from home or staying on a waitlist, sort 15 of languishing on a waitlist with no supports or not adequate supports. There are 16 almost 2000 in the province who are languishing waiting for appropriate supports and 17 recognized as such. And some of them have been doing that for decades. 18

19 So when I say, "Invest in -- invest in community safety," there are so many investments that we can be mindful of, so investments in access to the social 20 21 determinants of health, including housing and food security, including opportunities for 22 recreation, including responsive services for children in crisis and children experiencing trauma. And I do want to bring to this case and ask ourselves, you know, sort of 23 24 meaningfully, is there anything we can imagine -- you asked us to imagine -- us sort up popping up or being present in the life of a young man who's being subjected to trauma 25 at home that might have cut, even potentially cut, a slightly different path for that 26 person, and so investing in supports for children and lifting children out of poverty. And 27 28 we have so many in Nova Scotia.

These all are things that, I think, at the big-picture level, we have to put on the table as we think about modes of preventing the kind of violent, tragic act that we're speaking to today.

Moving down just one level, providing sustainable funding for 4 supports specifically directed at persons involved in the criminal justice system. And so 5 6 here, I'm thinking of wraparound social, and health, and addictions supports as well as access to housing where that's needed, the kinds of supports that have been innovated 7 and delivered in ways that I understand to be profoundly responsive to the lives in the 8 circumstances of in particular women and non-binary people in our province who are 9 just as involved. And that service is provided by Coverdale Court Work Society and the 10 Elizabeth Fry Society. So this goes back to my point in the high rates of remand, 11 because I felt that I had sort of left you with that, you know, 75 per cent of folks kind of 12 13 languishing in jail, remanded, pre-trialled, some of those folks will not be found guilty; 14 they will have just served a lot of hard time and experienced all the corrosive effects that I am saying are destructive of public safety on a thesis of risk prevention. One of those 15 risks being, you know, preventing the possibility the person will not show up at their trial. 16 You – the legal folks around the table know that it's a legal 17 requirement that pre-trial detention be resorted to only where necessary and as a last 18 19 resource. That's the Antic case in 2017. And the same goes for placing conditions on

20 pre-trial release.

Well, for so many what is missing, and in particular for people who our colleagues at Coverdale Court Work and E. Fry would describe as people with needs of high acuity. What's missing, is a place to stay, an address, but more than that, the supports to be able to avoid breaching conditions, the supports needed in order to live sustainably and as well as possible in circumstances where one is just as involved in the community.

And we have a lovely example, and I'm not going to belabour it, but it's really an important example here in the province of an innovation of that sort that

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

was short-lived because of failure of sustainable funding. And the theme that I want to 1 2 pick up, is I briefly – very briefly developed this example – is a theme that you also heard from Heidi Marshall when she was speaking to the organization Paula's Place in 3 Cape Breton that was supporting indigenous woman who were experiencing various 4 kinds of struggles and who were for all kinds of profoundly understandable reasons, 5 6 very suspicious of the state. One of the things that I heard in that testimony was the terrible 7 8 scarcity that community-based organizations like Paula's Place and like Coverdale Court Work Society and E. Fry, the terrible scarcity that they face as an environment of 9 funding and sustainable funding for the kinds of absolutely important innovative work 10 that they do. 11 12 So the example that... 13 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Sheila, I'm sorry to cut you off; I just – I'm aware 14 that we have about 20 more minutes on this conversation... MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN: Oh shoot; okay. 15 **MS. EMILY HILL:** ...and I want to make sure that we give Meghan 16 and Hayley a chance on this topic, because I think you're also dovetailing into the next 17 question. If you don't mind... 18 19 **MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN:** Oh, I'm so sorry, I somehow thought that we were keeping -- I thought we were going to collapse the two questions somehow 20 21 from what you had said. So I'll be very quick then; I'm going to park that example and 22 I'll just speak to two -- very briefly two ways of placing limits on or disciplining police discretion point of contact with folks who potentially maybe pulled into the carceral 23 24 system. And one of the examples is pre-charge screening. So pre-charge 25 screening is a system of, you know, Crown review and oversight and the decision of 26 officers to lay charges in criminal cases. And this system is in place in New Brunswick, 27 28 in Quebec, in B.C.; I'm not sure where it's at in Alberta but I know I was piloted with

optimism. It led to the Alberta pilot, I understand, a 21 per cent decrease in
commenced cases and 29 per cent decrease in criminal charges laid with little to no
impact on the timeliness of laying charges. And so I give that example as one way that
oversight of police decisions and exercise of discretion at point of laying charges which
commences, as you know, so many consequences for folks in terms of their
involvement with the criminal justice system, as something to consider as we talk about
ways of reigning in the kinds of harms that are done by police actions.

46

And the second very briefly thing that I'd mention, is something that's raised in one of the appendices to the Defund Report that Harry was one of the authors of, and it speaks to section 496 of the *Criminal Code* that came into effect in 2019 on administration of justice offences and it's says where a person is suspected of committing a breach, an administration of justice offence, a peace officer can issue an appearance notice to attend at a judicial referral hearing rather than lay charges.

And what's pointed out in the Defund Report after an access to information request, is that Halifax Regional Police officers had at the time of writing the report, used their authority under that section brought into force in 2019, only eight times as I understand it. And so that's a lost opportunity potentially as well. Okay, so I'm going to stop there. Thanks very much.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks so much. So I'll just turn I think to
 Meghan and then to Hayley just maybe answer as succinctly as you can with regard to
 the change that's needed to make effective police oversight and then we'll do one short
 round with regard to the fourth question. So go ahead, Meghan.

MS. MEGHAN McDERMOTT: Thank you. All right. I'm going to pick that up on some comments that I made yesterday after the police oversight roundtable, and it's -- you know, a desire for our democratic elected officials to use their policy-making powers a lot more and proactively provide clear policy and direction, to both public and police about -- particularly around issues where force could be used or detention and arrest, a lot of things are left to policy and I'm actually going to really

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

recommend that the Commissioners and the Commission staff not necessarily accept
 that the standard-making process that has been used or in the past, is the best going
 forward.

47

So for instance, we have policing standards in B.C. And from what I can tell from looking at the Nova Scotia policing standards, they seem very similar in that they apply to the Chief Constable or the people at the top of a particular policing agency. And what it says, it says something like if you do this, then you must have policies about that kind of thing.

Now from a rule of law perspective, there's a lot of issues I think 9 with this approach. First of all, I'm the only civil society representative on the standards-10 making body in B.C., which in and of itself is not a gripping thing, and this goes to 11 Harry's point about more inclusion and community inclusion and democratic governance 12 13 when you are setting these standards to begin with, is that community should be 14 involved and they should be aware -- they should see drafts. And actually as a legal practitioner and somebody who used to be involved in the legislative-making process, 15 when I first got into the area of police accountability I was struck by how strange it was 16 that the standards were being made from what I can tell, without any kind of legal 17 services branch being involved. 18

19 And so even the language of the standards, at least in the context of British Columbia, is it more so seems to be like a political exercise, arriving at a 20 21 compromise around a table and the table is heavily, heavily resourced by policing 22 people. So it will be, you know, police union, police chief. I can provide membership lists, and it's unclear to me who is at the table in Nova Scotia, but when it comes to how 23 24 police are going to provide a 16-year old with their rights upon arrest and detention, or how they can use force, I think it's really, really important, definitely for the legal 25 services branch to be involved, but it doesn't appear that that's the case. 26

27 So it seems like there's a really loose strange way to get at how the 28 police can actually behave on any given day. And this has a really upshot when it comes to the policing practices potentially discriminating against people or going the
 wrong way.

So an example that I can give is, that there was a – somebody was 3 stopped a couple of years ago here in B.C., in Vancouver, he was guite sophisticated 4 enough and he wasn't from a marginalized community, so he felt comfortable to use it in 5 6 and he wasn't from a marginalized community, so he felt comfortable to use an accountability mechanism. 7 8 He had been searched and the police searched him for his identity documents, and collected his identity without having an -- without actually having the 9 right to do that, right? 10 And if you look at -- on paper, the policing standards, sure, the 11 Minister has told police that they have to, you know, advise everybody of their rights, but 12 13 there's a lot of disconnect in terms of that flowing downwards to actually the beat cop on the ground because in the case where the beat cops, their training isn't updated or they 14 just haven't even been told about the latest case law. 15 Like, if there's a new Supreme Court decision, it's unclear that if 16 they even get a briefing about that, right? 17 But again, if you look at the standards, it will say things like, "The 18 19 Chief of Police has to make sure that the police know where the -- what -- where the case law is at," right? 20 21 But there's not enough resourcing going into this. So when the rubber hits the road and people actually try to hold police accountable for these 22 violations of their rights, the oversight bodies, as they're currently struck, and the way 23 24 that they look at everything and misconduct is that police will continue to be not held accountable. 25 In the case that I mentioned, it took the fellow three years to get 26

before a hearing to say that two Vancouver police officers had searched him and gotten
his wallet and gotten his personal information, when he wasn't a criminal at all and

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

hadn't done anything wrong. And three years later, the -- it was found that there was no
misconduct.

So we have -- associations like my own have many, many, ample stories about this, how even after the fact, the accountability mechanisms won't work, which is why we're so focused on getting it right at the outset so that hopefully, the people who are enforcing laws understand it all very clearly, and that the people that they are surveilling or just interacting with also have a good understanding of what to expect in that interaction, and that what they can do if they think something might have gone awry.

Yeah. So yeah, I just really, the standard making the approach to governing policing through standards seems very disproportionate or out of step with really just how important a service policing is in our community right now, and it's just a really strange governance approach.

So I would just say, if you're thinking that the standard making 14 approach, and if it can be re-invigorated and properly funded, that that will take care of 15 everything, I just really want to caution you otherwise, because it's a really strange legal 16 instrument, if it even is a legal instrument, because it's not -- frankly, it's not even a 17 regulation. It's just kind of like words on a piece of paper that were arrived at through a 18 19 compromise, at least from my experience with standards, and it's just a really strange way to get a -- you know, like I say, such important aspects of how we interact with the 20 21 state.

I guess a final point I'll make is just there are also -- we heard a lot about the good work that's going on at the Civilian Interview and Complaints Commission on how there is a bill before Parliament about the oversight mechanism for the RCMP. I'd like to highlight that there are some really big gaps even with how the oversight agency -- basically, civilian employees of the RCMP and even reservists, from our experience, aren't included in that oversight mechanism. And frequently, these are people that are used, they're in charge of a lot of important things, and when people

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

have come and tried to hold them accountable, we realize that there's just that massive
gap in the law, and from what we know, the bill before Parliament isn't going to fix that

50

3 gap either.

7

28

So I just hope that you would also look at how comprehensive
these mechanisms should be as well, and that they should probably also apply to
civilian staff and reservists. Thank you.

MS. EMILY HILL: Thanks, Meghan.

8 Hayley, you've got -- there's been a lot of ideas thrown around, and 9 starting from very upstream, and then in the development of standards, and then what 10 accountability looks like at the after-effects.

11 So I'm not sure what you'd like to comment on, but I'll hand the 12 microphone over to you.

MS. HAYLEY CRICHTON: Thank you very much. And I think -- I guess I'll just begin by saying that there's no way that we can -- you know, cover all of the facets of these topics in the time that we're allotted in any of these roundtables. If we could have, you know, much more time, I'm sure we would all gladly take it.

Firstly, I just wanted to speak with respect to the comment on the standards. I completely respect and appreciate the experiences that you've had,

19 Meghan, with standard fail in process in BC.

From a Nova Scotia perspective, we are currently looking at how to involve community more broadly in the review process. I'll also note that membership includes police, but it also includes our police board resources, Department of Justice Policy Information Management Division, and also legal services as well in our drafting process.

At the end of the day, these policing standards will be enacted as regulations under the *Nova Scotia Police Act* as well, so they will become formalized regulations in the future.

Again, trying to be brief, but also acknowledging not to speak too

quickly, obviously, I do think that policing standards are important, but I would be remiss
if I didn't acknowledge Mr. Critchley's earlier comments about capacity. You know, I
have the utmost respect for the people that work in public safety and security division.
Of course, I have admiration for all of their work; however, you know, we also look for
resources as well, so I acknowledge, you know, that's going to be part of this process, is
ensuring that these new projects are resourced appropriately to build that capacity.

Forward thinking, though, I think that there should be or ought to be
greater and more meaningful connection between local police governance bodies and
the province. I think that that's quite well needed.

And I think, you know, from Public Safety Division's perspective, we have established two training programs, one training program for municipal police boards and a secondary program for police advisory boards, and we are actively going out into community and providing those training.

However, what's needed is a really formalized approach to ensuring a consistent and sustainable engagement between the municipal level boards and the province as well. I think that's one very tangible area that can be looked at.

I think also addressing self-oversight jurisdictional confusion to clearly map out which level of government or community level is responsible for what and formalize, again, how we're required to speak to each other, so this is especially important for complex areas of share responsibility, for example, policing on First Nations and also the provincial PPSA resources that are deployed to provide municipal level policing.

23 So I think that there is a need to ensure clearly-defined areas of 24 responsibility and then require different levels of communication.

And finally, noting the time, I'm just going to make one more point, and that is I think it's important as we look to the future to establish that sharing requirement to support appropriate levels of transparency, respecting a need to ensure individual level privacy considerations, of course, and also to ensure integrity of 1 investigations is upheld.

	5
2	And so I'll measure my comments with those two factors as well.
3	And I think that what comes hand in hand with that is also
4	establishing responsible data reporting requirements as well to contextualize findings.
5	So just as police might be required to provide data, I think that
6	those who receive it must be required to responsibly report it by ensuring that they
7	address the contextual variables of the data that they're looking to report.
8	So in trying to be brief, Ms. Hill, that is my time, thanks.
9	MS. EMILY HILL: You covered a great deal in a short period of
10	time. Thank you for that.
11	Given the time, my suggestion is that we just do one round,
12	perhaps in the same order that we went through last time, just one idea, just one under-
13	60-second-idea about what is needed to support non-carceral approaches to justice and
14	community safety.
15	Sheila, I acknowledge that you spent some time addressing that
16	question intium upstream responses addressing childhood poverty, addressing issues
17	with access of bail, so I appreciate those comments, and I'm thinking about them in
18	response to this question. But I wonder if, just out of respect for the time, we can just
19	ask Harry, then Sheila, then Meghan, then Hayley, just to give us one quick sentence
20	on what you thought of with regard to that last question?
21	So Harry?
22	MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY: Sure, maybe just within the non-
23	carceral approaches that, again, focus specifically on police oversight, I think there's a
24	need for consistency. And here, I'd echo a recommendation from BCCLA's
25	submissions to the Special Legislative Committee in BC on the review of the Police Act
26	there that bodies like SIRT in our province have the ability to investigate the RCMP as a
27	result of federal-provincial agreements. And I know that's the same in BC.
28	And so I'd encourage the Commission, again in the interest of

thinking "goal", to think about, "How can we bring consistency to the standards of
accountability and oversight for the RCMP and municipal agencies through those kinds
of federal-provincial agreements?" So, for example, would it be possible to provide
advisory boards with the same powers as police boards vis-à-vis the RCMP? Would it
be possible to hold the RCMP to the complaints process under the *Nova Scotia Police Act* via federal-provincial agreements?

53

You know, and again this is an important point, bigger than the Halifax context, we have integration between police forces. And I can tell you that this results in really tricky situations, and particularly in the context of CID, where you may have an RCMP officer and an HRP officer working on the same investigation. And if there's concerns that requires the launching of two different complaints, both fall under two different processes.

13

MS. EMILY HILL: Thank you.

14 Sheila, one point you can make in a brief period of time.

MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN: Yes. And again, I want to apologize as 15 I was sort of cramming two things into my answer to the last question, but I will go back 16 to the example that I was going to give. And just to frame it briefly, there's been a lot of 17 focus on non-coercive approaches to mental health crisis, so non-police-involved, you 18 19 know, responses to mental health crisis, and we would, you know, add our voices to that. But I think we want to take it a little bit further and think harder about preventive 20 21 approaches, and we also need to think carefully about how we can avoid replicating, you know, coercion in the actions of the professionals and others who may be tasked 22 with that role. So accountability and data sharing, disaggregated data sharing, and so 23 on, sensitive to experiences of coercion and that field is something that I would want to 24 just put on the table. 25

And then last, I just want to back to the point of -- and on the same point -- sustainable funding for organizations, community-based organizations that are doing the work of preventing the kinds of crisis that the policing and wider correctional and justice system are now sort of picking up the pieces of. And while I can't develop it,
the example I was going to give, which was a collaboration between, John Howard,
Elizabeth Fry Society, and Coverdale Courtwork Society responding on the quick to the
mass decarceration in our province that accompanied the onset of Covid 19, so 40
percent of the jailed population was released within about two weeks.

54

6 And what was demonstrated in that time and in that one experiment of the three organizations coming together was how much possibility and potential there 7 8 is and the knowledge now held by community-based organizations to support and prevent crisis so none of the folks that were supported through that JEC breached. 9 That was during a period, 2020, when the crime rate in Nova Scotia actually went down. 10 That mass decarceration was accompanied by a lessening in the crime rate. And to my 11 mind, that's a place to start as we think about, you know, what to invest in sustainably 12 13 through core funding, not simply project funding, to build on those kinds of successes. And again, you know, very last point, because it seems like we've 14 come so far from our topic of public safety and preventing the kinds of atrocities as, you 15 know, happened in this case, in the mass casualty, but those connections are 16 absolutely front of mind for our organizations, for others, and for the folks we -- for the 17 folks we represent who are the most vulnerable to state-backed violence and all of the 18

19 consequences of that for communities. Thanks.

20 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you and I do appreciate that the -- your 21 comments earlier and how it related to this question.

Meghan, just -- if you had one thought you wanted to share about supporting non-carceral approaches to justice and community safety, if you have a comment on that very briefly.

MS. MEGHAN McDERMOTT: I agree with my colleagues who have spoken and just to say that -- that the services, and the experts, and the people, are there. We have them in our communities. It's just a matter of transforming our systems and sustainably funding them. I mean I don't even want to talk about funding but just basically saying, you know, like, this is a transformational choice we're making
as a culture, particularly around mental health. We need -- I would say stop with the
pilot projects. Just go for it. We've got the expertise. We've got the people there.
They just don't have the funding. So it's just shifting resources as a culture. And that's
about it. Thank you.

55

6

7

MS. EMILY HILL: Hayley, did you have any comment on this question?

8 **MS. HAYLEY CRICHTON:** Quickly just acknowledging the need for societal and contextual shift as well as we move towards alternative forms of service 9 delivery. I always go back to the example of an emergency occurring at 2:00 a.m.; who 10 is an individual going to call? Through normalization of the system that we currently 11 have, often the answer is police regardless of other viable options being available. So 12 13 just to acknowledge that kind of a broader societal cultural shift is also required to 14 support the options. Thank you. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you. 15

And so, Commissioners, I'm not -- we've covered a lot of content since our break and I haven't given the microphone path back to you, so I would invite any questions or comments that you have at this stage, recognizing that we do -- we have just gone over time but I know that -- I expect that out panellists would stay with us for a few minutes longer if there were questions that you had.

21 I see Sheila's ---

22 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Thank you.

23 **MS. EMILY HILL:** Oh, sorry, Commissioner Fitch.

24 **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** No, I was just going to say -- I was just 25 going to say a thank you. I don't have any questions of the panel today and -- but, 26 again, appreciate all the wise input and the papers that have been presented and the 27 articles. I'm sure that it is going to go a long in informing our recommendations, which 28 is really the purpose of today's exercise in a lot of ways, so thank you very much.

MS. EMILY HILL: Sheila, I think you're trying to get in to say 1 2 something. **MS. SHEILA WILDEMAN:** I'm so sorry. I wanted to just correct 3 something that I said earlier since it's on the record. I made a statement that the 4 number of judicial review hearings since the introduction of Section 496 into the 5 6 Criminal Code -- that's the one on, you know, review of breach of conditions -- it was 12 between 2019 and 2021. I think I said eight so I just wanted to clarify that. Sorry about 7 8 that. It was a response to an access-to-information request that I'm just looking at now. 9 Thank you. **MS. EMILY HILL:** Thank you. 10 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you. I hope you can hear me 11 now. I apologize. I have been listening along and reading on the interpretative screen 12 13 but I had some internet connectivity issues that meant my video was not on, but I've 14 been paying close attention. Harry, I didn't see in your chapter, and I might have missed it I 15 might just not be recalling because it's a while ago, the article that you sent, or that was 16 included in the roundtable package for September 8th, but you did mention in your -- in 17 that roundtable about having -- advisory boards have the same powers as municipal 18 19 boards and I wonder if you could just give a few examples of what you have in mind there, please. 20 21 **MR. HARRY CRITCHLEY:** Well, I mean -- so advisory boards work in an advisory capacity. They can recommend priorities. They can recommend 22 policies. I think there's now a clear understanding through, you know, legal opinions 23 that have been provided about our municipal police force that so long as municipal 24 forces don't extend into the areas of prohibited jurisdiction under the Act, under 55.1, 25 that they are able to impose those polices and that they provide direction to chiefs of 26 police, and that direction is binding, right. So I would suggest that that's a major 27 28 difference between the work of advisory boards, which are -- make recommendations,

56

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

and police boards, which provide direction, and particularly direction in respect of policy.
And, you know, that's -- I would suggest that even within Professor
Roach's point that this is an area where there is a need for significant policy review and
policy development and Boards are an important vehicle for that curve. I provide some
examples in the paper of what I see as effective Board governance, and I'll give you
some examples of the Toronto Police Services Board, of providing policies to the police
that are mandatory.

8 But, again, I would also stress in keeping with some of Meghan's points, that policies and standards are themselves a sort of squirely form of governance. 9 You know, I think -- as you heard from Professor Roach and a number of other 10 individuals, there's been an advocation both by Parliament and provincial legislatures of 11 providing clear and statutory powers for the police and clear and statutory direction. 12 13 There's, you know, concerns around the enforceability of full standards and policy. You know, I appreciate Ms. Crichton's point that there are going to be regulations under the 14 Act, but I mean one concern as well, beyond enforceability, is accessibility and 15 transparency. So it might be the case that you have a standard that says any police 16 service that has a K-9 unit is required to have these policies in respect of that K-9 Unit. 17 But currently there is only one police force in the entirety of Canada, which is the 18 19 Vancouver Police Department, which provides its policies in a publicly accessible form. You know, that's an initiative that my colleagues and I have been working on; Halifax 20 21 has been working on to make sure that the Halifax police provide their policies to the 22 public on line.

Like it's -- I would suggest it's a major failing of transparency to create public standards that require the creation of policies that are not themselves available to the public.

COMMISSIONER STANTON: Thanks so much, I really appreciate
 that, a couple of examples, and thanks to all of you for your contributions, both today
 and throughout our process. It's been very valuable to us, so thank you very much.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

COMMISSIONER MacDONALD: Yes, let me add to that, thank 1 2 you all so very much. You know, one thing with the Commission that we are so very fortunate for is the fact that people have stepped up to help us whenever we ask people 3 to step up, and you've done that today to assist us, and many of you have done it more 4 than once and we greatly appreciate it, particularly because it's obvious to us that 5 6 you've put a lot of thought into this; you've put a lot of preparation into it and your comments are pragmatic and thoughtful. Some common themes that are emerging on 7 collaboration and consistency, and we've been asked to courageously re-examine 8 public safety and what you have said is consistent with that and we greatly appreciate it. 9 And we greatly appreciate your day-to-day work. All of you are all so dedicated in the 10 work you do and the important interests you represent and if I may be so bold to add to 11 your work, when we make our recommendations, which will be enriched by your 12 participation, we would ask you to become champions and advocates as well for our 13 14 recommendations, because that will be very important for all of us to help keep our communities safe. So I would greatly appreciate that on behalf of my fellow 15 Commissioners, and I'm so elated to see the nods around the virtual table. So thank 16 you so much. 17

58

And I'm happy to see that Kristina Fifield, Ms. Fifield from Avalon 18 19 Sexual Assault Centre is now with us and as Commissioner Fitch has said, Ms. Fifield, you have a presentation for us, a report to present on insights from community outreach 20 21 with marginalized communities, including potential recommendations again to help with 22 community safety. So it's my pleasure indeed to thank you for appearing yet again and for presenting your reports; so whenever you're ready, thank you so much. 23

24

MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Hi, can you guys hear me there? Perfect. 25

So I would just to acknowledge that I'm here in Mi'kma'ki, the 26 ancestral and unceded territory of the Mi'kmag people, and I just want to thank the 27 28 Commission for allowing me to come here today to present the work that Avalon has

been doing in the community with individuals that have been impacted by the 1 2 perpetrator of the mass casualty events. And I just want to do a little -- give a few little details before I get 3 into this just around how this report has been structured and why it's been structured in 4 the way it has. 5 So this report is not going to look like other reports like Commission 6 reports, I guess foundational documents where there's a lot of trauma specific details 7 8 around events of violence, so it's more rather gathering themes of gender-based violence that was perpetrated in marginalized individuals. 9 And I'm looking at the barriers to services, why individuals -- you 10 know, why there's a silence around violence and the gaps in services and then the 11 recommendations moving forward. 12 13 I want to acknowledge for the families that have been most impacted by the April 18th and 19th mass casualty events, that this report on gender-14 based violence may not be -- it's going to be difficult to hear for families and it might not 15 be helpful to you all, but Avalon does believe that these conversations are necessary for 16 creating safer communities for all individuals in our province moving forward. And our 17 thoughts and our prayers continue to be with you all. 18 19 And I also just want to acknowledge too that this report may provide information to Lisa Banfield and her family, and I want to acknowledge that that also too 20 21 may be very difficult to hear, and that Avalon believes too that these voices of 22 marginalized individuals who have experienced violence from the perpetrator are crucial to the Commission's work and our thoughts and our prayers are continuing to be with 23 you all and for health and wellness moving forward. 24 25 The other -- I want to just for people that are, you know, have not been aware of what's been going on with the work, this work took place fairly quickly in 26 trying to get it together to get something in making sure that these voices were not 27 28 missed in the work of the Commission, so I do want to acknowledge that we were not

able to reach all individuals, marginalized individuals, racialized individuals, who 1 2 potentially had an impact by the perpetrator. And that we know from hearing and through this work, that there sounds like there might be others who may not be ready to 3 share, or if never ready to share, that's okay, but we just want people to know that we 4 will be here, Avalon will be here if you're needing to reach out for services. And at the 5 6 end of the report there is information about our services and if anything that is coming up that's triggering or for other survivors that have been impacted, please note that we 7 8 are here for you.

60

So basically what has happened is, this work definitely would not 9 have been able to take place without the work of a community navigator, Shy Gordon, 10 and I've talked to previous roundtables that I've been apart of, about Shy's work and 11 this new position over the last year two years here at Avalon where Shy is working as a 12 13 Navigator in the African Nova Scotia communities and the importance of that role and 14 how it's been a key role in providing a stepping stone approach and creating more pathways for individuals who would not normally engage with that one services, to come 15 into services and to be unconnected. So I just want to acknowledge how important Shy 16 has been in this work. Shy was one of the facilitators in this work so I just need to 17 acknowledge that. 18

19 So basically with -- the Avalon Sexual Assault Centre is in a coalition with Youth and Wellness and that in our participation in this public inquiry as a 20 21 direct service provider to sexual violence and sexual harassment, and other forms of 22 abuse and oppression and marginalization, that we felt that there was a gap in, you know, what we were seeing with all of the work that was being done, and we just felt 23 that because we had knowledge of information from individuals that Shy specifically is 24 connected to and working with, that we wanted to try to create safe space for individuals 25 to be able to ourselves feel that there is a space to come together and to be able to talk, 26 and that that was somehow reflected back to the Commission in this work ahead as you 27 28 guys are, you know, as your team is working towards recommendations and doing this

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1 final report.

So we put in a proposal. Basically, what it looked like was just making sure that seeking confidentiality, if it's trauma informed and culturally responsive, that there were safe spaces being set up in the community, and that we were listening to what the needs were for those individuals who were looking for that space and what that was going to look like for them.

And the gathering -- so we did four gatherings -- and it's reflected in the report -- one over at Cole Harbour, two in Baddek North, and one at Halifax North. There has been other communities identified that we -- like, me to be doing this work in, specifically around individuals that have been impacted by inappropriate care based on feedback from other survivors that attended these spaces.

12 So Commission -- some of the Commission staff was a part of all of 13 these meetings, so I want to thank the staff from the Commission that showed up, and 14 how safe space was created, and how everyone showed up to those meetings. And I 15 think it worked well, you know, taking a step back and really listening to what has 16 happened here and what is needed, moving forward.

So the spaces that we -- that were determined with the facilitators, that was made -- that was determined with the individuals that were going to be using those spaces and making sure that that space was safe in the community, because there's oftentimes, spaces that are not safe for some individuals. And so that was carefully done with our facilitators, both Shi and Deb, in making sure that people were feeling safe about where those engagements -- where those meetings were going to take place.

And individuals were provided information about the options regarding sharing of evidence with the Commission, so the Commission staff, along with Avalon, had lots of conversations with individuals and provided, you know, options for what people wanted to see with the information. So if individuals wanted to speak to the Commission and provide evidence, then that was done through anonymization and making sure that the confidentiality and safety was protected, while other individuals
wanted to, you know, be in the spaces, share, and really be a part of what they're
identifying, what the voices and survivors in the space were identifying as major, I
guess, gaps, major themes of normalization of violence and violence being perpetrated
against those community members.

6 So I wanted to talk a bit about specifically, some of the themes that 7 came up with individuals who had direct experiences with the perpetrator. And again, 8 based on the work we do here at Avalon, we don't -- in our work, we don't need every trauma detail from these survivors. I want to make that -- I really want to stress that 9 point because I think through this whole Inquiry that this has come up in many different 10 ways, and I know Avalon and our coalition with LEAF and Wellness, you know, was 11 doing work around the lead up to Ms. Banfield testifying, and the victim blaming that 12 13 happens, and people really getting focused on, you know, what we were talking about yesterday, and Ms. Stewart said this yesterday about a person needing to recount and 14 have every single trauma-related detail aligning with maybe a previous report to the 15 police, and we don't operate like that here. That's not part of -- that is actually, to be 16 really harmful at times to survivors and victims who have experienced trauma. 17

18 So that's why we're not going to talk about all of those trauma-19 specific details.

So a common theme identified by all individuals, whether they attended all of the engagement meetings or one or two or three of them, was that the perpetrator of mass casualty events was a well-known household name among many African Nova Scotia communities, and that the perpetrator's privilege in his role as a White denturist allowed a continuum of violence to occur, and this violence oftentimes looked like preying upon individuals that were vulnerable and marginalized. And one quote from one of the women that attended was that he

27 was known to exploit racialized women.

28 And there's lots of quotes, and I'm not going to present them all, but

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

in the report, there's a lot of information that I think really shows what -- you know, what
has been going on, especially in Nova Scotia, themes around violence.

63

The other theme was -- that came up was that the -- at the meeting, 3 was that all participants shared that when interacting with the perpetrator, he presented 4 to them as having a lot of confidence. He often bragged about providing dental work 5 6 and services at a reduced cost, and that when individuals cannot pay that full cost for the dental services provided to them, that the perpetrator was known to use -- to 7 8 sexually exploit those who sought his services. And he had a reputation of being known to exchange in situations and with some of the individuals that had attended our 9 sessions, dental work for sex. 10

The perpetrator was known to make sexually suggestive comments to marginalized clients who visit his clinic, and was known to some individuals to have crossed professional boundaries in violating his codes of ethics, and abuse his position of power over vulnerable and marginalized, racialized individuals within communities that we engaged.

And I just want to point to two of the -- well, one specifically -foundational document that I think there's some really important things, and I was revealing again, I had already read this, but after doing this work, I was reading it earlier, and I think there's many themes that come out in other information that is done in that foundational -- that is documented in that foundational document, and it's the foundational document of the perpetrator's violent behaviour towards others.

And the themes and what was disclosed in meetings with survivors and victims who were impacted by the perpetrator, after going back and reading that document, it really, really highlighted common themes, common things that he was doing, you know, not just with women, with other individuals he was engaged with, and it really speaks to how -- you know, the depth of violence the perpetrator was using over, you know, a long period of time.

28

There was also a theme, and I'm going to talk about this. I think

this is important for the recommendations part and trying to figure out where things, you 1 2 know, how this happened. And one thing that continued to come up was the Department of Community Services, DCS, provided provincial funds to the perpetrator 3 to deliver services to marginalized members of the community who were receiving 4 Employment Support, Income Assistance, and those on Disability Support Program. 5 6 And I'm not sure of the nature of this with -- it looks like it's subsidies and there might not have been a direct contract with him, but he was an 7 8 individual that could bill the province when individuals were coming in who fit into -- who 9 were receiving Income Assistance or the Disability Support Program. And one thing that was highlighted was that because the individual 10 was -- when people are getting quotes for receiving services, oftentimes, individuals are 11 asked to get, you know, some quotes, and the perpetrator in this situation was, you 12 13 know, falling -- was providing a service at a reduced cost from -- like, a lot of his -- other people that were providing the same service. 14 And I'm sure that individuals felt that for -- that DCS would have 15 done the cover screening and vetting of any individuals who were being able to provide 16 those, you know, services. And I'll come more to that at the end when we're -- like I 17 said, when we're getting to the recommendations. 18 19 We learned that the perpetrator encouraged some marginalized and racialized individuals to consider getting their teeth pulled so he could give them a 20 21 mouth full of beautiful teeth. He was also known to encourage individuals who were on 22 income assistance recipients to refer their friends and family to his clinic and he would provide them with cash compensation for a referral incentive. And that the perpetrator 23 was known to be bragging about the low rates. 24

As findings from the engagement with the individuals who attended it seemed like the Halifax dental office was the place where a lot of the sexual violence was taking place. Individuals were aware of the Dartmouth office but it seems that more activity was taking place over in the Halifax location; I'm just highlighting that.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

And then there was individuals who self- identified as being sex workers who were directly involved and engaged with the individual but also had shared that so because of what they were seeing with him and that they had a fear of him and stopped engagement with him, based on different things that were taking place around violence and his anger and aggression.

6 Now I'm going to turn to talking about what individuals felt was really important for your team to know about violence that's taking place in marginalized 7 8 and Aboriginalized communities and what is really important as the Commission moves forward but also our province moves forward in addressing gender--based violence that 9 is taking place. And I know there's been many conversations that have been happening 10 with this Commission's work around the normalization of violence. And it was continued 11 to be reinforced throughout our work with survivors through these engagement 12 13 meetings around the normalization that is internalized from a young age in both the African Nova Scotian, Black, and Indigenous communities. 14 Sexual violence towards young girls and young boys is normalized 15

and common and too often sexual abuse is not viewed as violence but rather as a right
 to which men are entitled.

I had two individuals who have reviewed this report who attended 18 19 the meetings, the engagement meetings, the safe spaces. And they wanted me to share something that they felt is really important for the work of the Commission but 20 21 also to be stated. It's that there's a lot of incest that is taking place in rural and marginalized communities and it's not safe to talk about this. And when individuals do 22 try to talk about it, that they're rejected by family and friends and community and 23 retaliation can even take place. And that it's really important that as we continue to 24 figure out the roots, the systemic issues, what needs to be -- what areas we need to 25 focus on moving forward, that there needs to be a focus here. And there needs to be 26 this code of silence that is taking place -- we need to start talking about it because it's 27 28 not safe to talk about it.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

And they wanted me to let you all know how important that is and how difficult that is for them living in communities, small communities, African Nova Scotian communities to be able to talk about that without being further rejected or silenced.

66

I think that some important quotes that came up is that "violence 5 that happens in the home must stay in the home.". "I internalized. I'm disposable." "I 6 knew nothing but abuse as a young adult." "There's a code of silence in Black 7 8 communities." And you know this -- why is it not safe? So this conversation happened at all of our meetings and, you know, a theme that there's -- it comes to this is that 9 individuals don't feel they will be believed. And in small communities, in rural 10 communities, in Black and Indigenous communities, there's further -- they feel that 11 they're further not going to be believed by heir families and friends. And that this 12 13 directly puts them in a situation within their small communities to be again rejected for 14 more abuse to happen, right? And to be further silenced.

And how that isolation happens when you think that a family member or a friend -- that they're a safe person and then when you get that message back.

So it's even harder, I think, but you know we need to acknowledge 18 19 that for racialized individuals that it's hard for so many survivors and victims to come -it's even harder when that rejection is taking place among small communities between 20 21 families, in their own family, and in the home. And how that further isolates you from 22 being able to be safe and move on from violence that is being perpetrated. Another area that was identified in our work was that overall 23 24 schools today are not tailored to address violence taking place in Black and Indigenous communities and there continues to be little mention of sexual exploitation and 25

trafficking of young people. So often teachers avoid dealing with violence in their

classrooms and are not adequately equipped to handle the complexities of violence

happening in marginalized homes and communities that show up in school.

And due to large part of a lack of resources, our education system in Nova Scotia fails to meet the unique needs of children whose families have been impacted by generational trauma. And those currently experiencing violence in the home that is -- and that when individuals do respond in schools, sorry, that they're not being met when their teachers or guidance counsellors or principals -- that that response is not happening in a culturally responsive and survivor sensitive way.

One thing that really I want to really emphasize and I know it's been 7 8 coming up as well, but there's no third party reporting options and this is vitally important, especially for individuals that have had a long history and continued history of 9 violence being used against them by police, people in positions of power. And third 10 party reporting options that fall outside of police need to be taking place and that is in 11 our recommendations; and that individuals need options around what that third party 12 13 reporting looks like and having individuals that are both from the African Nova Scotian 14 and Indigenous communities being a part of those teams that are supporting survivors and victims that are coming forward and reporting so that they feel safe. 15

And another theme is the lack of diverse staff in doing this work, both in police but also in community-based organizations and services, and the lack of leadership, diverse individuals.

19 And I think it's important to note here about how -- so this came up in the -- this conversation, you know, this was a theme that came up quite a bit is that 20 21 when organizations or the police or, you know, any service provider is having one staff 22 person looking after the entire community's needs -- so if it's an African Nova Scotian support worker placed in their community to work, how the community is looking at that 23 individual and how they're not often supported but also how the community is seeing 24 how overwhelmed the person is by what is taking place and the unique needs of the 25 community. 26

And when we're looking at violence, services that provide genderbased-violence, intimate-partner-violence services, is that the weight of that -- and I

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

think we heard that earlier this week from Heidi in Cape Breton who was talking about 1 2 there's no separation. So for a lot of us that are doing this work, there's a separation between our work and our community. But when our, you know, African Nova Scotian 3 and Indigenous workers are working in their community, because of the trauma that 4 they have experienced and their communities have experience, that it makes it even 5 more difficult for that person, and that oftentimes individuals will not reach out to that 6 person because they see that their burdening that individual who's in that role, and that 7 8 we should be concerned about for the individuals who are doing those roles but that some community members see it that the person is failing them and the community and 9 that's really not fair. We're really setting up our African Nova Scotian and Indigenous 10 staff to fail in those positions and they're carrying a lot in doing the work in their 11 communities and they need to be properly supported and properly staffed. 12 13 And then about services and how services are even funded, that most of our services and most of our funding is based on this western perspective, right. 14 And again, most -- a lot of our services are this one-size-fits-all, right, and we've talked 15 a lot about this in the Commission's work already, and that doesn't work. That doesn't 16 work for any individual and it definitely doesn't work for individuals that have 17 experienced so much racism, so much violence, and we need to be finding ways to 18 19 make sure that there's alternative ways that services are provided, reducing barriers to 20 services. 21 I'm going to stop there before I get into recommendations and ask if 22 there's any questions or -- from Commissioner. **COMMISSIONER MacDONALD:** I have none. Thank you so 23 24 much, none so far. **COMMISSIONER FITCH:** Same, same here, Kristina. Thank you. 25 I'm listening intently. 26 MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Okay. 27 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** As am I. Pleas continue. 28

68

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

1	MS. KRISTINA FIFIELD: Okay. We'd really encourage everyone
2	to read the full like, this report. I can't possibly talk about everything that's in the
3	report. I'm trying to reflect the most important things that came out of this work but I do
4	think there's a lot of other important work that is reflected in the report that helps a
5	better understanding a better acknowledgement of what needs to take please here.
6	So some of the key recommendations is that the province needs to
7	be responsible for introducing mandatory gender-based violence and bystander
8	intervention training and curriculum in our systems and that this education really
9	focuses on two on sexual exploitation and trafficking and consent.
10	All provincial and federally funded services be required to have
11	transparency and visibility regarding their code of conduct and complaint processes
12	available online and posted in locations where direct services are provided to the public.
13	Professionals registered to a professional body should additionally be required to post
14	their code of conduct, ethics, and standards of practice with their registration numbers
15	visible to all service users. All service providers should be required to discuss their
16	codes of ethics, codes of conduct, and complaint processes with all individuals who
17	access their services so that an individual is informed of their rights.
18	And I just want to talk give a little more context to this. So it was
19	said by a number of individuals that have attended these spaces that when they go into
20	a doctor's office, or into see their dentist, or to, you know, another service their seeing,
21	counselling, oftentimes, too often what they see is how they're supposed to act, right.
22	So they see, like, a code of conduct, basically, that they need to adhere to, but they
23	don't see any any transparency or anything that's visible around, "If I experience,
24	violence, harassment, or abuse, or harmed, or there is any unethical things that come
25	up while here as a client, right, or as a patient, how do I navigate that? How do I bring a
26	complaint forward? How I address this?"
27	Oftentimes people don't realize that there's professional regulatory

27 Oftentimes, people don't realize that there's professional regulatory 28 bodies that are also attached. So a person, you know, could be in a private practice, or

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

they could be working for an organization, non-profit, or, you know, under Nova Scotia
Health, so there could be separate complaint processes that happen in regards to
handling those situations. Just like we were talking about, you know, RCMP and
policing, over the last number -- you know, the last two weeks, there's very little
transparency when individuals are also coming into other services outside of the police,
including non-profit organizations but also individuals that are going to places where
people have a private practice.

And there should full transparency around this. Individuals have identified that to feel safe as marginalized, racialized, as Black individuals going in, they need to see this so that they know that if something does happen that there is a clear pathway to report that -- also that those standards of practices, and codes of ethics, and that violence is not going to be tolerated. That would help in feeling safer in going into and meeting with professionals, going into a doctor officer where they're behind closed doors, or going and sitting in a dentist chair. And these are really, really important.

And I know that from hearing this and hearing this from individuals who, you know, were communicating this. I know we took this back to Avalon to address immediately and it's a really, really important thing that I think is needed moving forward around when we're talking about transparency. And it's not just transparency but police policy and complaints. It's for all of us. And if we're really going to address ending violence and dealing with violence, there needs to be transparency in all of these organizations and all of these other services as well.

The province needs to implement a third-party reporting program. We don't -- we didn't even -- this comes up all the time for survivors and victims, especially of sexual violence. Going to the police, we know that sexual assault, oftentimes, individuals are not believed. Oftentimes, there's not enough evidence. Oftentimes, people are trying to weight whether, you know, it was consensual sex. And this -- all of these different messages that individuals receive when interacting with trying to make a report or, you know, going and reporting and those secondary

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

wounding traumatization that takes place, and then the institutional betrayal that takes
place -- and we talk about that in the report as well, so I encourage people to reference
that, but there needs to be people properly trained to handle when individuals are
coming forward and that should be taking -- we should be really reimagining what that
looks like and making sure that people are properly trained in handling, handling
reporting when individuals are reporting around sexual violence or other forms of
violence.

And that there needs to be more services for men and individuals 8 that perpetrate for violence. You know, individuals have shared the need that not 9 everyone wants to leave their partner, not everyone what their -- you know, they want 10 their communities and their men to be healthier. They want individuals not using 11 violence, right. So I think it's really important that -- I can tell you from doing, you know, 12 13 intimate-partner-violence work, there's been a complete lack of services for men. And 14 the African Nova Scotian communities have identified this is a must going forward. So that's, I think, really important. 15

And there needs to be more designated African Nova Scotian and 16 indigenous navigators and legal advocates to help with navigating these systems, these 17 systems that have caused a lot of harm and, you know, child protection is part of that 18 19 too, police, and really helping individuals being along side of them, you know, when they're interacting with these systems. And you know, it's a form of accountability to 20 21 making sure that there's like a witness, that there's someone present, that there's an 22 advocate with them helping the victim and survivor, and helping them amplify their voice, right, in what their needs are and how to navigate these systems that are, you 23 know, continue to cause a lot of harm, a lot of re-victimizations taking place for all 24 individuals who experience violence and try to navigate these systems. 25

And I think this one's important, and I don't know where there is going to land with people, but I think this -- you know, when we're talking about yes, should I be involved, I think we need to rethink right now these subsidies. And it's not

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

just about subsidies for dental work, it's all of these subsidies that are taking place in
our province. And I think both -- you know, whether it's federally or provincially funded,
must increase the screening of professionals in organizations that are funded, that are
providing services, especially to marginalized and vulnerable people.

I think that more needs to be done with the regulatory bodies, it's 5 6 been identified in regards to handling these complaints, and from the document, the foundational document, around the perpetrator of this violence towards others. I think 7 there's clear -- I think that document really, really talks about what happened here as 8 well in the individuals that we've been working with about how proper screening, proper 9 accountability with all of these different reports, you know, that came in from different 10 individuals in the community over the course of this, you know, time as -- you know, 11 providing services to the community is that there was a lot of things missed but there 12 13 was a lot of things also – there was people speaking up, right? And too often people are like, well, no one talked; well, there's actually lots of indications of people talking 14 and still nothing being done; right? So how do people that, you know, are marginalized 15 or racialized and they're seeing these things happening, right? So why go forward, 16 right? Why go forward? Is it safe to go forward? Right? There was retaliation that was 17 happening when people were speaking up, right? There was things happening and a 18 19 lot of people in positions of power that, you know, I'm going to say either manipulated or just did not see the violence that was taking place as being serious, and I think there 20 21 was a long continuum of violence that hasn't been indicated through all of these -- all of 22 the reports that have been done by the Commission. So there needs to be more screening; there needs to be more oversight and accountability attached to, you know, 23 when funders are funding or providing subsidies to individuals, especially vulnerable 24 individuals. I can't stress that enough and I see that as being a huge -- like a very 25 important thing that needs to be addressed. 26

And I also want to say this, the red flags of professionals providing services at a reduced cost to marginalized groups. And when people are preying on

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

predators, right, they find opportunities, and when people are providing a service to 1 2 individuals that are most vulnerable and marginalized individuals, that should be red a flag and our province should not be like, pick the lowest quote. And if the quote is 3 significantly lower than other individuals providing the same services, that should be a 4 red flag. And in this work of gender-based violence and looking at red flags that are 5 6 taking place, that should be a red flag for anyone who has control over funding, professionals that are providing services. And if it's, you know -- and then there are a 7 8 lot of the other things, and I'm not going to go into, but a lot of the stuff that has come out of the other work around, on tasking defunding, and this reimagining services taking, 9 you know, services that should not be with the police, services that should be with the 10 community, you know, that was continuing to come up, and for individuals that don't 11 trust police, there's been no real examples of, you know, where they've seen being able 12 13 to trust because they continue to see situations where violence is continuing to being 14 used against their communities and individuals within it.

73

So we will providing our coalition more around that; we've got our recommendations but there's more in this report and I would encourage all three of yous, that you really take a look at them and how they align with a lot of the other conversations that have been coming up in other roundtables and conversations.

19 **COMMISSIONER STANTON:** Thank you so much, Kristina. The 20 three of us have listened carefully and of course we'll read the paper, if we haven't 21 already, and we'll follow all of the submissions that we get from participants further and 22 appreciate your presentation and all of those who worked to bring forward those 23 insights.

I do – I actually would like to just acknowledge the contribution of
the Commission's Research and Policy team members who also worked to bring this
forward initially and we're grateful to everyone who was involved; it's an important piece
of the work to bring those voices forward.

28

Also thank you to Senior Commission Counsel, Emily Hill, and

1	Gillian Hnatiw for organizing and facilitating the participant consultation earlier this
2	afternoon. It's the last of the participant consultations in this phase and very much
3	appreciate all of the participant contributions in these consultations.
4	Please do remember members of the public, you just have two
5	more weeks to share your suggestions for recommendations with us. You can learn
6	how to do that on the website where you'll also find the discussion guide and the scan
7	of prior recommendations for support.
8	To learn more, go to masscasultycommission.ca/share. Next week
9	we will be back in person at the Glengarry Best Western in Truro for the final week of
10	public proceedings. Over the course of the week we will be hearing final oral
11	submissions from participants. So thank you everyone. Even though we're virtual
12	today, we'll be back in person next week. The holiday that was declared on Monday
13	has shifted our schedule, so we'll be seeing you there on Tuesday, so thanks again and
14	take care.
15	Upon adjourning at 5:26 p.m.
16	
17	CERTIFICATION
18	
19	I, Wendy Clements, a certified court reporter, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be
20	an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so
21	swear.
22	
23	Je, Wendy Clements, une sténographe officiel, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
24	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et
25	je le jure.
26	

74

Wendy Clements

28